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#### Abstract

This study was designed to document and describe the status and life history strategies of spring Chinook salmon and summer steelhead in the Grande Ronde River Subbasin. We determined migration timing, abundance and life-stage survival rates for juvenile spring Chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha and summer steelhead $O$. mykiss in four streams during migratory year 2010 (MY 2010) from 1 July 2009 through 30 June 2010. Similar to previous years of this study, spring Chinook salmon and steelhead exhibited fall and spring movements from natal rearing areas, but did not begin their smolt migration through the Snake and lower Columbia River hydrosystem until spring. In this report, we provide estimates of migrant abundance and migration timing for each study stream, and their survival and timing to Lower Granite Dam. We also document aquatic habitat conditions using water temperature and discharge of four study streams within the subbasin.
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Objectives

1. Document the in-basin migration patterns and estimate abundance of spring Chinook salmon juveniles in Catherine Creek and the upper Grande Ronde, Minam, and Lostine rivers.
2. Determine overwinter mortality and the relative success of fall (early) migrant and spring (late) migrant life history strategies for spring Chinook salmon from tributary populations in Catherine Creek and the upper Grande Ronde, and Lostine rivers, and the relative success of fall (early) migrant and spring (late) migrant life history strategies for spring Chinook salmon from the Minam River.
3. Estimate and compare smolt survival probabilities at main stem Columbia and Snake River dams for migrants from four local, natural populations of spring Chinook salmon in the Grande Ronde River and Imnaha River subbasins.
4. Document the annual migration patterns for spring Chinook salmon juveniles from four local, natural populations in the Grande Ronde River and Imnaha River subbasins: Catherine Creek, Lostine, Minam, and Imnaha rivers.
5. Document patterns of movement and estimate abundance of juvenile steelhead from tributary populations in Catherine Creek, the upper Grande Ronde, Lostine and the Minam rivers including data on migration timing, and duration.
6. Estimate and compare survival probabilities to main stem Columbia and Snake River dams for summer steelhead from four tributary populations: Catherine Creek and the upper Grande Ronde, Lostine, and Minam rivers.
7. Describe aquatic habitat conditions, using water temperature and discharge, in Catherine Creek and the upper Grande Ronde, Lostine, and Minam rivers

## Accomplishments

We accomplished all of our objectives for MY 2010.

## Findings

## Spring Chinook Salmon

We determined migration timing and abundance of juvenile spring Chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha using rotary screw traps on four streams in the Grande Ronde River Subbasin from 08 September 2009 through 27 June 2010. Based on migration timing and abundance, we distinguished two distinct life history strategies of juvenile spring Chinook salmon. 'Early' migrants left upper rearing areas from 8 September 2009 to 28 January 2010 with a peak in the fall. 'Late' migrants left upper rearing areas from 29 January 2010 to 27 June 2010 with a peak in the spring. At the Catherine Creek trap, we estimated 43,635 juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrated from upper rearing areas with $74 \%$ leaving as early migrants. At the upper Grande Ronde River trap, we estimated 20,763 juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrated from upper rearing areas with approximately $22 \%$ leaving as early migrants. At the Lostine River trap, we estimated 47,686 juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrated from upper rearing areas with $60 \%$ leaving as early migrants. At the Minam River trap, we estimated 166,018 juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrated from the river with $45 \%$ leaving as early migrants.

Juvenile spring Chinook salmon, that were PIT-tagged in natal rearing areas of Catherine Creek and the upper Grande Ronde, Imnaha, Lostine, and Minam rivers during the summer of 2009, were detected at Lower Granite Dam between 23 April and 25 June 2010. Median dates of arrival at Lower Granite Dam for Catherine Creek and the upper Grande Ronde River were not significantly different for MY 2010 (Kruskal-Wallis, $P$ > 0.05). Catherine Creek and the upper Grande Ronde River were the latest of all five groups and were significantly different from the Lostine, Minam and Imnaha rivers (Kruskal-Wallis, $P<0.05$ ). Median migration dates were not significantly different among Lostine, Minam and Imnaha river tag groups (Kruskal-Wallis, $P>0.05$ ). Median arrival dates at Lower Granite Dam for juvenile spring Chinook salmon in all study streams ranged from 14 May to 4 June 2010. Survival probabilities to Lower Granite Dam for parr tagged in the summer of 2009 were 0.235 for the upper Grande Ronde River, 0.107 for Catherine Creek, 0.114 for the Lostine River, 0.131 for the Minam River and 0.102 for the Imnaha River population.

Chinook salmon tagged at the traps were detected at Lower Granite Dam between 19 April and 2 July 2010. Although there was overlap in arrival dates, median arrival dates for early migrants were before that of late migrants for all four streams. Early migrant survival probabilities to Lower Granite Dam ranged from 0.180 to 0.366, and late migrants ranged from 0.464 to 0.679 . Survival probabilities for Catherine Creek and the upper Grande Ronde, Lostine, and Minam rivers were within ranges previously observed for all populations. Juvenile spring Chinook salmon of Catherine Creek and Lostine River, that overwintered downstream of trap sites (early migrants), had similar survival probabilities to juveniles that overwintered upstream of the traps (late migrants). Survival of juvenile spring Chinook in the upper Grande Ronde River was significantly lower for individuals that remained in upper rearing areas (late migrants) than for individuals that
migrated into the lower river system during fall (early migrants). No evaluation of differences in survival were made between Minam River early and late groups due to the lack of a winter tag group in the Minam River.

## Summer Steelhead

We determined migration timing and abundance of juvenile steelhead/rainbow trout ( $O$. mykiss) using rotary screw traps on four streams in the Grande Ronde River Subbasin during MY 2010. Based on migration timing and abundance, we distinguished early and late migration patterns, similar to those of spring Chinook salmon. For MY 2010, we estimated 8,081 steelhead migrants left upper rearing areas of the upper Grande Ronde River with $10 \%$ of these fish leaving as early migrants. We estimated 11,494 steelhead migrants left upper rearing areas in Catherine Creek with $48 \%$ of these fish leaving as early migrants. We estimated 14,111 steelhead migrated from the Lostine River, with approximately $67 \%$ of these fish leaving as early migrants. We estimated 50,224 steelhead migrated from the Minam River with $27 \%$ of these fish leaving as early migrants.

The steelhead collected at trap sites during MY 2010 were comprised of five age groups. Early migrants ranged from 0 to 4 years of age, whereas late migrants ranged from 1 to 4 years of age. Smolts detected at Snake River and lower Columbia River dams ranged from 1 to 4 years of age with age- 2 fish making up the highest percentage of seaward migrants.

Juvenile steelhead PIT-tagged at screw traps on Catherine Creek, and the upper Grande Ronde, Lostine, and Minam rivers were detected at Lower Granite Dam from 14 April to 25 June 2010. Median arrival dates for early migrants ranged from 28 April to 20 May. Median arrival dates for late migrants ranged from 14 May to 21 May.

Probabilities of surviving and migrating in the first year to Lower Granite Dam for early migrating steelhead ranged from 0.098 (upper Grande Ronde River) to 0.190 (Catherine Creek). Probabilities of surviving and migrating in the first year to Lower Granite Dam for late migrants, greater than 114 mm , ranged from 0.527 (Catherine Creek) to 1.039 (Minam River). None of the four groups of smaller late-migrating fish ( $<115 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) had sufficient detections at Lower Granite dam to calculate a probability of migrating and surviving in spring 2010. It should be noted that lack of detections, for small steelhead ( $<115 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), is not necessarily due to low survival, but more likely a result of these fish being less likely to emigrate in the first year.

## Stream Condition

Daily mean water temperature typically fell within DEQ standards for all four study streams, while the 2008 BY spring Chinook salmon were in the Grande Ronde River Subbasin (1 August 2008-30 June 2010). The 2008 BY encountered daily mean water temperature in excess of the DEQ standard of $17.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 74 of 699 days for the upper Grande Ronde River, 85 of 699 days for Catherine Creek, 26 of 681 days for Lostine River and 85 of 603 days for Minam River. During the period egg deposition typically occurs (i.e., spawning;

August 2008), daily mean water temperatures exceeded $17.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the upper Grande Ronde River, Catherine Creek and the Lostine and Minam rivers. During parr rearing and early dispersal (June-August 2009), daily mean water temperatures exceeding $17.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ occurred for 74 days in the upper Grande Ronde River, 15 days in Catherine Creek, 12 days in the Lostine River, and 10 days in the Minam River. During early dispersal (August-September 2009), daily mean water temperatures exceeding $17.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ occurred for 2 d in the upper Grande Ronde River, 24 d in Catherine Creek and 14 d in Lostine River. For the Minam River, water temperature data was absent during the early dispersal period. Temperatures preferred by juvenile Chinook salmon $\left(10-15.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ occurred for $18 \%$ of the hours logged for the upper Grande Ronde River, $18 \%$ for Catherine Creek, $21 \%$ for Lostine River and $12 \%$ for Minam River. These optimal temperatures tended to occur April-June and AugustOctober in all four study streams. Water temperatures considered lethal to Chinook salmon ( $>25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) were not encountered by juveniles in any of the four study streams. The moving mean of maximum daily water temperature showed that temperatures below the limit for healthy growth $\left(4.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ occurred more often than temperature above that limit $\left(18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in all four study streams.

Stream discharge for the upper Grande Ronde River, Catherine Creek and the Lostine and Minam rivers remained relatively low and stable from August through March. Spring run-off typically occurred from mid-April through July with peak flows in 2009 occurred during mid-May for the upper Grande Ronde River and Catherine Creek and in late May and early June for the Minam and Lostine rivers respectively. Spring runoff in 2010 occurred later on the upper Grande Ronde River, Catherine Creek, and the Minam River with peak flows occurring on 4 June 2010. Peak flow on the Lostine River also occurred on 4 June 2010, which was slightly earlier than in 2009.

## Management Implications and Recommendations

Rearing of juvenile spring Chinook salmon and summer steelhead in the Grande Ronde River Subbasin is not confined to the areas in which adults spawn. Some of the juvenile spring Chinook salmon and steelhead from each study stream move from natal rearing areas to overwinter in downstream areas of the subbasin before migrating toward the ocean as smolts the following spring or later. These movements of spring Chinook salmon and steelhead demonstrate that lower river reaches in the subbasin are used more than migratory corridors, indicating the need for habitat protection within the entire subbasin. Migration timing continues to vary between years and populations; therefore management of the hydrosystem to maximize survival throughout the entire migratory period of Snake River spring/summer Chinook salmon and steelhead smolts is needed.

## INTRODUCTION

The Grande Ronde River originates in the Blue Mountains of northeast Oregon and flows 334 km to its confluence with the Snake River near Rogersburg, Washington. The Grande Ronde River Subbasin is divided into three watershed areas: the upper Grande Ronde River watershed, the lower Grande Ronde River watershed, and the Wallowa River watershed. The upper Grande Ronde River watershed includes the Grande Ronde River and tributaries from headwaters to the confluence with the Wallowa River. The lower Grande Ronde River watershed includes the Grande Ronde River and tributaries, excluding the Wallowa River, from Wallowa River to the confluence with Snake River. The Wallowa River watershed includes Wallowa River and tributaries, including Lostine and Minam rivers, from headwaters to its confluence with Grande Ronde River.

Historically, Grande Ronde River Subbasin supported an abundance of salmonids including spring, summer and fall Chinook salmon, sockeye salmon, coho salmon and summer steelhead (ODFW 1990). During the past century, numerous factors have led to a reduction in salmonid stocks such that the only viable populations remaining are spring Chinook salmon and summer steelhead. Snake River spring/summer Chinook salmon, including Grande Ronde River spring Chinook salmon, were listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) in 1992. Snake River steelhead, including Grande Ronde River summer steelhead, were listed as threatened under the ESA in 1997. Six spring Chinook salmon populations have been identified in the subbasin (TRT 2003): Wenaha River; Wallowa-Lostine River (includes Wallowa River, Lostine River, Bear Creek and Hurricane Creek); Minam River; Catherine Creek (includes Catherine and Indian creeks); Upper Grande Ronde River (includes the upper Grande Ronde River and Sheep Creek); and Lookingglass Creek, of which the endemic spring Chinook salmon population is considered extinct. Four summer steelhead populations have been identified in the subbasin (TRT 2003): Lower Grande Ronde River (includes the main stem Grande Ronde River and all tributaries, except Joseph Creek, upstream to the confluence of the Wallowa River); Joseph Creek; Wallowa River (includes Minam and Lostine rivers); and Upper Grande Ronde River (includes the main stem upper Grande Ronde River, Lookingglass Creek, Catherine Creek, Indian Creek and tributaries).

Anadromous fish production in the subbasin is primarily limited by two factors (Nowak 2004). Adult escapement of salmon and steelhead is limited by out-of-subbasin issues, such as juvenile and adult passage problems at Columbia and Snake River dams and out-of-subbasin overharvest (Nowak 2004). Carrying capacity has been reduced within the subbasin by land management activities which have contributed to riparian and instream habitat degradation. Impacts to fish and aquatic habitat includes water withdrawal for irrigation, urban development, livestock overgrazing, mining, channelization, low stream flows, poor water quality, logging activity and road construction (Nowak 2004). Many of these impacts have been reduced in recent years as management practices become more sensitive to fish and aquatic habitats, but the effects of past management remain (Nowak 2004).

Development of sound recovery strategies for these salmon stocks requires knowledge of stock-specific life history strategies and critical habitats for spawning, rearing, and downstream migration (Snake River Recovery Team 1993; NWPPC 1992; ODFW 1990). This project is acquiring knowledge of juvenile migration patterns, smolt production and rates of survival. This project collects data to obtain life stage specific survival estimates (parr-to-smolt), and includes an evaluation of the importance and frequency at which alternative life history strategies are demonstrated by spring Chinook salmon populations in northeast Oregon.

The spring Chinook salmon and summer steelhead smolt migration from the Grande Ronde River Subbasin occurs during spring. Data from Lookingglass Creek (Burck 1993), Catherine Creek, Grande Ronde River and Lostine River (Keefe et al. 1994, 1995; Jonasson et al. 1997, Van Dyke et al. 2001) indicate a substantial number of juveniles move out of upper rearing areas during fall and overwinter downstream within the Grande Ronde River Subbasin. The proportion of the total migrant population these early migrants represent, and their survival to Snake and Columbia River dams varies among years and streams.

Juvenile Chinook salmon that leave upper rearing areas of Catherine Creek and the upper Grande Ronde River during fall, overwinter in the Grande Ronde Valley. Much of the habitat in these mid-reaches of the Grande Ronde River is degraded. Stream conditions in the Grande Ronde River below the city of La Grande consist of both meandering and channeled sections of stream, which run through agricultural land. Riparian vegetation in this area is sparse, and provides minimal shade and instream cover. The river is heavily silted due to extensive erosion associated with agricultural and forest management practices and mining activities. It is reasonable to suggest that salmon overwintering in degraded habitat may be subject to increased mortality due to the limited ability of the habitat to buffer against environmental extremes. The fall migration from upper rearing areas in Catherine Creek constitutes a substantial portion of the juvenile production (Jonasson et al. 2006): therefore, winter rearing habitat quantity and quality in the Grande Ronde Valley may be important factors limiting spring Chinook salmon smolt production in the Grande Ronde River.

Juvenile steelhead that leave upper rearing areas during fall and spring may continue rearing within the subbasin for an extended period of time ( 6 months to several years) before resuming smolt migration during spring. Therefore, rearing habitat is not limited to the areas where steelhead spawn.

Numerous enhancement activities have been undertaken in an effort to recover spring Chinook salmon populations in the Grande Ronde River Subbasin. Supplementation programs have been initiated by the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation and the Nez Perce Tribe using endemic broodstock from the upper Grande Ronde River, Catherine Creek and the Lostine River. Information collected by this project will serve as the foundation for assessing the effectiveness of these programs to increase the natural production of spring Chinook salmon in the Grande Ronde River Subbasin.

## SPRING CHINOOK SALMON INVESTIGATIONS

## Methods

For the purpose of this report, we assume all juvenile spring Chinook salmon captured in traps were downstream "migrants". A migratory year (MY) in the Grande Ronde River Subbasin begins on 1 July, which is the earliest calendar date juvenile spring Chinook salmon are expected to begin their migration to the ocean. The migratory year ends on 30 June the following calendar year. The term "brood year" (BY) refers to the calendar year in which eggs were fertilized. All spring Chinook salmon referred to in this report were naturally produced unless noted otherwise.

## In-Basin Migration Timing and Abundance

We determined the in-basin migration timing and abundance of juvenile spring Chinook salmon in the upper Grande Ronde River, Catherine Creek, and the Lostine and Minam rivers by operating rotary screw traps during MY 2010. Spring Chinook salmon in each study stream exhibit two migratory life history patterns. Early migrants leave upper rearing areas in fall to overwinter in downstream reaches before continuing their seaward migration out of the subbasin the following spring. Late migrants exhibit another life history strategy whereby they overwinter in the upper rearing areas prior to initiating their seaward migration in spring. Designations of early and late migration periods were based on trends in capture rates at trap sites. A common period of diminished capture rates occurs at all four trap sites during winter and was used to separate fish into early and late migration periods. We determined migration timing and abundance for both of these periods.

In the Grande Ronde River Subbasin, we operated four rotary screw traps (Figure 1). In the Upper Grande Ronde River Watershed, one rotary screw trap was located downstream of spawning and upper rearing areas in the upper Grande Ronde River near the town of Starkey at rkm 299, and a second trap was located in Catherine Creek downstream of spawning and upper rearing areas near the town of Union at rkm 32. In the Wallowa River Watershed, one rotary screw trap was located below the majority of spawning and upper rearing areas on the Lostine River near the town of Lostine at rkm 3, and another trap was located on the Minam River below spawning and rearing areas at rkm 0 . Although the intent was to operate the traps continuously through the year, there were times when a trap could not be operated due to high or low river flows or freezing conditions. There were also instances when traps were not operating due to debris blockage and mechanical breakdowns. No attempt was made to adjust population estimates for periods when traps were not operating. For this reason, estimates represent a minimum number of migrants.

The rotary screw traps were equipped with live-boxes that safely held hundreds of juvenile spring Chinook salmon trapped over 24-72 h periods. The traps were generally checked daily, but were checked as infrequently as every third day when few fish were captured per day and environmental conditions were not severe. All juvenile spring Chinook salmon captured in traps were removed for enumeration and scanned for PIT tags. Before scanning and marking, fish were anesthetized in an aerated solution of tricaine methanesulfonate ( $40-50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$; MS-222). PIT tags were injected manually with a modified hypodermic syringe as described by Prentice et al. $(1986,1990)$ and Matthews et al. $(1990,1992)$ for fish with fork length (FL) greater than 54 mm . Syringes were disinfected for 10 min in $70 \%$ isopropyl alcohol and allowed to dry between each use. A portable tagging station that consisted of a computer, PIT tag reader, measuring board, and electronic balance was used to record the tag code, fork length ( $\pm 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), and weight $( \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~g})$ of tagged fish. Fork lengths ( mm ) and weights ( g ) were measured from at least 100 juvenile spring Chinook salmon each week when possible. All fish were handled and marked at stream temperatures of $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or less and released within 24 h of being tagged. River height was recorded daily from permanent staff gages and water temperatures were recorded daily at each trap location using thermographs or hand held thermometers.

Migrant abundance was estimated by conducting weekly trap efficiency tests throughout the migratory year at each trap site. Chinook salmon fry and sexually mature parr were not included in migrant abundance estimates. Trap efficiency was determined by releasing a known number of marked fish above each trap and enumerating recaptures. Immature parr that exceeded 54 mm in FL were either caudal fin-clipped or PIT-tagged, whereas fish less than 55 mm in FL were marked with a caudal fin clip only. On days when a trap stopped operating, the number of recaptured fish and the number of marked fish released the previous day were subtracted from the weekly totals. Trap efficiency was estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{j}=R_{j} / M_{j}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{E}_{j}$ is the estimated trap efficiency for week $j, R_{j}$ is the number of marked fish recaptured during week $j$, and $M_{j}$ is the number of marked fish released upstream during week $j$.

The weekly abundance of migrants that passed each trap site was estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}_{j}=U_{j} / \hat{E}_{j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{N}_{j}$ is the estimated number of fish migrating past the trap for week $j, U_{j}$ is the total number of unmarked fish captured that week, and $\hat{E}_{j}$ is the estimated trap efficiency for week $j$. Total migrant abundance was estimated as the sum of weekly abundance estimates.

Variance of each weekly $\hat{N}$ was estimated by the one-sample bootstrap method (Efron and Tibshirani 1986; Thedinga et al. 1994) with 1,000 iterations. Preliminary analysis indicated that when less than 10 fish were recaptured in a week, bootstrap variance estimates were greatly expanded. For this reason, consecutive weeks were
combined when there were fewer than 10 recaptures until total recaptures were greater or equal to 10 fish. This combined trap efficiency estimate was used in the bootstrap procedure to estimate variance of weekly population estimates. Each bootstrap iteration calculated weekly $\hat{N}_{j}^{*}$ from equations (1 and 2) drawing $R j^{*}$ and $U_{j}^{*}$ from the binomial distribution, where asterisks denote bootstrap values. Variance of $\hat{N}_{j}{ }^{*}$ was calculated from the 1,000 iterations. Weekly variance estimates were summed to obtain an estimated variance for the total migrant abundance. Confidence intervals for total migrant abundance were calculated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
95 \% C I=1.96 \sqrt{V}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ is the estimated total variance determined from the bootstrap.
The Catherine Creek and Lostine and upper Grande Ronde River traps were located below hatchery spring Chinook salmon release sites. The magnitude of hatchery spring Chinook salmon releases into these streams during the spring required modifications to methods used for estimating migrant abundance of wild spring Chinook salmon at trap sites. During low hatchery spring Chinook salmon catch periods, traps were operated continuously as described above. During high hatchery catch periods, traps were operated systematically for a 1 to 4 h interval using systematic two-stage sampling. Systematic sampling reduced handling and overcrowding induced stress, and avoided labor-intensive 24 h trap monitoring.

Systematic sampling required estimating the proportion of total daily catch captured during each sampling interval. This proportion was estimated by fishing the trap over several 24 h periods prior to systematic sampling. Number of fish trapped during the 1 to 4 h sampling interval and number in the remaining interval within each 24 h period were counted. Proportion of total daily catch captured during the sampling interval (i) was estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{P}_{i}=S_{i} / C, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{P}_{i}$ is the estimated proportion of total daily catch for sampling interval $i, S_{i}$ is total number of fish caught during sampling interval $i$, and $C$ is total number of fish caught throughout the 24 h sampling periods.

Estimates of trap efficiency could not be obtained during systematic sampling, so trap efficiency was calculated using mark-recapture numbers from 3 to 5 d before and after the systematic sampling period. Abundance of wild juvenile spring Chinook salmon at each trap during the systematic sampling period was estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}_{s}=\left(U_{i} / \hat{P}_{i}\right) / \hat{E}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{N}_{s}$ is estimated number of fish migrating past the trap during systematic sampling, $U_{i}$ is total number of fish captured during interval $i, \hat{P}_{i}$ is proportion of daily catch from equation (4) and $\hat{E}$ is estimated trap efficiency. Total migration abundance estimates for Catherine Creek and Lostine and upper Grande Ronde river traps were calculated by summing continuous and systematic sampling estimates.

Variance for $\hat{N}_{s}$ at each trap during systematic sampling was estimated by the one-sample bootstrap method (Efron and Tibshirani 1986; Thedinga et al. 1994) with 1,000 iterations. Each bootstrap iteration calculated $\hat{N}_{s}$ from equations (1, 4, and 5) obtaining $R$ and $S_{i}$ from the binomial distribution and $U_{i}$ from the Poisson distribution. Variance of total migrant abundance was determined by summing variance from continuous and systematic sampling estimates.

## Migration Timing and Survival to Lower Granite Dam

Detections of PIT tagged fish at Lower Granite Dam (i.e., first Snake River dam encountered) were used to estimate migration timing, while survival probabilities to Lower Granite Dam were estimated using detections of PIT tagged fish at Snake and Columbia River dams. Both estimates were calculated for summer, fall, winter and spring tag groups.

Summer tag groups consisted of age-0 parr tagged during July and August 2009 in their upstream rearing areas. Summer tag groups are comprised of fish that emigrated from upper rearing areas either as early or late migrants, and consequently overwintered either in lower or upper rearing areas, respectively, before continuing downstream migration. Therefore, summer tag groups represented migration timing and survival for the entire population.

Summer tag group fish were captured using snorkeling and seining methods; whereby, 2 to 3 snorkelers forced parr downstream into a seine positioned perpendicular to flow. Traditional beach seining was employed to a lesser extent. Captured fish were held in aerated, 19-L buckets and transferred periodically to live cages anchored in shaded areas of the stream. The goal was to PIT-tag 1,000 parr from Catherine Creek and Imnaha, Lostine, Minam and upper Grande Ronde rivers for summer tag groups.

Fall tag groups represented early migrants that emigrated from upstream rearing areas during fall and overwintered downstream from screw traps. For consistency with previous years, fish tagged at trap sites from 1 September 2009 through 28 January 2010 were designated as fall tag group. Early migrants were captured, tagged and released at screw traps on Catherine Creek and Lostine, Minam and upper Grande Ronde rivers. The goal was to PIT-tag 500 fish at each trap throughout the early migration period. PIT tags were provided by the Comparative Survival Study to tag additional spring Chinook salmon at our Catherine Creek, Lostine River, and Minam River traps, so more than 500 spring Chinook salmon were tagged at these traps during fall.

Winter and spring tag groups represented late migrants that overwintered as parr upstream from screw traps and emigrated in spring. The winter group was tagged earlier in upper rearing areas (December 2009) than the spring group, which were tagged as migrants (29 January-30 June 2010) at the trap. Therefore, winter tag groups experienced overwinter mortality post-tagging, while spring tag groups did not. Winter tag group fish were caught, tagged and released a minimum of 8 km upstream from trap sites to minimize the chance they would pass trap sites while making localized winter
movements. Fish were captured using dip nets while snorkeling at night. For winter tag groups, the goal was to PIT-tag 500 fish from Catherine Creek and Lostine and upper Grande Ronde rivers.

Spring migrants (i.e., late migrants) were captured, tagged and released at screw traps on Catherine Creek and Lostine, Minam and upper Grande Ronde river traps. The goal was to PIT-tag 500 fish at each trap throughout the late migration period. PIT tags were provided by the Comparative Survival Study to tag additional spring Chinook salmon at our Catherine Creek, Lostine River, and Minam River traps, so more than 500 spring Chinook salmon were tagged at these traps during spring.

During MY 2010, all captured fish were scanned for PIT tags at all screw traps. Additionally, PIT tag interrogation systems were used in juvenile bypass systems at seven of eight Snake River and Columbia River dams to monitor fish passage. All recaptured fish were identified by original tag group, insuring independence of tag groups for analysis. MY 2010 detection information was obtained from juvenile PIT tag interrogation sites at Lower Granite, Little Goose, Lower Monumental, Ice Harbor, McNary, John Day and Bonneville dams.

Calculations: Migration Timing: Timing of migration past Lower Granite Dam was estimated for each tag group by expanding total daily numbers of PIT tag detections relative to proportion of river outflow and spill. This procedure was necessary because some fish may have passed undetected over the spillway and amount of spill varies daily. Proportion of fish passed over the spillway was assumed to be directly related to proportion of flow spilled. This assumption conforms to data obtained using non-speciesspecific hydroacoustic methods (Kuehl 1986). No temporal variation in proportion of fish diverted from turbine intakes into the bypass system and proportion of fish passed through surface bypass collector was also assumed. These assumptions were made in light of evidence to the contrary (Giorgi et al. 1988, Swan et al. 1986, Johnson et al. 1997), because data required to account for such variation were unavailable. The extent to which results may be biased would depend on overall rates of fish passage via the bypass system and surface bypass collector, and on variation of daily passage rates via these routes during emigration. Number of fish in a particular tag group migrating past Lower Granite Dam by day ( $\hat{N}_{d}$ ) was estimated by multiplying number of fish from the tag group that were detected each day by a daily expansion factor calculated using Lower Granite Dam forebay water flow data obtained from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers at the DART website (www.cbr.washington.edu/dart/river.html):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}_{d}=D_{d} \times \frac{O_{d}+L_{d}}{O_{d}}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{d}$ is number of PIT tagged fish from a tag group detected at Lower Granite Dam on day $d, O_{d}$ is outflow (kcfs) measured at Lower Granite Dam forebay on day $d$, and $L_{d}$ is spill at Lower Granite dam (kcfs) on day $d$. Each daily estimate was rounded to the nearest integer. Daily estimates were summed weekly to obtain weekly migration timing estimates for each tag group. First and last arrival dates were reported for each tag group. Median arrival date of each tag group was determined from daily estimates.

Late migrants were tagged while fish were actively emigrating seaward during spring, while PIT tagged early migrants stop migrating and overwinter prior to resuming seaward migration during spring. Simulated chi-square tests using number of PIT tag releases and estimated number of migrants for each week have shown that these two variables are independent when both trap efficiency estimates and annual peaks in movement vary (random). Therefore, median arrival dates for spring tag groups may be biased on distribution of PIT tag releases. In an attempt to alleviate this bias, winter tag groups were used to represent late migrant when comparing migration timing differences with those of early migrants. Travel times for spring tag groups, to reach Lower Granite Dam from screw traps, were summarized for each location.

Survival Probabilities: The probability of survival to Lower Granite Dam for fish in each tag group was calculated using the Cormack-Jolly-Seber model in program SURPH 2.2b (Lady et al. 2001). This method takes into account probability of detection when calculating probability of survival.

Overwinter Survival: Winter and spring tag group survival probabilities were used to indirectly estimate overwinter survival ( $\hat{S}_{s, \text { overwinter }}$ ) for late migrants in upstream rearing areas of Catherine Creek and Lostine and upper Grande Ronde rivers:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{S}_{s, \text { overwinter }}=\frac{\hat{S}_{s, \text { winter }}}{\hat{S}_{s, \text { spring }}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{S}_{s, \text { winter }}$ is survival probability to Lower Granite Dam for the winter tag group from stream $s$, and $\hat{S}_{s, \text { spring }}$ is survival probability to Lower Granite Dam for the spring tag group from stream $s$.

Smolt Equivalents: Smolt equivalents are defined as an estimated number of smolts from a population that successfully emigrate from a specified area (Hesse et al. 2006). We used early and late migrant abundance estimates (see In-Basin Migration Timing and Abundance) and subsequent survival probabilities to Lower Granite Dam (see Migration Timing and Survival to Lower Granite Dam; Calculations; Survival probabilities) to estimate number of smolt equivalents leaving their respective tributary in spring ( $\hat{Q}_{s, \text { tributary }}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{Q}_{s, \text { tributary }}=\left(\hat{N}_{s, \text { early }} \times \frac{\hat{S}_{s, \text { early }}}{\hat{S}_{s, \text { late }}}\right)+\left(\hat{N}_{s, \text { late }}\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and number of smolt equivalents reaching Lower Granite $\operatorname{Dam}\left(\hat{Q}_{s, L G D}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{Q}_{s, L G D}=\left(\hat{N}_{s, e a r l y} \times \hat{S}_{s, \text { early }}\right)+\left(\hat{N}_{s, l a t e} \times \hat{S}_{s, l a t e}\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{N}_{s, \text { early }}, \hat{N}_{s, \text { late }}$ are estimated number of early and late migrants, respectively, from stream $s$, and $\hat{S}_{s, e a r l y}, \hat{S}_{s, \text { late }}$ are estimated survival probabilities to Lower Granite Dam for early and late migrants, respectively, from stream $s$.

Population Characteristics and Comparisons: Summer tag groups include various life history patterns displayed by a population and provides information about population overall survival and timing past dams. Summer 2007 and 2008 PIT tagged parr from Catherine Creek and Imnaha, Lostine and Minam river populations were used to monitor and compare smolt migration timing to Lower Granite Dam and survival probabilities from tagging to Snake River dams. Tagging was conducted during late summer so that fish would be large enough to tag ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 55 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Sampling and tagging primarily occurred in spawning reaches utilized during the previous year.

Migration Timing: Population migration timing data were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA on dates of arrival, expressed as day of the year for expanded total daily PIT tag detections (see expansion explanation in Migration Timing and Survival to Lower Granite Dam: Calculations: Migration Timing). When significant differences were found, Dunn's pairwise multiple comparison procedure was used $(\alpha=0.05)$ to compare arrival dates among populations.

Comparison of Life History Strategies within Populations: Tests were performed to determine if early or late migrant life history strategies were associated with differences in migration timing to Lower Granite Dam, and survival to main stem Snake and Columbia River dams.

Migration Timing: Timing of migration past Lower Granite Dam was compared between fall (early migrants) and winter (late migrants) Catherine Creek and Lostine and upper Grande Ronde river tag groups to identify possible differences in migration timing. Comparisons were made using the Mann-Whitney rank sum test on arrival dates. Spillway flow (and the passage of undetected PIT tagged fish at the dam) was taken into account when expanding daily detections (see expansion explanation in Migration Timing and Survival to Lower Granite Dam: Calculations: Migration Timing). A winter tag group was not available for Minam River, so no comparison of median arrival dates was made for this population.

Survival Probabilities: Fish emigrating from upstream rearing areas (early migrants) overwintered in different stream reaches than fish that remained upstream (late migrants), possibly subjecting groups to different environmental conditions. Selecting different overwintering areas may have implications on overwinter survival. For each stream, relative success of early and late migrants was evaluated by using the Maximum Likelihood Ratio Test to test a null hypothesis that survival probabilities of the fall tag group (early migrants) and winter tag group (late migrants) were the same. Any difference in survival probabilities between these two groups was assumed to be due to differential survival in upstream (used by winter tag group) and downstream (used by fall tag group) overwintering stream reaches. Since the fall group was tagged before the winter group, a lower survival estimate for the fall tag group could be due to elapsed time rather than a difference in overwintering conditions.

## Results and Discussion

## In-Basin Migration Timing and Abundance

Upper Grande Ronde River: The upper Grande Ronde River trap fished for 166 d between 1 October 2009 and 27 June 2010 (Table 1). There was a distinct early and late migration was exhibited by juvenile spring Chinook salmon at this trap site (Figure 2). Systematic subsampling comprised 13 of 117 d the trap was fished during the late migration period, and a total of 1,239 juvenile Chinook salmon were caught during this period. The median emigration date for early migrants was 26 October 2009, and the median emigration date for late migrants was 6 April 2010 (Appendix Table A-1). Both dates fall within the range of median dates previously recorded for this study.

We estimated a minimum of $20,763(95 \% \mathrm{CI}, \pm 1,938)$ juvenile spring Chinook salmon emigrated from upper Grande Ronde River rearing areas during MY 2010. Annual migrant abundance estimates between MY 1994 and 2009 averaged 11,874 $\pm$ 6,377, with the lowest estimate occurring in MY 2009 (34) and the highest estimate occurring in MY 1995 (38,725; Appendix Table A-1). Less than 100 emigrating juvenile spring Chinook have been observed on two additional occasions at the upper Grande Ronde River (MY $1997=82$ and MY $2001=51$ ). Based on the total minimum estimate of juvenile spring Chinook salmon, $22 \%(4,584 \pm 571)$ were early migrants and $78 \%$ $(16,179 \pm 1,851)$ were late migrants. A dominant late migration in the upper Grande Ronde River is consistent with most migratory years studied (Appendix Table A-1).

Catherine Creek: The Catherine Creek trap fished for 179 d between 9 September 2009 and 3 June 2010 (Table 1). There was a distinct early and late migration exhibited by juvenile spring Chinook salmon at this trap site (Figure 2). Systematic subsampling comprised 7 of 95 d the trap was fished during the late migration period, during which a total of 519 juvenile Chinook salmon were captured. The median emigration date for early migrants was 14 October 2009, and the median emigration date for late migrants was 3 April 2010 (Appendix Table A-1). The median emigration date for early migrants was within the range of median dates previously recorded by this study while the emigration date for late migrants was the latest on record (MY 1997-2010).

We estimated a minimum of $43,635 \pm 7,152$ juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrated out of Catherine Creek rearing areas during MY 2010. Annual migrant abundance estimates between MY 1997 and 2009 averaged $24,801 \pm 9,115$, with the lowest estimate occurring during MY $1997(4,442)$ and the highest occurring during MY 2004 (64,012; Appendix Table A-1). Based on the total minimum estimate, 74\% (32,358 $\pm 6,356)$ migrated early and $26 \%(11,277 \pm 3,277)$ migrated late. In contrast with migrants from the upper Grande Ronde River, the principal migration from Catherine Creek has consistently been observed during the early migrant period.

Lostine River: The Lostine River trap fished for 263 d between 9 September 2009 and 29 May 2010 (Table 1). Distinct early and late migrations were evident at this trap site (Figure 2). Systematic subsampling comprised 17 of 121 d the trap was fished
during the late migration period, during which a total of 2,597 juvenile Chinook salmon were captured. The median emigration date for early migrants was 28 October 2009, and the median date for late migrants was 4 April 2010 (Appendix Table A-1). Both dates fall within the range of median dates previously recorded for this study.

We estimated a minimum of $47,686 \pm 3,126$ juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrated out of the Lostine River during MY 2010. Annual migrant abundance estimates between MY 1997 and 2009 averaged $29,112 \pm 10,651$, with the lowest estimate occurring in MY $1997(4,496)$ and the highest occurring in MY2005 $(54,602)$. Based on the minimum estimate, $60 \%(28,529 \pm 2,717)$ of the juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrated early and $40 \%(19,157 \pm 1,545)$ migrated late. The Lostine River population appears to be similar to the Catherine Creek population in that the largest emigration has been observed during the early migrant period most years (Appendix Table A-1).

Minam River: The Minam River trap fished for 178 d between 8 September 2009 and 2 June 2010 (Table 1). Distinct early and late migrations were evident (Figure 2). The median emigration date of early migrants was 15 October 2009, and the median date for late migrants was 3 April 2010 (Appendix Table A-1). Both dates fall within the range of median dates previously recorded for this study.

We estimated a minimum of $166,018 \pm 35,709$ juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrated out of the Minam River during MY 2010, which is the highest migrant abundance estimate at the Minam River trap since trap operation began during MY 2001. Annual migrant abundance estimates between MY 2001 and 2009 averaged 61,839 $\pm$ 19,530, with the lowest estimate occurring during MY $2001(28,2090)$, the previous high migrant estimate occurred during MY $2005(111,390)$. Based on the minimum estimate, $45 \%(75,070 \pm 13,489)$ of the juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrated early and $55 \%$ $(90,948 \pm 33,063)$ migrated late. The percentage of late migrants is within the range reported from previous years of this study (Appendix Table A-1).

Size of Migrants: A comparison of mean lengths and weights of juvenile spring Chinook salmon captured in the traps as early and late migrants and in upper rearing areas in winter and those PIT-tagged and released are given in Tables 2 and 3. Length frequency distributions of juvenile spring Chinook salmon caught in all traps by migration period are shown in Figure 3. Weekly mean lengths of migrants generally increased over time at each of the traps (Figure 4).

## Migration Timing and Survival to Lower Granite Dam

Population Comparisons: During July-August 2009, Chinook salmon parr were PIT-tagged and released in upper summer rearing areas of Catherine Creek and upper Grande Ronde, Lostine, Imnaha and Minam rivers (Table 4).

Migration Timing: Spring Chinook salmon parr PIT-tagged on the upper Grande Ronde, Catherine Creek, and the Lostine, Minam and Imnaha rivers during summer 2009 were detected at Lower Granite Dam from 23 April to 25 June 2010 (Appendix Table A-
2). The period of detection at Lower Granite Dam among the five populations ranged from 54 d (Lostine and Minam rivers) to 73 d (Imnaha River). Median dates of arrival ranged from 14 May to 4 June (Figure 5). Median dates of arrival at Lower Granite Dam for the upper Grande Ronde River and Catherine Creek were significantly different than the median date of arrival for the Minam, Lostine and Imnaha rivers in MY 2010 (Kruskal-Wallis, $P<0.05$ ). Dunn's multiple comparison tests revealed that median dates of arrival for the Lostine, Minam, and Imnaha rivers were not significantly different. Median arrival dates at Lower Granite dam were not significantly different for upper Grande Ronde River and Catherine Creek populations in MY 2010. Median arrival dates for summer tag groups from Catherine Creek, and the Lostine, Minam and Imnaha rivers were the latest on record, while the upper Grande Ronde River median arrival date fell into the previously reported range (Appendix Table A-2).

Survival Probabilities: Survival probabilities to Lower Granite Dam for parr tagged during summer 2009 were 0.235 for the upper Grande Ronde River, 0.107 for Catherine Creek, 0.114 for the Lostine River, 0.131 for the Minam River, and 0.102 for the Imnaha River populations of juvenile spring Chinook salmon (Table 5). Survival probabilities for all groups during MY 2010 fell within the ranges reported during recent years, however, in Catherine Creek, Lostine, Minam and Imnaha rivers, survival probabilities were below the long term average. (Appendix Table A-3).

Comparison of Early Life History Strategies: Unmarked juvenile spring Chinook salmon were PIT-tagged at screw traps on Catherine Creek and the upper Grande Ronde, Lostine and Minam rivers. Parr were also tagged upstream of screw trap sites on the upper Grande Ronde River, Catherine Creek and Lostine River during winter 2009-2010. Total numbers of Chinook parr PIT tagged from each study stream, per season, are provided in Table 6.

Migration Timing: Median arrival dates at Lower Granite Dam for the fall, winter and spring tag groups from the upper Grande Ronde River were 13 May, 7 June and 21 May 2010, respectively (Figure 6). Median arrival dates at Lower Granite Dam for fall, winter, and spring tag groups from Catherine Creek were 21 May, 25 May and 20 May 2010, respectively (Figure 7). Median arrival dates at Lower Granite Dam for the fall, winter, and spring tag groups from the Lostine River were 30 April, 22 May, and 19 May 2010, respectively (Figure 8). Median arrival dates at Lower Granite Dam for the fall and spring tag groups from the Minam River were 1 May and 17 May 2010, respectively (Figure 9). Median arrival dates of fall and spring tag groups from all populations were within ranges previously reported; however, the median arrival date of the upper Grande Ronde River winter tag group was later than previously observed. (Appendix Table A-2).

Similar to past years, early migrants (fall tag group) reached Lower Granite Dam earlier than late migrants (winter tag group) for the upper Grande Ronde River and the Lostine River (Mann-Whitney rank-sum test, $P<0.001$ ). There was no detectable difference of median arrival date between early and late migrants from the Catherine Creek population $(P=0.173)$. There was no winter tag group for the Minam River to compare with early migrants.

Travel time for upper Grande Ronde River late migrants from the screw trap to Lower Granite Dam ranged from 10 to 90 d with a median of $47 \mathrm{~d}(n=80)$. Travel time for Catherine Creek late migrants ranged from 17 to 87 d with a median of $47 \mathrm{~d}(n=65)$. Travel time for Lostine River late migrants ranged from 8 to 78 d with a median of 33 d ( $n=174$ ). Travel time for Minam River late migrants ranged from 9 to 77 d with a median of $38 \mathrm{~d}(n=182)$. Median travel times during MY 2010 were within previously observed ranges for the upper Grande Ronde River, Catherine Creek and the Lostine and Minam rivers (Appendix Table A-4).

Survival Probabilities: Survival probabilities to Lower Granite Dam for upper Grande Ronde River fall, winter, and spring tags groups were $0.209,0.125$, and 0.468 , respectively. Survival probabilities for Catherine Creek fall, winter and spring tag groups were $0.180,0.183$ and 0.464 , respectively. Survival probabilities for Lostine River fall, winter and spring tag groups were $0.265,0.243$ and 0.679 , respectively. Survival probabilities for Minam River fall and spring tag groups were 0.366 and 0.636 , respectively. Survival probabilities, similar to past years, were generally higher for spring tag groups, likely because these fish were not subject to the same post-tagging overwinter mortality that summer, fall and winter tag groups experience (Table 6).

Overwinter survival of BY 2008 fish in upper rearing areas of the upper Grande Ronde River was 27\% (Appendix Table A-5). During MY 2010 the difference in survival between fish that overwintered upstream and those downstream of the upper Grande Ronde trap was significantly different (Maximum Likelihood Ratio test, $P=0.014$ ). Survival rates were higher for fish overwintering downstream of the trap during MY 1995, 1998-2000, and 2007 (Appendix Table A-6). Upstream overwintering conferred better survival in MY 2004-2005, while survival rates were equivalent between overwintering areas for MY 1994, 2006, and 2008 (Appendix Table A-6). Lack of a winter tag group during migration years 1996-1997, 2001-2003, and 2009 precluded assessment of differential survival in upstream and downstream rearing areas on the upper Grande Ronde River.

Overwinter survival of BY 2008 fish in upper rearing areas of Catherine Creek was $39 \%$, and was similar to those previously observed during this study (Appendix Table A-5). During MY 2010, the difference in survival between fish that overwintered upstream and those downstream of the Catherine Creek trap was not significant (Maximum Likelihood Ratio test, $P=0.949$ ). We observed higher survival rates for fish overwintering downstream of the Catherine Creek trap in MY 1997, 2000-2001, 2007 and 2009 (Appendix Table A-6); however, overwinter survival has generally been similar between upstream and downstream overwintering fish ( 9 of 16 migratory years).

Overwinter survival of BY 2008 fish in the upper rearing areas on the Lostine River was $36 \%$, and was similar to those previously observed during this study (Appendix Table A-5). During MY 2010, overwinter survival between fish that overwintered upstream and those downstream of the Lostine River trap was not significantly different (Maximum Likelihood Ratio test, $P=0.719$ ). For the Lostine

River, we have generally observed equivalent overwinter survival rates between upstream and downstream overwintering areas ( 9 of 13 migration years), while higher survival rates for downstream rearing fish were estimated the remainder of the time ( 4 of 13 migration years) (Appendix Table A-6).

Smolt Equivalents: An estimated 18,226 smolt equivalents emigrated from upper Grande Ronde River upper rearing areas in MY 2010. Of these fish, 8,529 successfully emigrated to LGD. For years an estimate was available, mean smolt equivalents emigrating from the upper Grande Ronde River and reaching LGD between MY 1994 and 2009 were $14,601 \pm 6,080$ and $7,037 \pm 3,358$ respectively. We documented the lowest spring smolt equivalent estimates from rearing reaches of the upper Grande Ronde River and at LGD during MY 2003 (4,198 and 1,666, respectively). Highest spring smolt equivalent estimates from rearing reaches of the upper Grande Ronde River and at LGD occurred during MY 1995 ( 35,685 and 21,732, respectively). Smolt equivalents were not estimated for MY 1996, 1997, 2001, and 2009 as a result of too few PIT tag releases and subsequent incomplete survival estimates for one or both migrant groups (Appendix Table A-7).

An estimated 23,829 smolt equivalents emigrated from Catherine Creek rearing reaches during the spring of MY 2010 which was the highest estimate since MY 2004. Of these fish, 11,056 successfully emigrated to LGD which was the highest estimate on record. Smolt equivalent estimates in MY 2010 were the second highest on record (1995present). Mean smolt equivalents emigrating from Catherine Creek and successfully reaching LGD between MY 1995 and 2009 were 12,761 $\pm$ 3,378 and 5,559 $\pm$ 1,491 respectively. Lowest estimates occurred during MY 1997, when an estimated 3,974 smolt equivalents emigrated from Catherine Creek rearing areas, and an estimated 1,641 successfully reached LGD (Appendix Table A-7).

An estimated 30,291 smolt equivalents emigrated from Lostine River rearing areas during spring MY 2010. Of these fish, 20,567 successfully emigrated to LGD which is the highest estimate on record (MY 1997-present; Appendix Table A-7). Mean smolt equivalents emigrating from the Lostine River and successfully reaching LGD between MY 1997 and 2009 were 18,924 $\pm 5,950$ and 12,194 $\pm 3,640$ respectively. Lowest smolt equivalent estimates emigrated from Lostine River rearing areas during spring and successfully emigrated to LGD during MY 1997 (3,203 and 2,463, respectively). Highest smolt equivalent estimates emigrating from Lostine River rearing areas during spring occurred during MY $2005(33,349)$. The previous high estimate for smolt equivalents successfully emigrating to LGD occurred during MY 1999 (19,012; Appendix Table A-7). Access to the Lostine River trap site was denied during MY 2004, precluding estimates of migrant abundance, survival to LGD and smolt equivalents.

An estimated 134,148 smolt equivalents emigrated from Minam River rearing areas during spring MY 2010. Of these fish, 85,318 successfully emigrated to LGD (Appendix Table A-7). Both estimates are the highest on record (MY 2001-present). Mean smolt equivalents emigrating from the Minam River and successfully reaching LGD between MY 2001 and 2009 were $53,377 \pm 24,381$ and $30,928 \pm 15,670$
respectively. Lowest estimates occurred during MY 2007, when an estimated 22,589 smolt equivalents emigrated from Minam River rearing areas during spring, and 13,599 successfully emigrated to LGD. The previous high estimates occurred during MY 2005, when an estimated 88,766 smolt equivalents emigrated from Minam River rearing areas during spring, and an estimated 49,265 successfully emigrated to LGD (Appendix Table A-7).

## SUMMER STEELHEAD INVESTIGATIONS

## Methods

In the Grande Ronde River Subbasin, most juvenile steelhead populations coexist with rainbow trout populations and only steelhead smolts and mature adults can be visually differentiated from resident rainbow trout. For this reason, all Oncorhynchus mykiss are referred to as steelhead in this report, even though some of these fish are likely resident rainbow trout. Screw traps and mark/recapture techniques were used to study movement of juvenile steelhead downstream from spawning and upper rearing reaches in Catherine Creek and Lostine, Minam and upper Grande Ronde rivers. We assumed all juvenile steelhead captured at trap sites were emigrating and not conducting localized movement. Violation of this assumption would result in positively biased population estimates.

## In-Basin Migration Timing and Abundance

Summer steelhead migration timing and abundance for Catherine Creek and Lostine, Minam and upper Grande Ronde rivers, were determined by operating rotary screw traps year round. As with spring Chinook salmon, summer steelhead exhibit two life history strategies in Grande Ronde River Subbasin (Van Dyke et al. 2001). Identical methods described for spring Chinook salmon data collection and analysis were used for steelhead (see SPRING CHINOOK SALMON INVESTIGATIONS; Methods; InBasin Migration Timing and Abundance).

Fork length (mm) and weight (g) were measured from randomly-selected steelhead weekly throughout the migratory year. Methods described for spring Chinook salmon were used to sample and mark steelhead (see SPRING CHINOOK SALMON INVESTIGATIONS; Methods; In-Basin Migration Timing and Abundance). During previous years, steelhead less than 115 mm (FL) were not tagged during spring because fish from this size range were not detected at Snake or Columbia River dams until the following year. Although this criterion targeted only seaward migrating steelhead for the spring tag group, it failed to characterize migration behavior of all steelhead emigrating from natal rearing areas during spring. Beginning in MY 2004, all steelhead were tagged to fully document all life history strategies used by each of the four populations. In addition, scale samples were taken from a subsample of steelhead ( 10 fish/ 10 mm FL group) during both migration periods. Descriptive statistics and an age-length key were employed to describe age structure of early and late migrants collected at each trap site.

## Migration Timing and Survival to Lower Granite Dam

[^0]Creek during the beginning of a migratory year (July) and has not been conducted since 2006. The fall tag group represents early migrant summer steelhead that relocate downstream of the screw trap site between 1 September 2009 and 28 January 2010. The spring tag group represents fish that migrate downstream of trap sites between 29 January and 30 June 2010 (late migrants). At each trap site during MY 2010, the goal was to PITtag 600 steelhead during the fall and spring to assess migration timing of early and late migrants for each location.

Survival Probabilities: We monitored PIT tagged steelhead migration behavior using methods described for spring Chinook salmon (see SPRING CHINOOK
SALMON INVESTIGATIONS; Methods; Migration Timing and Survival to Lower Granite Dam) for the three tag groups described above. Groups of PIT tagged juvenile steelhead represent an undetermined combination of resident rainbow trout and steelhead. Therefore, survival probabilities calculated from these groups incorporate an unknown probability of an individual selecting the resident life history. Steelhead tagged during each migratory year of the study have been detected at dams across more than one migratory year (Reischauer et al. 2003); however, calculating a survival estimate across multiple migration years violates the assumptions of the Cormack-Jolly-Seber model. For this study, only detections during the migration year of tagging (2010) were used to calculate probability of surviving and migrating to Lower Granite Dam. Survival probabilities were calculated using program SURPH2.2b (Lady et al. 2001).

Length and Age Characterization of Smolt Detections: We compared steelhead length at tagging, grouped by dam detection history, to investigate the relationship between size, migration patterns and survival. Fork lengths of all steelhead tagged during fall 2009 were compared to fork lengths of those subsequently detected at the dams in 2010 using the Mann-Whitney rank-sum test. Fork lengths of all steelhead tagged during fall 2008 were compared to that of those subsequently detected in 2009 and 2010 using a Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks. Dunn's multiple comparison test was performed when the Kruskal-Wallis test rejected the null hypothesis that all tag groups were the same. In addition, fork lengths of steelhead tagged during spring 2010 were compared to that of those subsequently detected at dams during spring 2010 using a Mann-Whitney rank-sum test. Age structure of steelhead PIT-tagged at the traps and the subset detected at the dams during spring 2010 were characterized. Only steelhead of known age, at time of tagging, were used for this analysis.

## Results and Discussion

## In-Basin Migration Timing and Abundance

Catherine Creek: The Catherine Creek trap fished for 179 d between 9 September 2009 and 3 June 2010 (Table 7). Systematic subsampling comprised 7 of 95 d the trap was fished during the late migration period, during which 53 juvenile steelhead were captured. There were distinct early and late migrations exhibited by juvenile steelhead at this trap site (Figure 10). Median emigration date for early migrants was 2 November 2009, while the median emigration date for late migrants was 18 April 2010. The median emigration date for late migrants was within the range of dates previously reported by this study, while the emigration date for early migrants was the latest date on record (MY 1997-2010; Appendix Table B-1).

We estimated a minimum of $11,494 \pm 2,213$ juvenile steelhead migrated out of the upper rearing areas of Catherine Creek during MY 2010, which was the lowest estimated abundance since trap operations began in MY 1997. Juvenile steelhead abundance estimated at the Catherine Creek trap averaged 25,321 $\pm 5,039$ between MY 1997 and 2009, with the previous low estimate observed during MY $2007(13,715)$ and the highest observed during MY $2002(45,799)$. Based on the total minimum abundance estimate, $48 \%(5,553 \pm 1,451)$ migrated early and $52 \%(5,941 \pm 1,671)$ migrated late. The proportion of juvenile steelhead leaving upper rearing areas as late migrants is consistent with the proportions from previous years of this study (Appendix Table B-1).

Lostine River: The Lostine River trap fished for 263 d between 9 September 2009 and 29 May 2010 (Table 7). Systematic subsampling comprised 17 of 121 d the trap was fished during the late migration period, during which 274 juvenile steelhead were captured. Distinct early and late migrations were evident at this trap site (Figure 10). The median emigration date of early migrants was 6 October 2009, and the median emigration date for late migrants was 26 April 2010. Both median migration dates were within ranges previously reported for this study (Appendix Table B-1).

We estimated a minimum of $14,111 \pm 2,027$ steelhead emigrated from the Lostine River during MY 2010. Juvenile steelhead abundance estimated at the Lostine River trap averaged 19,222 $\pm 6,211$ between MY 1997 and 2009, with the lowest estimate observed during MY $1997(4,309)$ and the highest observed during MY $2003(37,106)$. Based on the total minimum abundance estimate, $67 \%(9,469 \pm 1,831)$ of the juvenile steelhead migrated early and $33 \%(4,462 \pm 868)$ migrated late. The proportion of juvenile steelhead leaving upper rearing areas as late migrants is consistent with the proportions from previous years (Appendix Table B-1).

Minam River: The Minam River trap fished for 178 d between 8 September 2009 and 2 June 2010 (Table 7). Distinct early and late migrations were evident at this trap site (Figure 10). The median emigration date for early migrants was 15 October 2009, and the median emigration date for late migrants was 18 April 2010. Both median emigration dates were within ranges previously reported (Appendix Table B-1).

We estimated a minimum of $50,224 \pm 16,210$ juvenile steelhead migrated out of the Minam River during MY 2010. Juvenile steelhead abundance estimated at the Minam River trap between MY 2001 and 2009 averaged $49,779 \pm 26,446$, with the lowest estimate occurring in MY $2007(11,831)$ and the highest estimate occurring in 2005 $(105,853)$. Based on the total minimum abundance estimate, $27 \%(13,912 \pm 3,218)$ migrated early and $73 \%(36,312 \pm 15,887)$ migrated late. The proportion of juvenile steelhead emigrating from upper rearing areas as late migrants is consistent with the proportions from previous years (Appendix Table B-1).

Upper Grande Ronde River: The upper Grande Ronde River trap fished for 166 d between 1 October 2009 and 27 June 2010 (Table 7). Systematic subsampling comprised 13 of 117 d the trap was fished during the late migration period, during which 79 juvenile steelhead were captured. A distinct early migration was not as evident at this trap site as most juvenile steelhead emigrated as late migrants during spring months, which is consistent with previous years of this study (Figure 10). The median emigration date for early migrants was 15 October 2009 and the median emigration date for late migrants was 20 April 2010. Both median migration dates were within ranges previously reported for this study (Appendix Table B-1).

We estimated a minimum of $8,081(95 \% \mathrm{CI}, \pm 1,425)$ juvenile steelhead emigrated from upper rearing areas of the upper Grande Ronde River during MY 2010. Juvenile steelhead abundance estimated at the upper Grande Ronde River trap averaged $12,245 \pm 2,424$ between MY 1997 and 2009, with the lowest estimate observed during MY $1999(6,108)$ and the highest observed during MY $2000(17,845$;Appendix Table B1). Based on the total minimum abundance estimate, $10 \%$ ( $777 \pm 212$ ) were early migrants and $90 \%(7,304 \pm 1,409)$ were late migrants. The pattern of a dominant late migration of juvenile steelhead in the upper Grande Ronde River is consistent for all migratory years studied to date (Appendix Table B-1).

Age of Migrants at Traps: Summer steelhead collected at trap sites during MY 2010 comprised four age-groups. Early migrants ranged from 0 to 4 years of age, while late migrants ranged from 1 to 4 years of age (Table 8). Upper Grande Ronde River early migrants were evenly distributed between age 0 ( $32.7 \%$ ), age 1 ( $28.4 \%$ ), and age 2 (36.3\%). Majority of Catherine Creek ( $63.5 \%$ ), early migrants were age 1, while majority of Lostine River ( $54.1 \%$ ), and Minam River ( $93.3 \%$ ) early migrants were age 0 . Majority of Catherine Creek (49.0\%), Minam River (63.4\%), Lostine River (73.7\%), and upper Grande Ronde River (55.8\%) late migrants were age 1 (Table 8).

## Migration Timing and Survival to Lower Granite Dam

The total number of steelhead tagged in each tag group for each study stream is provided in Appendix Table B-2. Detections of the summer tag group (MY 2001-2007) from Catherine Creek and tributaries represented an undetermined combination of the two migrant groups that originated from this drainage.

Migration Timing: The median arrival dates at Lower Granite Dam for fall and spring tag groups of the upper Grande Ronde River were 14 May and 20 May, respectively (Figure 11). The median arrival dates for fall and spring tag groups of Catherine Creek were 4 May and 14 May, respectively (Figure 12). The median arrival dates for fall and spring tag groups of the Lostine River were 20 May and 21 May, respectively (Figure 13). The median arrival dates for fall and spring tag groups of Minam River were 28 April and 20 May, respectively (Figure 14).

Travel time from the rotary screw trap to Lower Granite Dam for the spring tag group from the four study streams are presented in Table 9. Travel time to Lower Granite Dam for the spring tag group from the upper Grande Ronde River ranged from 5 to 55 d with a median of 28.8 d . Travel time to Lower Granite Dam for the spring tag group from Catherine Creek ranged from 9 to 109 d with a median of 27.8 d . Travel time to Lower Granite Dam for the spring tag group from Lostine River ranged from 4 to 57 d with a median of 16.4 d . Travel time to Lower Granite Dam for the spring tag group from Minam River ranged from 5 to 86 d with a median of 22.6 d (Table 9).

Survival Probabilities: Probability of surviving and migrating, during migration year of tagging, to Lower Granite Dam for steelhead tagged in fall 2009 ranged from 0.098 to 0.190 for all four study streams (Table 10). Probabilities of migration and survival for larger steelhead ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 115 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) tagged during spring 2010 ranged from 0.527 to 1.040 for all four populations studied (Table 10). Generally, probabilities of migration and survival, during spring 2010, were relatively high for all four populations studied compared to previous years (Appendix Table B-3).

During this study, at least one PIT tagged fish captured and released in North, Middle and South forks of Catherine Creek, Little Catherine Creek and Milk Creek have been detected at Snake or Columbia river dams, indicating the anadromous life history strategy is present in all these tributaries (Appendix Table B-3).

Length and Age Characterization of Smolt Detections: Of all early migrating steelhead tagged at all four traps during fall 2009, predominately larger individuals tended to be detected at the dams during 2010 (Mann-Whitney, $P<0.05$, Figure 15). This pattern was also observed the previous migratory year for early migrants tagged during fall 2008. Of all early migrating steelhead tagged at all four traps during fall 2008, predominately smaller individuals tended to be detected at the dams during 2010 (Kruskal-Wallis, $P<0.05$, Figure 16). MY 2010 spring tag groups exhibited a pattern of larger individuals being detected at dams during spring (Mann-Whitney, $P<0.05$, Figure 17). Fork length summaries, at time of tagging, of all steelhead tag groups and those detected at dams are provided in Appendix Tables B-4, B-5, and B-6. While differences between medians of an entire tag group and those detected at dams could be a result of smaller fish experiencing greater size-dependent mortality, there is evidence that smaller individuals emigrating from upper rearing reaches delay seaward migration until the subsequent migratory year (Appendix Tables B-4, B-5, and B-6).

Of 82 early migrating age- 0 fish tagged in all four study streams, 3 (4\%) were detected at dams the following spring, while 29 of 225 (13\%) age-1, 20 of 127 (16\%) of age- 2,0 of $8(0 \%)$ of age- 3 , and 0 of $1(0 \%)$ of age- 4 early migrants were observed the following spring at dams. As in past years, age- 2 smolts (age- 1 early migrants) made up the highest weighted percentage of all observations in MY 2010 (Table 11). Late migrant smolts from 2010 primarily consisted of age 1 to 4 years with the majority consisting of age 2 fish. This is consistent with research done by Peven et al. (1994) who found that steelhead smolts from mid-Columbia River ranged in age from 1 to 7 years with most occurring as age- 2 and age- 3 fish.

# STREAM CONDITION INVESTIGATIONS 

## Methods

## Stream Temperature and Flow

An initial assessment of stream condition was conducted for all four study streams. General stream condition sampling was based on protocols described by The Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds (OPSW 1999) and stream flow data provided by the United States Geologic Survey (USGS) and the Oregon Water Resources Department (OWRD) La Grande District Water Master. Stream temperature and discharge was characterized for all four study streams constrained by in-basin life history of juvenile spring Chinook salmon from BY 2008, which ranged from 1 August 2008 (spawning) to 1 July 2010 (the end of MY 2010).

Mean daily temperature was generated using hourly 24 h data recorded to the nearest $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a temperature logger located at each trap site. Descriptive statistics were used to characterize water temperature in each study stream with standards of optimal and lethal temperature ranges for juvenile Chinook salmon (OPSW 1999). The cumulative effects of prolonged exposure to high water temperature were characterized using a seven-day moving mean of the daily maximum, and were calculated by averaging daily maximum temperature and maximum temperatures for the preceding three days and following three days $(\mathrm{n}=7)$. Water temperature data was compared to Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) standards to evaluate seasonal water temperature variation and subsequent relationships to early life history stages of spring Chinook salmon and summer steelhead. Because all four of the study streams are subject to frequent freezing and thawing, supercooling sometimes results from surface, frazil, and subsurface ice formation (Jakober et al. 1998), thus resulting in temperatures below 0.0C.

Stream discharge was obtained from upper Grande Ronde River (USGS station 13317850; rkm 321.9), Catherine Creek (USGS station 13320000; rkm 38.6), Lostine River (USGS station 13330300; rkm 1.6) and Minam River (USGS station 13331500; rkm 0.4) gauge stations that measured discharge in cubic feet per second (cfs) every 15 minutes. Average daily discharge was converted to cubic meters per second (nearest $0.0001, \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ). Each gauge station was situated near the downstream margin of summer rearing distribution.

## Results and Discussion

## Stream Temperature and Flow

Catherine Creek: Water temperatures, during the in-basin occupancy of BY 2008 Chinook salmon, ranged from a low of $-0.08^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $23.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Daily mean water temperature exceeded the DEQ standard of $17.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 85 of 699 days. Water temperatures were within the range preferred by juvenile Chinook salmon $\left(10-15.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; OPSW 1999) for 2,957 of 16,775 ( $18 \%$ ) hours logged. The DEQ lethal limit of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was
not exceeded during 699 days temperature was logged. The seven-day moving mean of maximum temperature revealed that water temperatures below the range expected to support healthy growth $\left(4.4-18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; OPSW 1999$)$ were encountered for a longer duration than those that exceeded the healthy growth water temperature range (Figure 18). Moving mean temperatures were less than $4.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 107 days ( 20 November 200814 March 2009) during incubation and emergence, and 102 days (11 November 2009-25 February 2010) during dispersal and spring migration. Moving mean temperatures exceeded $18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 17 days (4 August 2008-20 August 2008) during spawning and 48 days ( 15 July 2009-3 September 2009) during parr rearing and early dispersal.

Average daily discharge during the entire in-basin life history of the 2008 cohort ranged from 0.6796 to $34.8297 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ (Figure 19). Discharge was greater than $2.00 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from mid-March through mid-July 2009 during incubation, emergence, and parr rearing and mid-April through the spring migration in 2010. Annual peak flows occurred on 19 May 2009 and 4 June 2010, at $22.5685 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ and $34.8297 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively. Discharge was less than $2.00 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from August 2008 through mid-March in 2009, during spawning, incubation and emergence, and mid-July 2009 through early-April 2010, during parr rearing and early and late dispersal. In addition to typical spring freshets, stream discharge exceeded $2.00 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ for 4 days during mid-November 2008, 7 days during midDecember 2009, and 5 days during early-January 2010.

Lostine River: Water temperatures, during the majority of the in-basin occupancy of BY 2008 Chinook salmon, ranged from $0.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $20.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. We were unable to characterize an 18 day period during late dispersal and spring migration (10 April 2010-27 April 2010). Daily mean water temperature exceeded the DEQ standard of $17.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 26 of 681 days. Water temperatures were within the range preferred by juvenile Chinook salmon (10-15.6 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; OPSW 1999) for 3,499 of $16,343(21 \%)$ hours logged. The DEQ lethal limit of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was not exceeded during the 681 days temperature was logged. The seven-day moving mean of the maximum temperature revealed that water temperatures below the range expected to support healthy growth $\left(4.4-18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; OPSW 1999) were encountered for a longer duration than those that exceeded the healthy growth water temperature range (Figure 18). Moving mean temperatures were less than $4.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 90 days ( 21 November 2008-13 March 2009 during incubation and emergence, and 80 days ( 12 November 2009-1 February 2010) during parr rearing early dispersal and early spring migration. Moving mean temperatures exceeded $18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 5 days (14 August 2008-18 August 2008) during spawning.

Average daily discharge during the entire in-basin life history of the 2008 cohort ranged from 0.793 to $63.429 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ (Figure 19). Discharge was greater than $7.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from late-April through mid-July 2009, during the incubation, emergence and parr rearing period, and mid-May through June 2010 during the spring migration. Annual peak flows occurred on 6 June 2009 and 4 June 2010 and were $38.227 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ and $63.429 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively. Discharge was less than $7.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from August 2008 through mid-May 2009 during spawning, incubation and emergence, and early July 2009 through mid-April 2010 during parr rearing, early and late dispersal, and early spring migration. In addition to typical spring freshets, stream discharge exceeded $7.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ on 13 November 2008.

Minam River: Water temperatures, during the in-basin occupancy of BY 2008 Chinook salmon, ranged from $-0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. We were unable to characterize a 36 day period during spawning ( 17 August 2008-21 September 2008) and 60 day period during parr rearing and early dispersal (5 December 2008-2 February 2009). Daily mean water temperature exceeded the DEQ standard of $17.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 86 of 603 days. Water temperatures were within the range preferred by juvenile Chinook salmon $\left(10-15.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; OPSW 1999) for 1,786 of 14,471 ( $12 \%$ ) hours logged. The DEQ lethal limit of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was not exceeded during the 603 days temperature was logged. The seven-day moving mean of the maximum temperature revealed that water temperatures below the range expected to support healthy growth $\left(4.4-18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; OPSW 1999) were encountered for a longer duration than those that exceeded the healthy growth water temperature range (Figure 18). Moving mean temperatures were less than $4.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 55 days ( 17 November 2008-14 March 2009) during incubation and emergence, and 104 days (10 November 2009-24 February 2010) early and late dispersal and spring migration. Moving mean temperatures exceeded $18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 12 days (4 August 2008-15 August 2008) during spawning, and 67 days (15 July 2009-19 September 2009) during parr rearing and early dispersal.

Average daily discharge during the entire in-basin life history of the 2008 cohort ranged from 1.5574 to $135.9209 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ (Figure 19). We were unable to characterize a 35 day period due to ice formation (2 December 2009-5 January 2010). Discharge was greater than $9.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from mid-March through late-June 2009 during incubation, emergence, and parr rearing, and mid-April through the end of spring migration 2010. Annual peak flows occurred on 30 May 2009 and 4 June 2010 and were $93.1624 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ and $135.9209 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively. Discharge was less than $9.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from August 2008 through mid-March 2009, during spawning, incubation and emergence, and mid-July 2009 through mid-April 2010, during parr rearing, early and late dispersal, and spring migration. In addition to typical spring freshets, stream discharge exceeded $9.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ for a 5 day period during mid-November 2008 and an 11 day period during early-January 2009.

Upper Grande Ronde River: Water temperatures, during the in-basin occupancy of BY 2008 Chinook salmon, ranged from $-0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $26.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Daily mean water temperature exceeded the DEQ standard of $17.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 74 of 699 days. Water temperatures were within the range preferred by juvenile Chinook salmon $\left(10-15.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; OPSW 1999) for 3,039 of 16,775 ( $18 \%$ ) hours logged. The DEQ lethal limit of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was not exceeded during the 699 days temperature was logged. The seven-day moving mean of maximum temperature revealed that water temperatures above and below the range expected to support healthy growth $\left(4.4-18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; OPSW 1999) were encountered (Figure 18). Moving mean temperatures were less than $4.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 139 days ( 15 November 2008-2 April 2009) during incubation and emergence, and 136 days ( 30 October 2009-14 March 2010) during early dispersal and spring migration. Moving mean temperatures exceeded $18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 63 days during parr rearing and early dispersal (29 June 2009-3 September 2009).

Average daily discharge during the entire in-basin life history of the 2008 cohort ranged from 0.091 to $11.10 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ (Figure 19). Discharge was greater than $1.00 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from mid-April through mid-July 2009, and on one additional occasion, 8 August 2009, during incubation, emergence and parr rearing and from mid-April through June 2010, during late dispersal and spring migration. Annual peak flows occurred on 19 May 2009 and 4 June 2010 and were $7.8154 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ and $11.1002 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively. Discharge was less than $1.00 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from August 2008 through mid-April 2009, during spawning, incubation, and emergence, and from mid-July 2009 through mid-April 2010, during parr rearing, early and late dispersal and spring migration.

## FUTURE DIRECTIONS

We will continue this early life history study of spring Chinook salmon and summer steelhead in Catherine Creek and Lostine, Minam and upper Grande Ronde rivers. This project will continue to provide key metrics to monitor and evaluate success of restoration efforts for spring Chinook salmon and steelhead in Grande Ronde River Subbasin.
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Table 1. Catch of juvenile spring Chinook salmon at four trap locations in the Grande Ronde River Subbasin during MY 2010. The early migration period starts 1 July 2009 and ends 28 January 2010. The late migration period starts 29 January and ends 30 June 2010. The period a trap operated was used to identify the total number of days fished with percentage in parentheses during each migration period.

|  | Migration <br> period | Period trap operated | Days fished / <br> days operated | Trap <br> catch |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Trap site | Early | 9 Sep 09-1 Dec 09 | $83 / 84(98)$ | 13,070 |
| Catherine Creek | Late | 1 Mar 10-3 Jun 10 | $77 / 95(81)$ | $2,931^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  | 30 Mar 10-15 Apr 10 | $7 / 17(41)$ | $519^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Lostine River | Early | 9 Sep 09-28 Jan 10 | $110 / 142(77)$ | 12,083 |
|  | Late | 29 Jan 10-29 May 10 | $91 / 121(75)$ | $7,009^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
|  |  | 18 Mar 10-21 Apr 10 | $17 / 35(48)$ | $2,597^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Minam River | Early | 8 Sep 09-18 Nov 09 | $69 / 72(95)$ | $15,788^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
|  | Late | 17 Feb 10-2 Jun 10 | $96 / 106(90)$ | $7,640^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Upper Grande Ronde River | Early | 1 Oct 09-18 Nov 09 | $41 / 49(83)$ | 2,865 |
|  | Late | 3 Mar 10-27 Jun 10 | $85 / 117(72)$ | $4,760^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
|  |  | 18 Mar 10-16 Apr 10 | $13 / 30(43)$ | $1,239^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Continuous 24 h trapping
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Sub-sampling with 1 to 4 h trapping.

Table 2. Fork lengths of juvenile spring Chinook salmon collected from study streams during MY 2010. Early and late migrants were captured with a rotary screw trap on each study stream. Winter tag group fish were captured with dip nets upstream from rotary screw traps. Min. $=$ minimum, Max. $=$ maximum.


Table 3. Weights of juvenile spring Chinook salmon collected from the study streams during MY 2010. Early and late migrants were captured with a rotary screw trap on each study stream. Winter tag group fish were captured with dip nets upstream from rotary screw traps. Min. $=$ minimum, Max. $=$ maximum.

| Stream and group | Weights (g) of fish collected |  |  |  |  | Weights (g) of fish tagged and released |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $n$ | Mean | SE | Min | Max | $n$ | Mean | SE | Min | Max |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early migrants | 1,133 | 5.6 | 0.08 | 1.2 | 33.9 | 826 | 5.5 | 0.06 | 2.0 | 11 |
| Winter | 498 | 5.5 | 0.07 | 1.8 | 12.2 | 498 | 5.5 | 0.07 | 1.8 | 12.2 |
| Late migrants | 752 | 8.0 | 0.08 | 2.9 | 18.7 | 571 | 8.0 | 0.09 | 2.9 | 15.6 |
| Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early migrants | 1,959 | 6.7 | 0.06 | 1.2 | 21.1 | 1,100 | 6.9 | 0.08 | 1.9 | 19.4 |
| Winter | 500 | 3.9 | 0.05 | 1.6 | 8.6 | 500 | 3.9 | 0.05 | 1.6 | 8.6 |
| Late migrants | 1,814 | 8.3 | 0.07 | 1.3 | 31.8 | 1,080 | 8.5 | 0.08 | 3.3 | 24.4 |
| Minam River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early migrants | 1,251 | 4.6 | 0.05 | 1.3 | 23 | 945 | 4.7 | 0.05 | 1.9 | 12.1 |
| Late migrants | 1,383 | 7.2 | 0.06 | 2.7 | 24.6 | 1,059 | 7.3 | 0.07 | 2.7 | 24.6 |
| Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early migrants | 553 | 5.1 | 0.07 | 2.0 | 10.5 | 486 | 5.0 | 0.07 | 2.0 | 10.5 |
| Winter | 498 | 3.7 | 0.05 | 1.7 | 7.9 | 498 | 3.7 | 0.05 | 1.7 | 7.9 |
| Late migrants | 1,162 | 6.2 | 0.06 | 2.0 | 15.5 | 503 | 6.2 | 0.09 | 2.0 | 14.4 |

Table 4. Dates of tagging and number of spring Chinook salmon parr PIT-tagged in various northeast Oregon streams during summer 2009.

| Migration year and stream | Dates of collection <br> and tagging | Number PIT-tagged <br> and released | Distance to Lower <br> Granite Dam (km) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2010 (Summer 2009) |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek | 27-30 Jul | 997 | $363-38$ |
| Imnaha River | 31 Aug-3 Sep | 1,000 | $221-233$ |
| Lostine River | $24-27$ Aug | 998 | $271-308$ |
| Minam River | $17-20$ Aug | 985 | $276-290$ |
| Upper Grande Ronde | $10-13$ Aug | 1,000 | $418-428$ |

Table 5. Survival probability to Lower Granite Dam of juvenile spring Chinook salmon tagged during summer 2009 and detected at Columbia and Snake River dams during 2010.

| Stream | Number PIT-tagged and <br> released | Survival probability (95\% CI) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Catherine Creek | 995 | $0.107(0.074-0.168)$ |
| Imnaha River | 1,000 | $0.102(0.079-0.133)$ |
| Lostine River | 997 | $0.114(0.089-0.152)$ |
| Minam River | 985 | $0.131(0.092-0.205)$ |
| Upper Grande Ronde River | 1,000 | $0.235(0.195-0.289)$ |

Table 6. Juvenile spring Chinook salmon survival probability by location and tag group from time of tagging to Lower Granite Dam. Chinook salmon were tagged from fall 2009 to spring 2010 and detected at the dams during 2010.

| Stream and tag group | Number PIT-tagged and <br> released | Survival probability <br> $(95 \% ~ C I)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |
| $\quad$ Fall (trap) | 821 | $0.180(0.132-0.281)$ |
| Winter (above trap) | 498 | $0.183(0.135-0.261)$ |
| Spring (trap) | 571 | $0.464(0.378-0.607)$ |
| Lostine River |  |  |
| $\quad$ Fall (trap) | 1,099 | $0.265(0.191-0.427)$ |
| Winter (above trap) | 500 | $0.243(0.187-0.330)$ |
| $\quad$ Spring (trap) | 1,099 | $0.679(0.589-0.807)$ |
|  |  |  |
| Minam River | 944 | $0.366(0.243-0.676)$ |
| Fall (trap) | 1,059 | $0.636(0.563-0.734)$ |
| $\quad$ Spring (trap) |  |  |
|  | 485 | $0.209(0.162-0.275)$ |
| Upper Grande Ronde River | 498 | $0.125(0.092-0.172)$ |
| Fall (trap) | 503 | $0.468(0.401-0.553)$ |
| Winter (above trap) |  |  |
| Spring (trap) |  |  |

Table 7. Catch of juvenile steelhead at four trap locations in the Grande Ronde River Subbasin during MY 2010. The early migration period starts 1 July 2009 and ends 28 January 2010. The late migration period starts 29 January and ends 30 June 2010. The period a trap operated was used to identify the total number of days fished with percentage in parentheses during each migration period.

| Trap site | Migration period | Period trap operated | Days fished / days operated | Trap catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catherine Creek | Early | 9 Sep 09-1 Dec 09 | 83/84 (98) | 1,149 |
|  | Late | 1 Mar 10-3 Jun 10 | 77/95 (81) | $790^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  | 30 Mar 10-15 Apr 10 | 7/17 (41) | $53^{\text {b }}$ |
| Lostine River | Early | 9 Sep 09-28 Jan 10 | 110/142 (77) | 1,616 |
|  | Late | 29 Jan 10-29 May 10 | 91/121 (75) | $739^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  | 18 Mar 10-21 Apr 10 | 17/35 (48) | $274{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Minam River | Early | 8 Sep 09-18 Nov 09 | 69/72 (95) | 1,203 |
|  | Late | 17 Feb 10-2 Jun 10 | 96/106 (90) | 1,367 |
| Upper Grande Ronde River | Early | 1 Oct 09-18 Nov 09 | 41/49 (83) | 284 |
|  | Late | 3 Mar 10-27 Jun 10 | 85/117 (72) | 1,457 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  |  | 18 Mar 10-16 Apr 10 | 13/30 (43) | $79^{\text {b }}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Continuous 24 h trapping
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Sub-sampling with 1 to 4 h trapping.

Table 8. Age structure of early and late steelhead migrants collected at trap sites during MY 2010. The same four cohorts were represented in each migration period but ages increased by one year from early migrants to late migrants (e.g., age- 0 early migrants were same cohort as age-1 late migrants). Age structure was based on the frequency distribution of sampled lengths and allocated using an age-length key. Means were weighted by migrant abundance at trap sites.

|  | Percent |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Migration period and trap site | Age-0 | Age-1 | Age-2 | Age-3 | Age-4 |
| Early |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Catherine Creek | 17.1 | 63.5 | 18.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Lostine River | 54.1 | 37.6 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Minam River | 93.3 | 4.5 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Upper Grande Ronde River | 32.7 | 28.4 | 36.3 | 2.2 | 0.4 |
| Mean | $\mathbf{5 4 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |
| CV (\%) | $\mathbf{6 1 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 7 . 8}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek | 0.0 | 49.0 | 38.5 | 12.3 | 0.2 |
| Lostine River | 0.0 | 73.7 | 26.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Minam River | 0.0 | 63.4 | 21.3 | 15.0 | 0.3 |
| Upper Grande Ronde River | 0.0 | 55.8 | 24.4 | 19.2 | 0.6 |
| Mean | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ |
| CV (\%) | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 0}$ |

Table 9. Travel time to Lower Granite Dam (LGD) of wild steelhead PIT tagged at rotary screw traps during spring 2010 and subsequently arriving at Lower Granite Dam in 2010.

|  | Distance to | Number |  | Travel time (d) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream | LGD $(\mathrm{km})$ | detected | Median | Min | Max |  |
| Catherine Creek | 362 | 32 | 27.8 | 9 | 109 |  |
| Lostine River | 274 | 37 | 16.4 | 4 | 57 |  |
| Minam River | 245 | 32 | 22.6 | 5 | 86 |  |
| Upper Grande Ronde River | 397 | 40 | 28.8 | 5 | 55 |  |

Table 10. Probability of surviving and migrating in the first year to Lower Granite Dam of steelhead PIT tagged at screw traps on Catherine Creek and the upper Grande Ronde, Lostine and Minam rivers during fall 2009 and spring 2010 (MY 2010).

| Season and location tagged | Number <br> tagged | Number <br> detected | Probability of surviving and <br> migrating in the first year <br> $(95 \% ~ C I)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Catherine Creek | 592 | 77 | $0.190(0.135-0.315)$ |
| Lostine River | 800 | 99 | $0.168(0.127-0.245)$ |
| $\quad$ Minam River | 417 | 5 | $0.098(0.059-0.171)$ |
| $\quad$ Upper Grande Ronde River | 276 | 21 |  |
|  |  |  | $0.527(0.382-0.884)$ |
| Spring (FL $\geq 115 \mathrm{~mm})$ | 288 | 100 | $0.831(0.585-1.490)$ |
| $\quad$ Catherine Creek | 189 | 93 | $1.039(0.627-2.400)$ |
| $\quad$ Lostine River | 178 | 77 | $0.547(0.434-0.728)$ |
| $\quad$ Minam River | 316 | 119 |  |
| $\quad$ Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |

[^1]Table 11. PIT-tagged early migrating steelhead sampled by screw trap in the Grande Ronde Basin, and the subset subsequently detected at Snake and Columbia River dams the following spring. Italicized headings represent smolt age at the time detections occurred at a dam. Means are weighted by sample size ( $n$ ).



Figure 1. Locations of fish traps in the Grande Ronde River Subbasin during the study period. Shaded areas delineate spring Chinook salmon spawning and upper rearing areas in each study stream. Dashed lines indicate the Grande Ronde River and Wallowa River valleys.


Figure 2. Estimated migration timing and abundance of juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrants captured by rotary screw traps on the upper Grande Ronde River, Catherine Creek, and the Lostine and Minam rivers during MY 2010.


Figure 3. Length frequency distribution (fork length) of early and late migrating juvenile spring Chinook salmon captured by rotary screw traps on the upper Grande Ronde River, Catherine Creek, Lostine River, and Minam River during MY 2010.


Figure 4. Weekly mean fork lengths (mm) and associated standard error for spring Chinook salmon captured by rotary screw traps on the upper Grande Ronde River, Catherine Creek, Lostine River, and Minam River during MY 2010.


Figure 5. Dates of arrival, in 2010, at Lower Granite Dam of spring Chinook salmon PIT-tagged as parr in the upper Grande Ronde River, Catherine Creek and Lostine, Minam and Imnaha rivers during summer 2009. Data summarized by week and expressed as percentage of total detected for each group. $\bullet=$ median arrival date. Detections were expanded for spillway flow.


Figure 6. Dates of arrival, in 2010, at Lower Granite dam for fall, winter and spring tag groups of juvenile spring Chinook salmon PIT-tagged from the upper Grande Ronde River, expressed as percentage of total detected for each group. $=$ median arrival date. Detections were expanded for spillway flow.


## Date

Figure 7. Dates of arrival, in 2010, at Lower Granite dam for fall, winter and spring tag groups of juvenile spring Chinook salmon PIT-tagged from Catherine Creek, expressed as percentage of total detected for each group. = median arrival date. Detections were expanded for spillway flow.


Figure 8. Dates of arrival, in 2010, at Lower Granite dam for fall and spring tag groups of juvenile spring Chinook salmon PIT-tagged from the Lostine River, expressed as percentage of total detected for each group. $\leqslant=$ median arrival date. Detections were expanded for spillway flow.


Figure 9. Dates of arrival, in 2010, at Lower Granite dam for fall and spring tag groups of juvenile spring Chinook salmon PIT-tagged from the Minam River, expressed as percentage of total detected for each group. = median arrival date. Detections were expanded for spillway flow.


Figure 10. Estimated migration timing and abundance of juvenile summer steelhead migrants captured by rotary screw traps on the upper Grande Ronde River, Catherine Creek, Lostine River, and Minam River during MY 2010.


Figure 11. Dates of arrival, in 2010, at Lower Granite Dam for fall and spring tag groups of steelhead PIT-tagged in upper Grande Ronde River, expressed as a percentage of the total detected for each group. $\bullet=$ median arrival date. Detections were expanded for spillway flow.


Figure 12. Dates of arrival, in 2010, at Lower Granite Dam for fall and spring tag groups of steelhead PIT-tagged in Catherine Creek, expressed as a percentage of the total detected for each group. $\quad=$ median arrival date. Detections were expanded for spillway flow.


Figure 13. Dates of arrival, in 2010, at Lower Granite Dam for fall and spring tag groups of steelhead PIT-tagged in Lostine River, expressed as a percentage of the total detected for each group. = median arrival date. Detections were expanded for spillway flow.


Figure 14. Dates of arrival, in 2010, at Lower Granite Dam for spring tag group of steelhead PIT-tagged in Minam River, and expressed as a percentage of the total detected for each group. Detections from the fall tag group are not represented, as only one fish was detected during spring 2010. $\downarrow=$ median arrival date. Detections were expanded for spillway flow.


Figure 15. Length frequency distribution for steelhead PIT-tagged at rotary screw traps on the upper Grande Ronde River, Catherine Creek, Lostine River, and Minam River during fall 2009, and those subsequently observed at Snake River or Columbia River dams during spring 2010. Fork lengths were taken at the time of tagging. Frequency is expressed as the percent of the total number tagged ( $n_{\text {tag }}$ ). ' $n_{\mathrm{obs}}$ ' is the number detected. Note scale break on the Minam River between 25-65\%.


Figure 16. Length frequency distributions for all steelhead PIT-tagged at rotary screw traps on the upper Grande Ronde River, Catherine Creek, Lostine River, and Minam River during fall 2008, and those subsequently observed at Snake River or Columbia River dams during 2009 and 2010. Frequency is expressed as percent of the total number tagged. ' H ' is the test statistic for the Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks of the lengths. Dunn's all pair-wise multiple comparison test was used to compare among groups for each study stream $(\alpha=0.05)$.


Figure 17. Length frequency distributions for all steelhead PIT-tagged at rotary screw traps on the upper Grande Ronde River, Catherine Creek, Lostine River, and Minam River during spring 2009, and those subsequently observed at Snake or Columbia River dams during spring 2009 were compared using the Mann-Whitney rank-sum test. Fork lengths were taken at the time of tagging. Frequency is expressed as percent of total number tagged ( $n_{\text {tag }}$ ), and ' $n_{\text {obs' }}$ ' represents number detected.


Figure 18. Moving mean of maximum water temperature during the in-basin life stages of juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrating from the upper Grande Ronde River, Catherine Creek, Lostine River, and Minam River during MY 2010. Missing portions of a trend line represent periods where data were not available.


Figure 19. Average daily discharge during the in-basin life stages of juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrating from the upper Grande Ronde River, Catherine Creek, Lostine River, and Minam River during MY 2010. Note scale change on Minam River hydrograph.

## APPENDIX A

A Compilation of Spring Chinook Salmon Data

Appendix Table A-1. Population estimates, median migration dates and percentages of juvenile spring Chinook salmon population moving as late migrants past rotary screw trap sites, 1994-2010. The early migratory period begins 1 July and ends 28 January, while the late migratory period begins 29 January and ends 30 June.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Stream, } \\ \text { MY } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Population estimate | 95\% CI | Median migration date |  | Percentage migrating late |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Early migrants | Late migrants |  |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 17,633 | 2,067 | $1 \mathrm{Nov}^{\text {a }}$ | 21 Mar | $49^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1996 | 6,857 | 688 | 20 Oct | 11 Mar | 27 |
| 1997 | 4,442 | 1,123 | $1 \mathrm{Nov}^{\text {a }}$ | 13 Mar | $10^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1998 | 9,881 | 1,209 | 30 Oct | 19 Mar | 29 |
| 1999 | 20,311 | 2,299 | 14 Nov | 23 Mar | 38 |
| 2000 | 23,991 | 2,342 | 31 Oct | 23 Mar | 18 |
| 2001 | 21,936 | 2,282 | 8 Oct | 24 Mar | 13 |
| 2002 | 23,362 | 2,870 | 12 Oct | 2 Apr | 9 |
| 2003 | 34,623 | 2,615 | 28 Oct | 20 Mar | 14 |
| 2004 | 64,012 | 4,203 | 1 Nov | 18 Mar | 16 |
| 2005 | 56,097 | 6,713 | 11 Oct | 26 Mar | 10 |
| 2006 | 27,218 | 2,368 | 31 Oct | 22 Mar | 16 |
| 2007 | 13,831 | 1,032 | 14 Oct | 29 Mar | 21 |
| 2008 | 26,151 | 2,099 | 19 Oct | 30 Mar | 22 |
| 2009 | 21,674 | 3,029 | 15 Oct | 25 Mar | 23 |
| 2010 | 43,635 | 7,152 | 14 Oct | 3 Apr | 26 |
| Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 4,496 | 606 | 26 Nov ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 30 Mar | $52^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1998 | 17,539 | 2,610 | 26 Oct | 26 Mar | 35 |
| 1999 | 34,267 | 2,632 | 12 Nov | 18 Apr | 41 |
| 2000 | 12,250 | 887 | 2 Nov | 9 Apr | 32 |
| 2001 | 13,610 | 1,362 | 29 Sep | 20 Apr | 23 |
| 2002 | 18,140 | 2,428 | 24 Oct | 1 Apr | 15 |
| 2003 | 28,939 | 1,865 | 22 Oct | 1 Apr | 34 |
| 2004 | - $^{\text {b }}$ | - | - | - | - |
| 2005 | 54,602 | 6,734 | 22 Sep | 31 Mar | 25 |
| 2006 | 54,268 | 8,812 | 4 Nov | 11 Apr | 22 |
| 2007 | 46,183 | 4,827 | 14 Oct | 7 Apr | 26 |
| 2008 | 26,117 | 3,516 | 2 Nov | 29 Apr | 41 |
| 2009 | 38,935 | 7,353 | 15 Oct | 30 Mar | 21 |
| 2010 | 47,686 | 3,126 | 28 Oct | 4 Apr | 40 |

Appendix Table A-1. Continued.

| $\underline{\text { Stream and MY }}$ | Population estimate | 95\% CI | Median migration date |  | Percentage migrating late |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Early migrants | Late migrants |  |
| Minam River |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 28,209 | 4,643 | 8 Oct $^{\text {a }}$ | 27 Mar | $64^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2002 | 79,000 | 10,836 | $24 \mathrm{Oct}^{\text {a }}$ | 8 Apr | $21^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2003 | 63,147 | 10,659 | $30 \mathrm{Oct}^{\text {a }}$ | 5 Apr | $69^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2004 | 65,185 | 9,049 | 13 Nov | 29 Mar | 34 |
| 2005 | 111,390 | 26,553 | 21 Oct | 28 Mar | 57 |
| 2006 | 50,959 | 8,262 | 14 Oct | 1 Apr | 42 |
| 2007 | 37,719 | 5,767 | 5 Nov | 22 Mar | 31 |
| 2008 | 77,301 | 11,997 | 21 Oct | 13 Apr | 57 |
| 2009 | 43,643 | 8,936 | 3 Nov | 29 Mar | 38 |
| 2010 | 166,018 | 35,709 | 15 Oct | 3 Apr | 55 |
| Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 24,791 | 3,193 | 14 Oct ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 Apr | $89^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1995 | 38,725 | 12,690 | $30 \mathrm{Oct}^{\text {c }}$ | $31 \mathrm{Mar}^{\text {c }}$ | $87^{\text {c }}$ |
| 1996 | 1,118 | 192 | $10 \mathrm{Oct}^{\text {d }}$ | 16 Mar | $99^{\text {d }}$ |
| 1997 | 82 | 30 | 12 Nov | $26 \mathrm{Apr}^{\text {d }}$ | $17^{\text {d }}$ |
| 1998 | 6,922 | 622 | 31 Oct | 23 Mar | 66 |
| 1999 | 14,858 | 3,122 | 16 Nov | 31 Mar | 84 |
| 2000 | 14,780 | 2,070 | 30 Oct | 3 Apr | 74 |
| 2001 | 51 | 31 | $1 \mathrm{Sep}^{\text {d }}$ | 10 Apr | $88^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2002 | 9,133 | 1,545 | 24 Oct | 1 Apr | 82 |
| 2003 | 4,922 | 470 | 12 Oct | 19 Mar | 73 |
| 2004 | 4,854 | 642 | 17 Oct | 22 Mar | 90 |
| 2005 | 6,257 | 834 | 25 Oct | 13 Apr | 83 |
| 2006 | 34,672 | 5,319 | 2 Oct | 29 Mar | 77 |
| 2007 | 17,109 | 1,708 | 20 Oct | 13 Mar | 69 |
| 2008 | 11,684 | 3,310 | 21 Oct | 9 Apr | 61 |
| 2009 | 34 | 13 | $24 \mathrm{Oct}^{\text {d }}$ | $29 \mathrm{Mar}^{\text {d }}$ | $76^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2010 | 20,763 | 1,938 | 26 Oct | 6 Apr | 78 |

${ }^{\text {c }}$ Trap was located at rkm 257.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Median date based on small sample size.

Appendix Table A-2. Dates of arrival at Lower Granite Dam (LGD) of spring Chinook salmon smolts PIT-tagged in upper rearing areas during the summer and winter, and at rotary screw traps as early (Fall) and late (Spring) migrants during migratory years 19932010. Numbers of fish detected at Lower Granite Dam were expanded for spillway flow to calculate the median arrival date.

| Stream and MY | Tag group | Migration period | Number tagged | Number detected at LGD | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Summer | All | 1,094 | 125 | 18 May | 29 Apr | 26 Jun |
| 1994 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 91 | 11 May | 13 Apr | 26 Jul |
| 1995 | Summer | All | 999 | 88 | 25 May | 26 Apr | 2 Jul |
|  | Fall | Early | 502 | 65 | 7 May | 22 Apr | 19 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 483 | 57 | 13 May | 27 Apr | 4 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 348 | 88 | 5 Jun | 1 May | 8 Jul |
| 1996 | Summer | All | 499 | 60 | 1 May | 17 Apr | 29 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 566 | 76 | 29 Apr | 14 Apr | 4 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 295 | 14 | 18 May | 19 Apr | 14 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 277 | 70 | 17 May | 17 Apr | 13 Jun |
| 1997 | Summer | All | 583 | 51 | 14 May | 24 Apr | 10 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 403 | 40 | 12 May | 17 Apr | 1 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 102 | 5 | 17 May | 27 Apr | 15 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 78 | 22 | 26 May | 28 Apr | 1 Jun |
| 1998 | Summer | All | 499 | 43 | 17 May | 24 Apr | 4 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 598 | 66 | 1 May | 3 Apr | 3 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 438 | 57 | 11 May | 15 Apr | 15 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 453 | 109 | 21 May | 26 Apr | 26 Jun |
| 1999 | Summer | All | 502 | 20 | 26 May | 26 Apr | 26 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 656 | 41 | 23 May | 19 Apr | 28 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 494 | 35 | 29 May | 23 Apr | 9 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 502 | 54 | 21 May | 20 Apr | 20 Jun |
| 2000 | Summer | All | 497 | 30 | 7 May | 12 Apr | 7 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 677 | 56 | 3 May | 12 Apr | 29 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 22 | 9 May | 25 Apr | 1 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 431 | 52 | 12 May | 21 Apr | 2 Jul |
| 2001 | Summer | All | 498 | 33 | 17 May | 28 Apr | 18 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 494 | 57 | 10 May | 27 Apr | 18 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 538 | 27 | 1 Jun | 4 May | 6 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 329 | 100 | 30 May | 29 Apr | 13 Jul |
| 2002 | Summer | All | 502 | 17 | 6 May | 15 Apr | 22 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 515 | 20 | 6 May | 16 Apr | 20 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 449 | 15 | 14 May | 24 Apr | 26 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 217 | 27 | 26 May | 17 Apr | 1 Jul |

Appendix Table A-2. Continued.

| Stream and MY | Tag group | Migration period | Number tagged <br> tagged | Number detected at LGD | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Catherine Creek (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | Summer | All | 501 | 17 | 16 May | 14 Apr | 9 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 1,196 | 59 | 18 May | 14 Apr | 31 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 531 | 25 | 22 May | 18 Apr | 6 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 576 | 95 | 25 May | 13 Apr | 23 Jun |
| 2004 | Summer | All | 467 | 30 | 15 May | 22 Apr | 25 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 524 | 45 | 21 May | 15 Apr | 15 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 502 | 66 | 21 May | 23 Apr | 8 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 525 | 172 | 29 May | 22 Apr | 14 Jul |
| 2005 | Summer | All | 495 | 21 | 8 May | 20 Apr | 2 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 544 | 43 | 7 May | 14 Apr | 2 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 529 | 28 | 21 May | 18 Apr | 20 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 410 | 82 | 31 May | 26 Apr | 20 Jun |
| 2006 | Summer | All | 523 | 7 | 16 May | 28 Apr | 19 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 15 | 4 May | 23 Apr | 10 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 19 | 15 May | 26 Apr | 9 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 360 | 34 | 4 Jun | 2 May | 22 Jun |
| 2007 | Summer | All | 501 | 6 | 23 Apr | 19 Apr | 19 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 26 | 2 May | 16 Apr | 15 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 12 | 13 May | 21 Apr | 20 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 363 | 42 | 13 May | 1 May | 13 Jun |
| 2008 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 17 | 25 May | 30 Apr | 2 Jul |
|  | Fall | Early | 499 | 18 | 13 May | 4 May | 15 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 23 | 18 May | 30 Apr | 19 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 484 | 45 | 20 May | 30 Apr | 4 Jul |
| 2009 | Summer | All | 997 | 50 | 10 May | 12 Apr | 13 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 54 | 8 May | 4 Apr | 8 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 15 | 19 May | 3 May | 1 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 498 | 73 | 20 May | 28 Apr | 25 Jun |
| 2010 | Summer | All | 997 | 24 | 4 Jun | 24 Apr | 21 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 826 | 33 | 21 May | 25 Apr | 1 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 498 | 27 | 25 May | 1 May | 24 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 571 | 65 | 20 May | 25 Apr | 2 Jul |
| Imnaha River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 74 | 14 May | 15 Apr | 23 Jun |
| 1994 | Summer | All | 998 | 65 | 8 May | 20 Apr | 11 Aug |
| 1995 | Summer | All | 996 | 41 | 2 May | 10 Apr | 7 Jul |
| 1996 | Summer | All | 997 | 158 | 26 Apr | 14 Apr | 12 Jun |
| 1997 | Summer | All | 1,017 | 98 | 19 Apr | 31 Mar | 2 Jun |
| 1998 | Summer | All | 1,009 | 159 | 29 Apr | 3 Apr | 24 May |

Appendix Table A-2. Continued.

| Stream and MY | Tag group | Migration period | Number tagged | Number detected at LGD | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Imnaha River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | Summer | All | 1,009 | 41 | 8 May | 17 Apr | 3 Jun |
| 2000 | Summer | All | 982 | 63 | 2 May | 12 Apr | 16 Jun |
| 2001 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 159 | 30 Apr | 8 Apr | 28 May |
| 2002 | Summer | All | 1,001 | 15 | 4 May | 15 Apr | 31 May |
| 2003 | Summer | All | 1,003 | 43 | 8 May | 17 Apr | 31 May |
| 2004 | Summer | All | 998 | 81 | 4 May | 18 Apr | 8 Jun |
| 2005 | Summer | All | 1,001 | 90 | 2 May | 5 Apr | 11 Jun |
| 2006 | Summer | All | 1,011 | 40 | 30 Apr | 3 Apr | 4 Jun |
| 2007 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 59 | 27 Apr | 5 Apr | 24 May |
| 2008 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 68 | 7 May | 14 Apr | 1 Jun |
| 2009 | Summer | All | 989 | 85 | 6 May | 4 Apr | 16 Jun |
| 2010 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 35 | 14 May | 23 Apr | 24 Jun |
| Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Summer | All | 997 | 136 | 4 May | 17 Apr | 1 Jun |
| 1994 | Summer | All | 725 | 77 | 2 May | 19 Apr | 7 Jun |
| 1995 | Summer | All | 1,002 | 115 | 2 May | 8 Apr | 19 Jun |
| 1996 | Summer | All | 977 | 129 | 15 May | 17 Apr | 19 Jun |
| 1997 | Summer | All | 527 | 43 | 25 Apr | 9 Apr | 21 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 519 | 53 | 22 Apr | 2 Apr | 13 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 390 | 60 | 2 May | 15 Apr | 27 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 476 | 109 | 25 Apr | 10 Apr | 22 May |
| 1998 | Summer | All | 506 | 19 | 15 May | 29 Mar | 29 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 109 | 21 Apr | 31 Mar | 13 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 504 | 96 | 29 Apr | 4 Apr | 24 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 466 | 185 | 28 Apr | 4 Apr | 1 Jul |
| 1999 | Summer | All | 509 | 36 | 8 May | 13 Apr | 3 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 501 | 40 | 26 Apr | 31 Mar | 18 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 491 | 39 | 10 May | 6 Apr | 7 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 600 | 88 | 12 May | 9 Apr | 8 Jul |
| 2000 | Summer | All | 489 | 87 | 9 May | 10 Apr | 12 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 514 | 59 | 18 Apr | 3 Apr | 13 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 511 | 51 | 9 May | 20 Apr | 2 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 355 | 65 | 22 May | 14 Apr | 16 Jul |
| 2001 | Summer | All | 501 | 23 | 20 Apr | 28 Mar | 29 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 139 | 27 Apr | 12 Apr | 18 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 113 | 14 May | 16 Apr | 19 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 445 | 246 | 12 May | 21 Apr | 4 Jul |
| 2002 | Summer | All | 509 | 21 | 8 May | 11 Apr | 3 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 501 | 37 | 17 Apr | 30 Mar | 5 May |

Appendix Table A-2. Continued.

| Stream and MY | Tag group | Migration period | Number tagged | Number detected at LGD | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Lostine River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | Winter | Late | 564 | 22 | 7 May | 11 Apr | 23 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 406 | 61 | 7 May | 15 Apr | 11 Jun |
|  | Summer | All | 997 | 136 | 4 May | 17 Apr | 1 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 900 | 77 | 18 Apr | 25 Mar | 27 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 491 | 42 | 15 May | 13 Apr | 8 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 527 | 107 | 4 May | 3 Apr | 4 Jul |
| 2004 | Summer | All | 525 | 26 | 7 May | 14 Apr | 15 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 70 | 11 May | 23 Apr | 27 May |
| 2005 | Summer | All | 500 | 49 | 28 Apr | 5 Apr | 18 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 103 | 20 Apr | 5 Apr | 9 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 72 | 9 May | 12 Apr | 13 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 464 | 174 | 8 May | 13 Apr | 19 Jun |
| 2006 | Summer | All | 1,105 | 29 | 28 Apr | 5 Apr | 9 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 495 | 29 | 22 Apr | 2 Apr | 10 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 501 | 27 | 12 May | 20 Apr | 31 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 517 | 112 | 11 May | 6 Apr | 3 Jun |
| 2007 | Summer | All | 500 | 27 | 4 May | 5 Apr | 21 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 37 | 17 Apr | 27 Mar | 12 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 39 | 12 May | 17 Apr | 25 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 505 | 109 | 11 May | 18 Apr | 1 Jun |
| 2008 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 71 | 8 May | 10 Apr | 14 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 499 | 69 | 1 May | 7 Apr | 22 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 47 | 19 May | 24 Apr | 30 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 499 | 130 | 12 May | 15 Apr | 11 Jun |
| 2009 | Summer | All | 989 | 71 | 28 Apr | 2 Apr | 21 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 501 | 59 | 25 Apr | 5 Apr | 28 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 494 | 34 | 31 May | 2 May | 30 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 591 | 163 | 18 May | 4 Apr | 23 Jun |
| 2010 | Summer | All | 998 | 23 | 15 May | 24 Apr | 17 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 1,102 | 45 | 30 Apr | 19 Apr | 17 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 36 | 22 May | 30 Apr | 2 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 1,085 | 174 | 19 May | 19 Apr | 25 Jun |
| Minam River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Summer | All | 994 | 113 | 4 May | 18 Apr | 3 Jun |
| 1994 | Summer | All | 997 | 120 | 29 Apr | 18 Apr | 13 Aug |
| 1995 | Summer | All | 996 | 71 | 2 May | 8 Apr | 7 Jun |
| 1996 | Summer | All | 998 | 117 | 24 Apr | 10 Apr | 7 Jun |
| 1997 | Summer | All | 589 | 49 | 16 Apr | 3 Apr | 13 May |
| 1998 | Summer | All | 992 | 123 | 29 Apr | 3 Apr | 30 May |
| 1999 | Summer | All | 1,006 | 50 | 29 Apr | 31 Mar | 2 Jun |

Appendix Table A-2. Continued.

| Stream and MY | Tag group | Migration period | Number tagged | Number detected at LGD | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Minam River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | Summer | All | 998 | 74 | 3 May | 10 Apr | 29 May |
| 2001 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 178 | 8 May | 8 Apr | 12 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 300 | 107 | 28 Apr | 12 Apr | 26 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 539 | 274 | 14 May | 16 Apr | 18 Aug |
| 2002 | Summer | All | 994 | 30 | 3 May | 16 Apr | 31 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 537 | 35 | 18 Apr | 25 Mar | 9 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 382 | 42 | 30 May | 8 Apr | 23 Jun |
| 2003 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 23 | 13 May | 13 Apr | 1 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 849 | 82 | 18 Apr | 26 Mar | 23 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 512 | 95 | 15 May | 31 Mar | 1 Jun |
| 2004 | Summer | All | 996 | 36 | 1 May | 7 Apr | 31 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 58 | 28 Apr | 2 Apr | 21 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 412 | 164 | 9 May | 4 Apr | 14 Jun |
| 2005 | Summer | All | 1,002 | 95 | 6 May | 8 Apr | 8 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 498 | 115 | 23 Apr | 5 Apr | 18 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 374 | 135 | 9 May | 13 Apr | 19 Jun |
| 2006 | Summer | All | 1,007 | 50 | 8 May | 11 Apr | 6 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 499 | 45 | 19 Apr | 4 Apr | 16 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 401 | 74 | 17 May | 21 Apr | 7 Jun |
| 2007 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 65 | 2 May | 4 Apr | 22 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 28 | 16 Apr | 30 Mar | 12 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 217 | 40 | 12 May | 5 Apr | 2 Jun |
| 2008 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 87 | 7 May | 17 Apr | 11 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 61 | 2 May | 2 Apr | 2 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 496 | 118 | 8 May | 16 Apr | 1 Jun |
| 2009 | Summer | All | 995 | 90 | 12 May | 11 Apr | 6 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 82 | 25 Apr | 27 Mar | 21 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 415 | 99 | 19 May | 7 Apr | 3 Jun |
| 2010 | Summer | All | 985 | 28 | 16 May | 23 Apr | 16 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 945 | 51 | 1 May | 22 Apr | 30 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 1,059 | 182 | 17 May | 22 Apr | 24 Jun |
| Grande Ronde River (Elgin) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | Spring | NA | 167 | 21 | 23 May | 17 May | 18 Jun |
| 2003 | Spring | NA | 250 | 90 | 16 May | 22 Apr | 18 Jun |
| 2004 | Spring | NA | 488 | 286 | 5 May | 21 Apr | 5 Jun |
| 2005 | Spring | NA | 236 | 118 | 3 May | 6 Apr | 29 May |
| 2006 | Spring | NA | 400 | 107 | 16 May | 8 Apr | 30 May |
| Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Summer | All | 918 | 117 | 17 May | 23 Apr | 20 Jun |

Appendix Table A-2. Continued.

| Stream and MY | Tag group | Migration period | Number tagged | Number detected at LGD | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Upper Grande Ronde River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | Summer | All | 1,001 | 57 | 29 May | 23 Apr | 29 Aug |
|  | Fall | Early | 405 | 65 | 30 Apr | 21 Apr | 23 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 505 | 27 | 29 May | 28 Apr | 16 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 573 | 93 | 15 May | 20 Apr | 06 Aug |
| $1995{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Summer | All | 1,000 | 89 | 29 May | 12 Apr | 1 Jul |
|  | Fall | Early | 424 | 57 | 5 May | 11 Apr | 2 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 433 | 30 | 28 May | 17 Apr | 4 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 368 | 109 | 2 Jun | 15 Apr | 12 Jul |
| 1996 | Fall | Early | 4 | 0 | - | , | - |
|  | Spring | Late | 327 | 47 | 16 May | 19 Apr | 6 Jun |
| 1997 | Fall | Early | 27 | 2 | 23 Apr | 22 Apr | 24 Apr |
|  | Spring | Late | 1 | 1 | 14 May |  | - |
| 1998 | Fall | Early | 592 | 81 | 27 Apr | 4 Apr | 25 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 124 | 5 | 5 Jun | 11 May | 26 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 513 | 116 | 5 May | 8 Apr | 5 Jun |
| 1999 | Fall | Early | 500 | 42 | 29 Apr | 31 Mar | 1 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 420 | 13 | 27 May | 12 May | 20 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 535 | 83 | 4 May | 18 Apr | 20 Jun |
| 2000 | Fall | Early | 493 | 45 | 8 May | 12 Apr | 6 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 22 | 26 May | 9 May | 16 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 495 | 91 | 11 May | 15 Apr | 20 Jul |
| 2001 | Spring | Late | 6 | 4 | 17 May | 4 May | 20 May |
| 2002 | Fall | Early | 344 | 20 | 20 May | 17 Apr | 2 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 538 | 71 | 31 May | 14 Apr | 28 Jun |
| 2003 | Fall | Early | 584 | 46 | 1 May | 3 Apr | 26 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 571 | 95 | 17 May | 31 Mar | 2 Jun |
| 2004 | Fall | Early | 180 | 24 | 5 May | 15 Apr | 3 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 301 | 68 | 21 May | 26 Apr | 17 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 525 | 173 | 21 May | 17 Apr | 3 Jun |
| 2005 | Fall | Early | 368 | 39 | 7 May | 20 Apr | 1 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 449 | 46 | 30 May | 3 May | 19 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 615 | 131 | 19 May | 19 Apr | 13 Jun |
| 2006 | Fall | Early | 521 | 29 | 18 May | 16 Apr | 6 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 464 | 12 | 3 Jun | 20 May | 14 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 505 | 49 | 20 May | 30 Mar | 20 Jun |
| 2007 | Fall | Early | 534 | 54 | 11 May | 14 Apr | 3 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 482 | 37 | 15 May | 27 Apr | 6 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 501 | 79 | 14 May | 13 Apr | 11 Jun |

Appendix Table A-2. Continued.

| Stream and MY | Tag group | Migration period | Number tagged | Number detected at LGD | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Upper Grande Ronde River (rkm 299) (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 55 | 29-May | 8-Apr | 23-Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 159 | 16 | 18 May | 6 May | 10 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 83 | 3 | 3 Jun | 20 May | 9 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 510 | 49 | 30 May | 4 May | 25 Jun |
| 2009 | Fall | Early | 4 | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | Spring | Late | 10 | 1 | 19 May | 19 May | 19 May |
| 2010 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 73 | 24 May | 27 Apr | 25 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 486 | 37 | 13 May | 27 Apr | 15 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 498 | 19 | 7 Jun | 11 May | 26 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 504 | 80 | 21 May | 28 Apr | 24 Jun |
| Wenaha and South Fork Wenaha rivers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Summer | All | 749 | 84 | 28 Apr | 14 Apr | 15 May |
| 1994 | Summer | All | 998 | 93 | 24 Apr | 18 Apr | 6 Jun |
| 1995 | Summer | All | 999 | 76 | 26 Apr | 9 Apr | 15 May |
| 1996 | Summer | All | 997 | 105 | 21 Apr | 13 Apr | 16 May |
| 1997 | Summer | All | 62 | 10 | 16 Apr | 9 Apr | 23 Apr |

Appendix Table A-3. The number of PIT tagged spring Chinook salmon released by tag group and stream, and survival probability to Lower Granite Dam during migratory years 1993-2010. Summer and winter tag groups were collected upstream of rotary screw traps, while fall and spring tag groups were collected at rotary screw traps. Asterisks indicate that low detections precluded calculation of survival probabilities.

| Tag group and stream | MY | Number released | Survival probability (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summer |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek | 1993 | 1,094 | 0.178 (0.151-0.212) |
|  | 1994 | 1,000 | 0.226 (0.186-0.279) |
|  | 1995 | 999 | 0.154 (0.129-0.184) |
|  | 1996 | 499 | 0.277 (0.205-0.406) |
|  | 1997 | 583 | 0.176 (0.139-0.225) |
|  | 1998 | 499 | 0.211 (0.164-0.276) |
|  | 1999 | 502 | 0.157 (0.122-0.212) |
|  | 2000 | 497 | 0.151 (0.109-0.217) |
|  | 2001 | 498 | 0.087 (0.063-0.115) |
|  | 2002 | 502 | 0.109 (0.079-0.157) |
|  | 2003 | 501 | 0.075 (0.052-0.106) |
|  | 2004 | 467 | 0.072 (0.051-0.098) |
|  | 2005 | 495 | 0.057 (0.038-0.082) |
|  | 2006 | 523 | 0.057 (0.033-0.128) |
|  | 2007 | 501 | $0.042 \quad(\mathrm{SE}=0.009)$ |
|  | 2008 | 1,000 | 0.080 (0.053-0.136) |
|  | 2009 | 997 | 0.147 (0.116-0.178) |
|  | 2010 | 995 | 0.107 (0.074-0.168) |
| Imnaha River | 1993 | 1,000 | 0.141 (0.115-0.180) |
|  | 1994 | 998 | 0.136 (0.109-0.173) |
|  | 1995 | 996 | 0.083 (0.064-0.108) |
|  | 1996 | 997 | 0.268 (0.222-0.330) |
|  | 1997 | 1,017 | 0.216 (0.179-0.276) |
|  | 1998 | 1,009 | 0.325 (0.290-0.366) |
|  | 1999 | 1,009 | 0.173 (0.141-0.219) |
|  | 2000 | 982 | 0.141 (0.115-0.172) |
|  | 2001 | 1,000 | 0.181 (0.158-0.206) |
|  | 2002 | 1,001 | 0.106 (0.079-0.160) |
|  | 2003 | 1,003 | 0.141 (0.110-0.185) |
|  | 2004 | 998 | 0.109 (0.090-0.131) |
|  | 2005 | 1,001 | 0.123 (0.103-0.146) |
|  | 2006 | 1,011 | 0.144 (0.117-0.180) |

Appendix Table A-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | MY | Number released | Survival probability (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summer |  |  |  |
| Imnaha River (cont.) | 2007 | 1,000 | 0.178 (0.147-0.218) |
|  | 2008 | 1,000 | 0.189 (0.157-0.228) |
|  | 2009 | 989 | 0.219 (0.187-0.251) |
|  | 2010 | 1,000 | 0.102 (0.079-0.133) |
| Lostine River | 1993 | 997 | 0.250 (0.214-0.296) |
|  | 1994 | 725 | 0.237 (0.188-0.309) |
|  | 1995 | 1,002 | 0.215 (0.183-0.255) |
|  | 1996 | 977 | 0.237 (0.191-0.306) |
|  | 1997 | 527 | 0.213 (0.160-0.310) |
|  | 1999 | 506 | 0.180 (0.145-0.234) |
|  | 2000 | 509 | 0.212 (0.159-0.294) |
|  | 2001 | 489 | 0.210 (0.175-0.248) |
|  | 2002 | 501 | 0.154 (0.117-0.209) |
|  | 2003 | 509 | 0.155 (0.109-0.238) |
|  | 2004 | 525 | 0.065 (0.046-0.089) |
|  | 2005 | 500 | 0.129 (0.101-0.163) |
|  | 2006 | 1,105 | 0.113 (0.091-0.143) |
|  | 2007 | 500 | 0.159 (0.112-0.245) |
|  | 2008 | 1,000 | 0.183 (0.155-0.218) |
|  | 2009 | 988 | 0.208 (0.176-0.241) |
|  | 2010 | 997 | 0.114 (0.089-0.152) |
| Minam River | 1993 | 994 | 0.187 (0.115-0.230) |
|  | 1994 | 997 | 0.293 (0.249-0.350) |
|  | 1995 | 996 | 0.153 (0.124-0.191) |
|  | 1996 | 998 | 0.208 (0.169-0.264) |
|  | 1997 | 589 | 0.270 (0.181-0.693) |
|  | 1998 | 992 | 0.228 (0.199-0.259) |
|  | 1999 | 1,006 | 0.181 (0.155-0.210) |
|  | 2000 | 998 | 0.239 (0.199-0.292) |
|  | 2001 | 1,000 | 0.228 (0.202-0.256) |
|  | 2002 | 994 | 0.093 (0.074-0.119) |
|  | 2003 | 1,000 | 0.061 (0.044-0.088) |
|  | 2004 | 996 | 0.062 (0.047-0.080) |
|  | 2005 | 1,002 | 0.136 (0.114-0.160) |
|  | 2006 | 1,007 | 0.145 (0.119-0.178) |
|  | 2007 | 1,000 | 0.175 (0.147-0.211) |
|  | 2008 | 1,000 | 0.193 (0.166-0.224) |
|  | 2009 | 995 | 0.191 (0.162-0.219) |
|  | 2010 | 985 | 0.131 (0.092-0.205) |

Appendix Table A-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | MY | Number released | Survival probability (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summer |  |  |  |
| Upper Grande Ronde | 1993 | 918 | 0.287 (0.237-0.365) |
|  | 1994 | 1,001 | 0.144 (0.110-0.197) |
|  | 1995 | 1,000 | 0.173 (0.144-0.207) |
|  | 2008 | 1,000 | 0.264 (0.224-0.319) |
|  | 2009 | 0 | - |
|  | 2010 | 1,000 | 0.235 (0.195-0.289) |
| Wenaha/SF Wenaha | 1993 | 749 | 0.214 (0.181-0.255) |
|  | 1994 | 998 | 0.144 (0.121-0.172) |
|  | 1995 | 999 | 0.146 (0.119-0.180) |
|  | 1996 | 997 | 0.212 (0.172-0.271) |
|  | 1997 | 62 | (a) |
| Fall trap |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek | 1995 | 502 | 0.238 (0.193-0.297) |
|  | 1996 | 508 | 0.358 (0.296-0.446) |
|  | 1997 | 399 | 0.365 (0.256-0.588) |
|  | 1998 | 582 | 0.238 (0.194-0.293) |
|  | 1999 | 644 | 0.202 (0.166-0.250) |
|  | 2000 | 677 | 0.212 (0.170-0.269) |
|  | 2001 | 508 | 0.130 (0.103-0.162) |
|  | 2002 | 514 | 0.154 (0.114-0.245) |
|  | 2003 | 849 | 0.120 (0.093-0.160) |
|  | 2004 | 524 | 0.126 (0.099-0.158) |
|  | 2005 | 544 | 0.122 (0.093-0.161) |
|  | 2006 | 500 | $0.074 \quad(\mathrm{SE}=0.012)$ |
|  | 2007 | 500 | 0.203 (0.143-0.340) |
|  | 2008 | 499 | 0.153 (0.109-0.256) |
|  | 2009 | 500 | 0.269 (0.214-0.324) |
|  | 2010 | 821 | 0.180 (0.132-0.281) |
| Lostine River | 1997 | 519 | 0.312 (0.247-0.465) |
|  | 1998 | 500 | 0.448 (0.391-0.514) |
|  | 1999 | 501 | 0.422 (0.349-0.538) |
|  | 2000 | 514 | 0.317 (0.267-0.380) |
|  | 2001 | 498 | 0.335 (0.294-0.378) |
|  | 2002 | 500 | 0.326 (0.258-0.455) |
|  | 2003 | 854 | 0.287 (0.236-0.365) |
|  | 2004 | 0 | - |
|  | 2005 | 500 | 0.267 (0.227-0.310) |
|  | 2006 | 495 | 0.269 (0.207-0.406) |
|  | 2007 | 500 | 0.223 (0.172-0.301) |

[^2]Appendix Table A-3. Continued

|  |  | Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| released |  |  |$\quad$ Survival probability (95\% CI)

Appendix Table A-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | MY | Number released | Survival probability (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek (cont.) | 2003 | 524 | 0.152 (0.109-0.231) |
|  | 2004 | 502 | 0.178 (0.145-0.215) |
|  | 2005 | 529 | 0.112 (0.079-0.178) |
|  | 2006 | 500 | 0.125 (0.080-0.312) |
|  | 2007 | 500 | 0.088 (0.047-0.343) |
|  | 2008 | 500 | 0.144 (0.108-0.207) |
|  | 2009 | 500 | 0.110 (0.063-0.157) |
|  | 2010 | 498 | 0.183 (0.135-0.261) |
| Lostine River | 1997 | 388 | 0.445 (0.334-0.650) |
|  | 1998 | 504 | 0.349 (0.301-0.403) |
|  | 1999 | 491 | 0.305 (0.259-0.363) |
|  | 2000 | 511 | 0.397 (0.296-0.576) |
|  | 2001 | 499 | 0.284 (0.245-0.326) |
|  | 2002 | 564 | 0.246 (0.170-0.464) |
|  | 2003 | 501 | 0.226 (0.167-0.337) |
|  | 2004 | 500 | 0.189 (0.156-0.227) |
|  | 2005 | 500 | 0.201 (0.166-0.240) |
|  | 2006 | 501 | 0.177 (0.127-0.304) |
|  | 2007 | 500 | 0.135 (0.101-0.186) |
|  | 2008 | 500 | 0.328 (0.270-0.417) |
|  | 2009 | 494 | 0.192 (0.143-0.240) |
|  | 2010 | 500 | 0.243 (0.187-0.330) |
| Upper Grande Ronde | 1994 | 505 | 0.248 (0.152-0.519) |
|  | 1995 | 432 | 0.151 (0.115-0.199) |
|  | 1998 | 124 | $0.113 \quad(\mathrm{SE}=0.028)$ |
|  | 1999 | 420 | 0.118 (0.083-0.183) |
|  | 2000 | 500 | 0.133 (0.099-0.183) |
|  | 2004 | 301 | 0.296 (0.245-0.353) |
|  | 2005 | 449 | 0.207 (0.159-0.306) |
|  | 2006 | 464 | 0.080 (0.052-0.183) |
|  | 2007 | 482 | 0.169 (0.132-0.226) |
|  | 2008 | 83 | 0.361 (0.124-5.029) |
|  | 2009 | 0 | - |
|  | 2010 | 498 | 0.125 (0.092-0.172) |
| Spring trap |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek | 1995 | 348 | 0.506 (0.441-0.578) |
|  | 1996 | 276 | 0.591 (0.480-0.755) |
|  | 1997 | 81 | 0.413 (0.292-0.580) |
|  | 1998 | 453 | 0.517 (0.459-0.583) |
|  | 1999 | 502 | 0.448 (0.379-0.545) |

Appendix Table A-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | MY | Number released | Survival probability (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spring trap |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek (cont.) | 2000 | 431 | 0.452 (0.359-0.598) |
|  | 2001 | 328 | 0.376 (0.322-0.433) |
|  | 2002 | 217 | 0.527 (0.411-0.750) |
|  | 2003 | 535 | 0.365 (0.312-0.431) |
|  | 2004 | 525 | 0.413 (0.370-0.457) |
|  | 2005 | 410 | 0.445 (0.366-0.569) |
|  | 2006 | 360 | 0.367 (0.290-0.526) |
|  | 2007 | 363 | 0.310 (0.250-0.402) |
|  | 2008 | 484 | 0.380 (0.309-0.506) |
|  | 2009 | 498 | 0.491 (0.379-0.604) |
|  | 2010 | 571 | 0.464 (0.378-0.607) |
| Lostine River | 1997 | 475 | 0.769 (0.630-1.009) |
|  | 1998 | 484 | 0.784 (0.728-0.845) |
|  | 1999 | 599 | 0.744 (0.664-0.857) |
|  | 2000 | 355 | 0.660 (0.546-0.823) |
|  | 2001 | 442 | 0.695 (0.648-0.741) |
|  | 2002 | 406 | 0.683 (0.589-0.825) |
|  | 2003 | 482 | 0.495 (0.424-0.591) |
|  | 2004 | 0 | - |
|  | 2005 | 464 | 0.552 (0.503-0.602) |
|  | 2006 | 517 | 0.619 (0.551-0.722) |
|  | 2007 | 505 | 0.589 (0.508-0.706) |
|  | 2008 | 499 | 0.683 (0.616-0.768) |
|  | 2009 | 593 | 0.692 (0.617-0.766) |
|  | 2010 | 1,099 | 0.679 (0.589-0.807) |
| Minam River | 2001 | 536 | 0.619 (0.576-0.661) |
|  | 2002 | 382 | 0.532 (0.465-0.644) |
|  | 2003 | 512 | 0.476 (0.405-0.577) |
|  | 2004 | 412 | 0.530 (0.480-0.580) |
|  | 2005 | 374 | 0.555 (0.497-0.620) |
|  | 2006 | 401 | 0.543 (0.482-0.630) |
|  | 2007 | 217 | 0.602 (0.519-0.725) |
|  | 2008 | 496 | 0.623 (0.554-0.710) |
|  | 2009 | 500 | 0.618 (0.540-0.697) |
|  | 2010 | 1,059 | 0.636 (0.563-0.734) |
| Grande Ronde River (Elgin) | 2001 | 4 | (a) |
|  | 2002 | 167 | 0.776 (0.624-1.073) |
|  | 2003 | 250 | 0.764 (0.668-0.893) |
|  | 2004 | 488 | 0.721 (0.677-0.764) |

Appendix Table A-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | MY | Number <br> released | Survival probability (95\% CI) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spring trap |  |  |  |
| Grande Ronde River (Elgin) <br> (cont.) |  |  |  |
|  | 2005 | 236 | $0.698(0.625-0.776)$ |
| Upper Grande Ronde | 2006 | 400 | $0.745(0.666-0.881)$ |
|  | 1994 | 571 | $0.462(0.387-0.563)$ |
|  | 1995 | 368 | $0.609(0.545-0.683)$ |
|  | 1996 | 327 | $0.512(0.404-0.690)$ |
|  | 1998 | 512 | $0.548(0.487-0.622)$ |
|  | 1999 | 528 | $0.538(0.486-0.601)$ |
|  | 2000 | 495 | $0.560(0.472-0.680)$ |
|  | 2001 | 6 | $(a)$ |
|  | 2002 | 536 | $0.499(0.416-0.633)$ |
|  | 2003 | 571 | $0.397(0.346-0.461)$ |
|  | 2004 | 525 | $0.420(0.376-0.464)$ |
|  | 2005 | 615 | $0.374(0.335-0.418)$ |
|  | 2006 | 505 | $0.398(0.318-0.561)$ |
|  | 2007 | 501 | $0.373(0.307-0.469)$ |
|  | 2008 | 510 | $0.418(0.364-0.495)$ |
| $(a)$ |  |  |  |
|  | 2009 | 10 | $0.468(0.401-0.553)$ |

Appendix Table A-4. Travel time to Lower Granite Dam (LGD) of juvenile spring Chinook salmon PIT-tagged at screw traps in spring and arriving at Lower Granite Dam the same year. Min $=$ minimum; $\operatorname{Max}=$ maximum .

| Stream and MY | Distance toLGD (km) | Number detected | Travel time (d) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Median | Min | Max |
| Catherine Creek | 362 |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 |  | 88 | 59.1 | 20 | 105 |
| 1996 |  | 70 | 54.2 | 9 | 91 |
| 1997 |  | 22 | 60.4 | 17 | 91 |
| 1998 |  | 109 | 56.5 | 12 | 87 |
| 1999 |  | 54 | 63.2 | 21 | 90 |
| 2000 |  | 52 | 50.5 | 20 | 95 |
| 2001 |  | 100 | 64.5 | 15 | 110 |
| 2002 |  | 27 | 52.8 | 13 | 75 |
| 2003 |  | 95 | 54.8 | 16 | 101 |
| 2004 |  | 172 | 56.8 | 10 | 109 |
| 2005 |  | 82 | 49.7 | 9 | 109 |
| 2006 |  | 34 | 50.1 | 12 | 86 |
| 2007 |  | 42 | 46.1 | 14 | 83 |
| 2008 |  | 45 | 65.2 | 27 | 119 |
| 2009 |  | 73 | 56.7 | 17 | 86 |
| 2010 |  | 65 | 47.5 | 17 | 87 |
| Lostine River | 274 |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 |  | 109 | 21.7 | 5 | 54 |
| 1998 |  | 183 | 17.8 | 6 | 59 |
| 1999 |  | 88 | 25.6 | 5 | 60 |
| 2000 |  | 65 | 32.5 | 5 | 90 |
| 2001 |  | 246 | 23.6 | 5 | 90 |
| 2002 |  | 61 | 27.5 | 8 | 57 |
| 2003 |  | 107 | 41.6 | 8 | 90 |
| $2004{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | - | - | - | - |
| 2005 |  | 174 | 32.8 | 6 | 75 |
| 2006 |  | 112 | 32 | 5 | 53 |
| 2007 |  | 109 | 34.5 | 6 | 84 |
| 2008 |  | 130 | 20.5 | 8 | 64 |
| 2009 |  | 163 | 37 | 11 | 78 |
| 2010 |  | 174 | 33.0 | 8 | 78 |
| Minam River | 245 |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  | 274 | 39.5 | 9 | 106 |
| 2002 |  | 42 | 32.4 | 5 | 52 |

Appendix Table A-4. Continued.

| Stream and MY | Distance toLGD (km) | Number detected | Travel time (d) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Median | Min | Max |
| Minam River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 |  | 95 | 45.3 | 10 | 71 |
| 2004 |  | 164 | 38.1 | 6 | 82 |
| 2005 |  | 135 | 38.3 | 8 | 68 |
| 2006 |  | 74 | 33.4 | 6 | 58 |
| 2007 |  | 40 | 33.4 | 9 | 62 |
| 2008 |  | 118 | 42.6 | 8 | 74 |
| 2009 |  | 99 | 37.8 | 7 | 79 |
| 2010 |  | 182 | 38.4 | 9 | 77 |
| Grande Ronde River <br> (rkm 164) $262$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 |  | 21 | 6.6 | 3 | 22 |
| 2003 |  | 90 | 8.6 | 3 | 35 |
| 2004 |  | 286 | 8.5 | 4 | 52 |
| 2005 |  | 118 | 20.3 | 4 | 51 |
| 2006 |  | 107 | 5.8 | 2 | 50 |
| Upper Grande Ronde |  |  |  |  |  |
| River (rkm 299) | 397 |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 |  | 93 | 45.1 | 17 | 130 |
| $1995{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 114 | 19.5 | 6 | 81 |
| 1996 |  | 47 | 64.7 | 14 | 88 |
| 1997 |  | 1 | 56.7 | - | - |
| 1998 |  | 116 | 48.6 | 25 | 71 |
| 1999 |  | 83 | 39.1 | 16 | 92 |
| 2000 |  | 91 | 50.5 | 12 | 98 |
| 2001 |  | 4 | 37.5 | 29 | 56 |
| 2002 |  | 71 | 46.5 | 12 | 79 |
| 2003 |  | 95 | 56 | 20 | 84 |
| 2004 |  | 173 | 52.5 | 10 | 95 |
| 2005 |  | 131 | 36.7 | 11 | 74 |
| 2006 |  | 49 | 49.9 | 21 | 77 |
| 2007 |  | 79 | 54.7 | 10 | 73 |
| 2008 |  | 49 | 59.4 | 37 | 92 |
| 2009 |  | 1 | 54.6 | - | - |
| 2010 |  | 80 | 47.5 | 10 | 90 |

${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Trap was located at rkm 257; distance to LGD was 355 km .

Appendix Table A-5. Overwinter survival rates of spring Chinook salmon parr overwintering upstream of screw traps on Catherine Creek and Lostine and Grande Ronde rivers. Screw traps are located on Catherine Creek at rkm 32, Lostine River at rkm 3 and Grande Ronde River at rkm 299, except during MY 1995 when the upper Grande Ronde River trap was at rkm 257. Survival rates were calculated by dividing the winter tag group survival probability by that of the spring tag group.

|  |  | Overwinter survival in upper rearing areas |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BY | MY | Catherine <br> Creek | Lostine <br> River | Upper Grande <br> Ronde River |
| 1992 | 1994 | - | - | 0.54 |
| 1993 | 1995 | 0.55 | - | 0.25 |
| 1994 | 1996 | 0.53 | - | - |
| 1995 | 1997 | 0.19 | 0.58 | - |
| 1996 | 1998 | 0.54 | 0.45 | 0.21 |
| 1997 | 1999 | 0.64 | 0.41 | 0.22 |
| 1998 | 2000 | 0.31 | 0.60 | 0.24 |
| 1999 | 2001 | 0.20 | 0.41 | - |
| 2000 | 2002 | 0.39 | 0.36 | - |
| 2001 | 2003 | 0.38 | 0.46 | - |
| 2002 | 2004 | 0.43 | 0.30 | 0.70 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 0.55 |
| 2004 | 2006 | 0.34 | 0.29 | 0.20 |
| 2005 | 2007 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.45 |
| 2006 | 2008 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.86 |
| 2007 | 2009 | 0.22 | 0.28 | - |
| 2008 | 2010 | 0.39 | 0.36 | 0.27 |

Appendix Table A-6. Comparisons of overwinter survival of spring Chinook salmon parr in rearing areas upstream (above screw trap) and downstream (below screw trap) on the upper Grande Ronde River, Catherine Creek and the Lostine River. Early migrant life history corresponds to overwintering downstream; late migrant life history corresponds to overwintering upstream. Screw traps operated in the same location in each study stream with the exception of the upper Grande Ronde River trap which operated at rkm 299 in all years but MY 1995 when it was located at rkm 257. Each $P$-value was based on the maximum likelihood ratio test comparing the fit of the null model (fall tag group survival = winter tag group survival) to the fit of the full model (fall tag group survival $\neq$ winter tag group survival).

| MY | Catherine Creek |  | Lostine River |  | Upper Grande Ronde River |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area/life history with higher overwinter survival | $P$-value | Area/life history with higher overwinter survival | $P$-value | Area/life history with higher overwinter survival | $P$-value |
| 1994 | - | - | - | - | Equivalent | 0.331 |
| 1995 | Equivalent | 0.278 | - | - | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.020 |
| 1996 | Equivalent | 0.766 | - | - | - | - |
| 1997 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.016 | Equivalent | 0.133 | - | - |
| 1998 | Equivalent | 0.289 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.014 | Downstream/fall migrants | <0.001 |
| 1999 | Upstream/spring migrants | 0.025 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.014 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.002 |
| 2000 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.031 | Equivalent | 0.211 | Downstream/fall migrants | <0.001 |
| 2001 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.009 | Equivalent | 0.090 | - | - |
| 2002 | Equivalent | 0.403 | Equivalent | 0.350 | - | - |
| 2003 | Equivalent | 0.283 | Equivalent | 0.263 | Upstean | - |
| 2004 | Upstream/spring migrants | 0.026 | - | - | Upstream/spring migrants | 0.001 |
| 2005 | Equivalent | 0.733 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.021 | Upstream/spring migrants | 0.030 |
| 2006 | Equivalent | 0.061 | Equivalent | 0.144 | Equivalent | 0.070 |
| 2007 | Downstream/fall migrants | <0.001 | Equivalent | 0.115 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.012 |
| 2008 | Equivalent | 0.800 | Equivalent | 0.115 | Equivalent | 0.931 |
| 2009 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.003 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.003 | - | - |
| 2010 | Equivalent | 0.949 | Equivalent | 0.719 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.014 |

Appendix Table A-7. Estimated number of wild spring Chinook salmon smolt equivalents leaving tributaries in spring, and at Lower Granite Dam (LGD). Brood year represents the year eggs were deposited in the gravel, and migration year refers to the calendar year that smolts migrate seaward.


Appendix Table A-7. Continued.

|  | Stream, brood year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Migration } \\ \text { year } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Early migrants |  |  | Late migrants |  |  | Estimated smolt equivalents leaving tributary in spring | Estimated smolt equivalents at LGD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Migrant abundance estimate | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { CI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Survival to LGD | Migrant abundance estimate | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { CI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Survival to LGD |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1995 | 1997 | 2,175 | 239 | 0.312 | 2,321 | 557 | 0.769 | 3,203 | 2,463 |
|  | 1996 | 1998 | 11,381 | 2,373 | 0.448 | 6,158 | 1,089 | 0.784 | 12,661 | 9,927 |
|  | 1997 | 1999 | 20,133 | 1,966 | 0.422 | 14,134 | 1,749 | 0.744 | 25,554 | 19,012 |
|  | 1998 | 2000 | 8,370 | 835 | 0.317 | 3,880 | 299 | 0.660 | 7,900 | 5,214 |
|  | 1999 | 2001 | 10,478 | 1,246 | 0.335 | 3,132 | 549 | 0.695 | 8,183 | 5,687 |
|  | 2000 | 2002 | 15,358 | 2,371 | 0.326 | 2,782 | 522 | 0.683 | 10,112 | 6,907 |
|  | 2001 | 2003 | 19,048 | 1,459 | 0.287 | 9,891 | 1,161 | 0.495 | 20,935 | 10,363 |
|  | 2002 | $2004{ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2003 | 2005 | 41,163 | 6,185 | 0.267 | 13,439 | 2,662 | 0.552 | 33,349 | 18,409 |
|  | 2004 | 2006 | 42,563 | 8,705 | 0.269 | 11,705 | 1,372 | 0.619 | 30,202 | 18,695 |
|  | 2005 | 2007 | 34,250 | 4,720 | 0.223 | 11,933 | 1,013 | 0.589 | 24,900 | 14,666 |
|  | 2006 | 2008 | 15,354 | 2,601 | 0.265 | 10,763 | 2,366 | 0.683 | 16,720 | 11,420 |
|  | 2007 | 2009 | 30,896 | 7,261 | 0.312 | 8,039 | 1,160 | 0.692 | 22,009 | 15,203 |
|  | 2008 | 2010 | 28,529 | 2,717 | 0.265 | 19,157 | 1,545 | 0.679 | 30,291 | 20,567 |

Appendix Table A-7. Continued.

|  | Stream, brood year | $\begin{gathered} \text { Migration } \\ \text { year } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Early migrants |  |  | Late migrants |  |  | Estimated smolt equivalents leaving tributary in spring | Estimated smolt equivalents at LGD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Migrant abundance estimate | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { CI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Survival <br> to LGD | Migrant abundance estimate | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { CI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Survival to LGD |  |  |
|  | Minam River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1999 | 2001 | 10,224 | 2,820 | 0.427 | 17,985 | 3,689 | 0.619 | 25,038 | 15,498 |
|  | 2000 | 2002 | 62,708 | 10,088 | 0.249 | 16,292 | 3,957 | 0.532 | 45,642 | 24,282 |
|  | 2001 | 2003 | 19,674 | 3,738 | 0.238 | 43,473 | 9,982 | 0.476 | 53,310 | 25,376 |
|  | 2002 | 2004 | 42,978 | 5,732 | 0.183 | 22,207 | 7,002 | 0.530 | 37,047 | 19,635 |
|  | 2003 | 2005 | 47,924 | 2,782 | 0.293 | 63,466 | 26,407 | 0.555 | 88,766 | 49,265 |
|  | 2004 | 2006 | 29,492 | 6,275 | 0.245 | 21,467 | 5,374 | 0.543 | 34,774 | 18,882 |
|  | 2005 | 2007 | 25,875 | 5,517 | 0.250 | 11,844 | 1,680 | 0.602 | 22,589 | 13,599 |
| $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | 2006 | 2008 | 33,592 | 5,337 | 0.283 | 43,709 | 10,744 | 0.623 | 58,968 | 36,737 |
|  | 2007 | 2009 | 27,167 | 6,710 | 0.387 | 16,476 | 5,902 | 0.618 | 33,488 | 20,696 |
|  | 2008 | 2010 | 75,070 | 13,489 | 0.366 | 90,948 | 33,063 | 0.636 | 134,149 | 85,318 |

Appendix Table A-7. Continued.

| Stream, brood year | Migration year | Early migrants |  |  | Late migrants |  |  | Estimated smolt equivalents leaving tributary in spring | Estimated smolt equivalents at LGD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Migrant abundance estimate | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { CI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Survival <br> to LGD | Migrant abundance estimate | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { CI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Survival to LGD |  |  |
| Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 1994 | 2,616 | 188 | 0.348 | 22,175 | 3,188 | 0.462 | 24,145 | 11,155 |
| 1993 | 1995 | 4,859 | 1,881 | 0.228 | 33,866 | 12,560 | 0.609 | 35,685 | 21,732 |
| 1994 | 1996 | 13 | 15 | (b) | 1,105 | 192 | 0.512 | (b) | (b) |
| 1995 | 1997 | 68 | 28 | (b) | 14 | 11 | (b) | (b) | (b) |
| 1996 | 1998 | 2,408 | 316 | 0.286 | 4,514 | 535 | 0.548 | 5,771 | 3,162 |
| 1997 | 1999 | 2,440 | 187 | 0.269 | 12,418 | 3,116 | 0.538 | 13,638 | 7,337 |
| 1998 | 2000 | 3,839 | 386 | 0.341 | 10,941 | 2,033 | 0.560 | 13,279 | 7,436 |
| 1999 | 2001 | 6 | 9 | (b) | 45 | 30 | (b) | (b) | (b) |
| 2000 | 2002 | 1,625 | 180 | 0.308 | 7,508 | 1,564 | 0.499 | 8,511 | 4,247 |
| 2001 | 2003 | 1,350 | 105 | 0.184 | 3,572 | 458 | 0.397 | 4,198 | 1,666 |
| 2002 | 2004 | 467 | 81 | 0.164 | 4,387 | 637 | 0.420 | 4,569 | 1,919 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 1,094 | 123 | 0.138 | 5,163 | 825 | 0.374 | 5,567 | 2,082 |
| 2004 | 2006 | 7,846 | 1,248 | 0.171 | 26,826 | 5,170 | 0.398 | 30,197 | 12,018 |
| 2005 | 2007 | 5,356 | 306 | 0.242 | 11,753 | 1,680 | 0.373 | 15,228 | 5,680 |
| 2006 | 2008 | 4,576 | 1,721 | 0.338 | 7,108 | 2,828 | 0.418 | 10,808 | 4,518 |
| 2007 | 2009 | 8 | 9 | (b) | 26 | 10 | (b) | (b) | (b) |
| 2008 | 2010 | 4,584 | 571 | 0.209 | 16,179 | 1,851 | 0.468 | 18,226 | 8,529 |

[^3]
## APPENDIX B

A Compilation of Steelhead Data

Appendix Table B-1. Population estimates, median migration dates, and percentage of steelhead population moving as late migrants past trap sites, 1997-2010 migratory years. The early migratory period begins 1 July of the preceding year and ends 28 January of the migratory year. The late migratory period begins 29 January and ends 30 June.

| Stream and MY | Population estimate | 95\% CI | Median migration date |  | Late migrants <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Early migrants | Late migrants |  |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 25,229 | 4,774 | 23 Nov ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 14 Apr | $42^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1998 | 20,742 | 2,076 | 22 Sep | 4 Apr | 58 |
| 1999 | 19,628 | 3,549 | 2 Nov | 15 Apr | 75 |
| 2000 | 35,699 | 6,024 | 30 Oct | 16 Apr | 61 |
| 2001 | 20,586 | 4,082 | 24 Sep | 31 Mar | 56 |
| 2002 | 45,799 | 6,271 | 12 Oct | 1 May | 58 |
| 2003 | 29,593 | 5,095 | 14 Oct | 18 May | 59 |
| 2004 | 26,642 | 4,324 | 31 Oct | 23 Apr | 63 |
| 2005 | 27,192 | 5,686 | 15 Oct | 20 May | 66 |
| 2006 | 23,243 | 8,142 | 13 Oct | 13 Apr | 62 |
| 2007 | 13,715 | 1,704 | 16 Oct | 4 May | 27 |
| 2008 | 24,011 | 9,268 | 19 Oct | 13 Apr | 64 |
| 2009 | 17,098 | 3,198 | 14 Oct | 10 Apr | 35 |
| 2010 | 11,494 | 2,213 | 2 Nov | 18 Apr | 52 |
| Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 4,309 | 710 | $21 \mathrm{Nov}^{\text {a }}$ | 1 May | $63^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1998 | 10,271 | 2,152 | 4 Oct | 24 Apr | 46 |
| 1999 | 23,643 | 2,637 | 17 Oct | 1 May | 35 |
| 2000 | 11,981 | 1,574 | 19 Oct | 21 Apr | 44 |
| 2001 | 16,690 | 3,242 | 4 Oct | 27 Apr | 55 |
| 2002 | 21,019 | 2,958 | 18 Oct | 17 Apr | 31 |
| 2003 | 37,106 | 4,798 | 2 Oct | 25 Apr | 30 |
| 2004 | ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - | - | - | - |
| 2005 | 31,342 | 8,234 | 23 Sep | 25 Apr | 26 |
| 2006 | 28,710 | 7,068 | 3 Oct | 18 Apr | 11 |
| 2007 | 13,162 | 1,867 | 5 Oct | 28 Apr | 26 |
| 2008 | 21,493 | 4,087 | 6 Oct | 30 Apr | 43 |
| 2009 | 14,792 | 5,332 | 14 Oct | 10 Apr | 26 |
| 2010 | 14,111 | 2,027 | 6 Oct | 26 Apr | 33 |
| Minam River |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 28,113 | 10,537 | $3 \mathrm{Oct}^{\text {a }}$ | 28 Apr | $86^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2002 | 44,872 | 19,786 | $24 \mathrm{Oct}^{\text {a }}$ | 25 Apr | $82^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2003 | 43,743 | 20,680 | $10 \mathrm{Nov}^{\text {a }}$ | 1 May | $99^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2004 | 24,846 | 13,564 | 29 Oct | 28 Apr | 97 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Trap was started late, thereby potentially missing some early migrants.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Limited trapping operations prevented complete population estimates and migration timing.

Appendix Table B-1. Continued.

| $\underline{\text { Stream and MY }}$ | Population estimate | 95\% CI | Median migration date |  | Late migrants(\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Early migrants | Late migrants |  |
| Minam River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 105,853 | 75,607 | 16 Sep | 18 Apr | 94 |
| 2006 | 103,141 | 62,607 | 2 Oct | 22 Apr | 78 |
| 2007 | 11,831 | 3,330 | 1 Oct | 30 Apr | 72 |
| 2008 | 62,675 | 21,725 | 19 Oct | 30 Apr | 81 |
| 2009 | 22,940 | 9,167 | 13 Nov | 21 Apr | 72 |
| 2010 | 50,224 | 16,210 | 15 Oct | 18 Apr | 73 |
| Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 15,104 | 3,184 | 25 Oct | 27 Mar | 92 |
| 1998 | 10,133 | 1,612 | 8 Aug | 27 Mar | 60 |
| 1999 | 6,108 | 1,309 | 8 Nov | 29 Apr | 95 |
| 2000 | 17,845 | 3,526 | 30 Sep | 8 Apr | 94 |
| 2001 | 16,067 | 4,076 | 11 Oct | 8 May | 96 |
| 2002 | 17,286 | 1,715 | 24 Oct | 15 Apr | 94 |
| 2003 | 14,729 | 2,302 | 6 Oct | 23 Apr | 93 |
| 2004 | 13,126 | 1,487 | 15 Oct | 11 Apr | 91 |
| 2005 | 8,210 | 1,434 | 25 Oct | 4 May | 86 |
| 2006 | 13,188 | 2,819 | 2 Oct | 12 Apr | 86 |
| 2007 | 12,632 | 1,766 | 20 Oct | 10 Apr | 87 |
| 2008 | 7,296 | 1,405 | 13 Nov | 28 Apr | 95 |
| 2009 | 7,471 | 1,678 | 10 Nov | 20 Apr | 96 |
| 2010 | 8,081 | 1,425 | 15 Oct | 20 Apr | 90 |

Appendix Table B-2. Dates of arrival at Lower Granite Dam of steelhead PIT tagged upstream of the screw trap in Catherine Creek and tributaries during summer, and at screw traps in the fall and spring during the same migratory year, 2000-2010. The numbers of fish detected were expanded for spillway flow to calculate the median arrival date.

| Stream and MY | Tag group | Number tagged | Number detected | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | Fall | 989 | 43 | 20 Apr | 2 Apr | 29 Jun |
|  | Spring | 502 | 63 | 6 May | 6 Apr | 10 Jun |
| 2001 | Summer | 1,169 | 26 | 8 May | 25 Apr | 25 Jun |
|  | Fall | 561 | 66 | 6 May | 18 Apr | 12 Jun |
|  | Spring | 266 | 88 | 14 May | 22 Apr | 11 Jun |
| 2002 | Summer | 1,108 | 32 | 20 May | 14 Apr | 25 Jun |
|  | Fall | 723 | 10 | 12 May | 16 Apr | 17 Jun |
|  | Spring | 504 | 95 | 22 May | 20 Apr | 1 Jul |
| 2003 | Summer | 1,043 | 27 | 26 May | 26 Apr | 1 Jun |
|  | Fall | 918 | 26 | 8 May | 27 Mar | 3 Jun |
|  | Spring | 364 | 52 | 26 May | 22 Apr | 3 Aug |
| 2004 | Summer | 1,046 | 54 | 11 May | 10 Apr | 18 Aug |
|  | Fall | 512 | 38 | 7 May | 3 Apr | 20 Jun |
|  | Spring | 598 | 150 | 22 May | 26 Apr | 24 Jul |
| 2005 | Summer | 1,024 | 81 | 8 May | 4 Apr | 3 Jun |
|  | Fall | 473 | 35 | 8 May | 23 Apr | 8 Jun |
|  | Spring | 623 | 55 | 10 May | 18 Apr | 27 Jun |
| 2006 | Summer | 632 | 19 | 2 May | 15 Apr | 9 Jun |
|  | Fall | 934 | 23 | 30 Apr | 2 Apr | 22 May |
|  | Spring | 500 | 32 | 7 May | 15 Apr | 31 May |
| 2007 | Summer | 609 | 3 | 12 May | 2 May | 13 May |
|  | Fall | 859 | 21 | 5 May | 2 Apr | 9 Jun |
|  | Spring | 370 | 15 | 9 May | 4 May | 3 Jun |
| 2008 | Fall | 600 | 20 | 4 May | 22 Apr | 4 Jul |
|  | Spring | 604 | 21 | 19 May | 22 Apr | 12 Jun |
| 2009 | Fall | 517 | 57 | 8 May | 28 Mar | 18 Jun |
|  | Spring | 357 | 64 | 7 May | 16 Apr | 15 Jun |
| 2010 | Fall | 592 | 30 | 4 May | 22 Apr | 4 Jun |
|  | Spring | 574 | 32 | 14 May | 22 Apr | 25 Jun |
| Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | Fall | 777 | 116 | 10 May | 26 Mar | 16 Jun |
|  | Spring | 532 | 166 | 6 May | 13 Apr | 13 Jun |
| 2001 | Fall | 421 | 13 | 12 May | 16 Apr | 13 Jun |
|  | Spring | 345 | 164 | 14 May | 13 Apr | 18 Aug |
| 2002 | Fall | 837 | 40 | 8 May | 10 Apr | 24 Jun |

Appendix Table B-2. Continued.


Appendix Table B-2. Continued.

| Stream and MY | Tag group | Number tagged | Number detected | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | Fall | 110 | 7 | 30 Apr | 18 Apr | 26 May |
|  | Spring | 462 | 73 | 7 May | 31 Mar | 28 Jun |
| 2001 | Fall | 61 | 10 | 7 May | 28 Apr | 29 Jun |
|  | Spring | 475 | 180 | 5 May | 26 Apr | 28 Aug |
| 2002 | Fall | 165 | 9 | 7 May | 26 Apr | 1 Jun |
|  | Spring | 543 | 86 | 22 May | 14 Apr | 25 Jun |
| 2003 | Fall | 309 | 11 | 18 May | 8 Apr | 1 Jun |
|  | Spring | 583 | 101 | 25 May | 4 Apr | 24 Jun |
| 2004 | Fall | 108 | 1 | 23 May | - | - |
|  | Spring | 853 | 190 | 17 May | 15 Apr | 14 Jun |
| 2005 | Fall | 288 | 16 | 10 May | 19 Apr | 19 May |
|  | Spring | 643 | 150 | 11 May | 21 Apr | 27 Jun |
| 2006 | Fall | 53 | 4 | 10 May | 25 Apr | 17 May |
|  | Spring | 500 | 62 | 10 May | 15 Apr | 27 May |
| 2007 | Fall | 485 | 16 | 9 May | 15 Apr | 6 Jun |
|  | Spring | 600 | 59 | 13 May | 7 Apr | 12 Jun |
| 2008 | Fall | 136 | 18 | 15 May | 19 Apr | 28 May |
|  | Spring | 601 | 110 | 11 May | 25 Apr | 7 Jun |
| 2009 | Fall | 109 | 6 | 20 May | 3 May | 6 Jun |
|  | Spring | 612 | 128 | 9 May | 11 Apr | 16 Jun |
| 2010 | Fall | 276 | 11 | 14 May | 23 Apr | 10 Jun |
|  | Spring | 612 | 40 | 20 May | 14 Apr | 22 Jun |

Appendix Table B-3. Probability of surviving and migrating in the first year to Lower Granite Dam for steelhead PIT-tagged in the upper rearing areas of Catherine Creek during summer and at screw traps during fall and spring.

| Tag group and stream | $\begin{gathered} \text { MY } \\ \text { tagged } \end{gathered}$ | Number tagged | Number detected |  |  | Probability of surviving and migrating in the first year$(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MY } \\ & +1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MY } \\ & +2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Summer |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2001 | 413 | 22 | 7 | 0 | 0.056 (0.012-0.083) |
|  | 2002 | 838 | 65 | 9 | 0 | 0.101 (0.075-0.140) |
|  | 2003 | 510 | 23 | 7 | 0 | 0.048 (0.031-0.071) |
|  | 2004 | 527 | 42 | 18 | 0 | 0.081 (0.059-0.108) |
|  | 2005 | 704 | 58 | 3 | 0 | 0.082 (0.063-0.104) |
|  | 2006 | 418 | 40 | 1 | 0 | 0.138 (0.090-0.252) |
|  | 2007 | 334 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0.072 (0.024-0.992) |
| Little Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2001 | 415 | 0 | 3 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2007 | 275 | 1 | 1 | 0 | (a) |
| Middle Fork Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2006 | 214 | 1 | 0 | 0 | (a) |
| Milk Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2003 | 532 | 27 | 3 | 0 | 0.062 (0.040-0.100) |
| North Fork Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2001 | 117 | 2 | 1 | 1 | (a) |
|  | 2002 | 270 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0.035 (0.015-0.085) |
|  | 2005 | 320 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 0.044 (0.024-0.074) |
| South Fork Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2001 | 225 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0.022 (0.002-0.042) |
|  | 2004 | 519 | 20 | 10 | 1 | $0.035(\mathrm{SE}=0.008)$ |
| Catherine Creek and tribs combined |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2001 | 1,170 | 29 | 15 | 1 | 0.026 (0.017-0.036) |
|  | 2002 | 1,108 | 73 | 11 | 1 | 0.084 (0.064-0.114) |
|  | 2003 | 1,042 | 50 | 10 | 0 | 0.054 (0.040-0.073) |
|  | 2004 | 1,046 | 62 | 28 | 1 | 0.058 (0.048-0.082) |
|  | 2005 | 1,024 | 72 | 9 | 0 | 0.070 (0.055-0.087) |
|  | 2006 | 632 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 0.094 (0.061-0.173) |
|  | 2007 | 609 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0.045 (0.015-0.062) |
| Fall |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 996 | 73 | 14 | 0 | 0.099 (0.075-0.133) |
|  | 2001 | 562 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0.120 (0.095-0.149) |
|  | 2002 | 723 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 0.069 (0.040-0.152) |
|  | 2003 | 915 | 56 | 11 | 0 | 0.085 (0.059-0.143) |
|  | 2004 | 512 | 53 | 6 | 0 | 0.128 (0.095-0.177) |

Appendix Table B-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | $\begin{gathered} \text { MY } \\ \text { tagged } \end{gathered}$ | Number tagged | Number detected |  |  | Probability of surviving and migrating in the first year (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MY } \\ & +1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MY } \\ & +2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Fall |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2005 | 473 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 0.087 (SE=0.013) |
|  | 2006 | 934 | 61 | 12 | 0 | 0.077 (0.058-0.110) |
|  | 2007 | 859 | 59 | 8 | 0 | 0.084 (0.059-0.155) |
|  | 2008 | 600 | 37 | 18 | 0 | 0.079 (0.052-0.142) |
|  | 2009 | 517 | 105 | 4 | - | 0.259 (0.207-0.336) |
|  | 2010 | 592 | 77 | - | - | 0.190 (0.135-0.315) |
| Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 777 | 158 | 11 | 0 | 0.264 (0.222-0.315) |
|  | 2001 | 423 | 17 | 18 | 0 | 0.045 (0.027-0.073) |
|  | 2002 | 837 | 106 | 18 | 0 | 0.154 (0.124-0.194) |
|  | 2003 | 998 | 100 | 30 | 0 | 0.111 (0.090-0.138) |
|  | 2005 | 760 | 108 | 27 | 0 | 0.150 (0.124-0.180) |
|  | 2006 | 827 | 59 | 15 | 0 | 0.085 (0.063-0.125) |
|  | 2007 | 1,000 | 96 | 23 | 0 | 0.160 (0.110-0.279) |
|  | 2008 | 599 | 49 | 29 | 0 | $0.082(\mathrm{SE}=0.011)$ |
|  | 2009 | 584 | 91 | 6 | - | 0.167 (0.136-0.204) |
|  | 2010 | 800 | 99 | - | - | 0.168 (0.127-0.245) |
| Minam River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2001 | 32 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0.225 (0.103-0.396) |
|  | 2002 | 262 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0.134 (0.041-1.971) |
|  | 2003 | 42 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0.238 (0.105-1.663) |
|  | 2004 | 60 | 3 | 2 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2005 | 79 | 10 | 1 | 0 | $0.127(\mathrm{SE}=0.037)$ |
|  | 2006 | 81 | 7 | 1 | 0 | $0.086(\mathrm{SE}=0.031)$ |
|  | 2007 | 107 | 10 | 4 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2008 | 495 | 33 | 24 | 0 | $0.090(0.057=0.173)$ |
|  | 2009 | 131 | 19 | 2 | - | 0.165 (0.103-0.258) |
|  | 2010 | 417 | 5 | - | - | (a) |
| Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 110 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0.227 (0.118-0.650) |
|  | 2001 | 61 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0.223 (0.122-0.398) |
|  | 2002 | 165 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0.185 (0.108-0.387) |
|  | 2003 | 309 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0.094 (0.043-0.956) |
|  | 2004 | 108 | 1 | 1 | 0 | $0.009(\mathrm{SE}=0.009)$ |
|  | 2005 | 288 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0.071 (SE=0.016) |
|  | 2006 | 53 | 5 | 0 | 0 | $0.094(\mathrm{SE}=0.040)$ |
|  | 2007 | 485 | 34 | 12 | 0 | 0.121 (0.065-0.488) |
|  | 2008 | 136 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0.420 (0.294-0.657) |
|  | 2009 | 109 | 24 | 2 | - | 0.253 (0.164-0.460) |

Appendix Table B-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | $\begin{gathered} \text { MY } \\ \text { tagged } \end{gathered}$ | Number tagged | Number detected |  |  | Probability of surviving and migrating in the first year (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MY | $\begin{gathered} \text { MY } \\ +1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MY } \\ & +2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Fall |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper Grande Ronde (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2010 | 276 | 21 | - | - | 0.098 (0.059-0.171) |
| Spring ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 115 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 305 | 104 | 2 | 0 | 0.490 (0.392-0.630) |
|  | 2001 | 247 | 95 | 2 | 0 | 0.400 (0.339-0.465) |
|  | 2002 | 504 | 213 | 2 | 0 | 0.532 (0.465-0.615) |
|  | 2003 | 359 | 107 | 2 | 0 | 0.360 (0.291-0.472) |
|  | 2004 | 411 | 187 | 1 | 0 | 0.474 (0.423-0.526) |
|  | 2005 | 181 | 69 | 2 | 0 | 0.453 (0.353-0.623) |
|  | 2006 | 222 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0.540 (0.421-0.790) |
|  | 2007 | 169 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 0.179 (0.108-0.546) |
|  | 2008 | 128 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0.520 (0.358-1.002) |
|  | 2009 | 261 | 127 | 0 | - | 0.582 (0.495-0.694) |
|  | 2010 | 288 | 100 | - | - | 0.527 (0.382-0.884) |
| Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 443 | 234 | 4 | 0 | 0.635 (0.570-0.708) |
|  | 2001 | 330 | 189 | 16 | 0 | 0.594 (0.538-0.651) |
|  | 2002 | 351 | 171 | 6 | 0 | 0.625 (0.538-0.739) |
|  | 2003 | 447 | 269 | 4 | 0 | 0.705 (0.633-0.795) |
|  | 2005 | 90 | 56 | 1 | 0 | 0.641 (0.532-0.766) |
|  | 2006 | 89 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0.629 (SE= 0.051) |
|  | 2007 | 101 | 35 | 3 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2008 | 128 | 76 | 1 | 0 | 0.714 (0.576-0.967) |
|  | 2009 | 268 | 151 | 1 | - | 0.646 (0.563-0.754) |
|  | 2010 | 189 | 93 | - | - | 0.831 (0.585-1.490) |
| Minam River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2001 | 442 | 269 | 8 | 0 | 0.632 (0.584-0.680) |
|  | 2002 | 197 | 109 | 1 | 0 | 0.722 (0.598-0.898) |
|  | 2003 | 500 | 272 | 0 | 0 | 0.662 (0.590-0.753) |
|  | 2004 | 120 | 68 | 2 | 0 | 0.588 (0.493-0.686) |
|  | 2005 | 161 | 91 | 3 | 0 | 0.566 (0.485-0.647) |
|  | 2006 | 274 | 168 | 1 | 0 | 0.665 (0.584-0.809) |
|  | 2007 | 178 | 68 | 2 | 0 | 0.684 (0.432-1.638) |
|  | 2008 | 291 | 175 | 1 | 0 | 0.819 (0.689-1.027) |
|  | 2009 | 204 | 119 | 4 | - | 0.670 (0.577-0.789) |
|  | 2010 | 178 | 77 | - | - | 1.039 (0.627-2.396) |
| Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 324 | 100 | 1 | 0 | 0.400 (0.326-0.497) |
|  | 2001 | 465 | 196 | 5 | 0 | 0.451 (0.402-0.503) |

Appendix Table B-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | $\begin{gathered} \text { MY } \\ \text { tagged } \end{gathered}$ | Number tagged | Number detected |  |  | Probability of surviving and migrating in the first year$(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MY | $\begin{gathered} \text { MY } \\ +1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MY } \\ & +2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Spring (FL $\geq 115 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upper Grande Ronde (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2002 | 543 | 192 | 1 | 0 | 0.450 (0.387-0.529) |
|  | 2003 | 578 | 205 | 3 | 0 | 0.461 (0.393-0.552) |
|  | 2004 | 853 | 223 | 2 | 0 | 0.492 (0.443-0.542) |
|  | 2005 | 371 | 186 | 2 | 0 | 0.553 (0.490-0.628) |
|  | 2006 | 342 | 168 | 2 | 0 | 0.522 (0.454-0.629) |
|  | 2007 | 464 | 119 | 3 | 0 | 0.315 (0.246-0.453) |
|  | 2008 | 578 | 263 | 3 | 0 | 0.626 (0.588-0.708) |
|  | 2009 | 533 | 256 | 1 | - | 0.573 (0.513-0.643) |
|  | 2010 | 316 | 119 | - | - | 0.547 (0.434-0.728) |
| Spring (FL < 115 mm ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 189 | 0 | 10 | 1 | (a) |
|  | 2001 | 19 | 1 | 2 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2002 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2003 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2004 | 187 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 0.027 (SE=0.012) |
|  | 2005 | 442 | 1 | 22 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2006 | 278 | 3 | 8 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2007 | 201 | 0 | 23 | 1 | (a) |
|  | 2008 | 476 | 9 | 40 | 0 | 0.019 (SE=0.006) |
|  | 2009 | 96 | 0 | 8 | - | (a) |
|  | 2010 | 285 | 2 | - | - | (a) |
| Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 84 | 0 | 9 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2001 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2003 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2005 | 142 | 0 | 24 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2006 | 89 | 1 | 16 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2007 | 172 | 0 | 26 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2008 | 345 | 3 | 43 | 0 | 0.009 (SE=0.005) |
|  | 2009 | 302 | 0 | 29 | - | (a) |
|  | 2010 | 411 | 0 | - | - | (a) |
| Minam River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2001 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2002 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2004 | 97 | 0 | 9 | 1 | (a) |
|  | 2005 | 172 | 0 | 10 | 0 | (a) |

Appendix Table B-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | $\begin{gathered} \text { MY } \\ \text { tagged } \end{gathered}$ | Number tagged | Number detected |  |  | Probability of surviving and migrating in the first year$(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MY | $\begin{gathered} \text { MY } \\ +1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MY } \\ & +2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Spring (FL < 115 mm ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minam River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2006 | 274 | 0 | 7 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2007 | 115 | 0 | 14 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2008 | 300 | 0 | 36 | 1 | (a) |
|  | 2009 | 146 | 0 | 16 | - | (a) |
|  | 2010 | 324 | 0 | - | - | (a) |
| Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 129 | 0 | 5 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2001 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2002 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0.118 ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.078$ ) |
|  | 2003 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2004 | 378 | 5 | 29 | 1 | 0.016 (SE=0.008) |
|  | 2005 | 272 | 0 | 9 | 2 | (a) |
|  | 2006 | 157 | 2 | 9 | 2 | (a) |
|  | 2007 | 136 | 0 | 7 | 2 | (a) |
|  | 2008 | 83 | 0 | 6 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2009 | 78 | 0 | 5 | - | (a) |
|  | 2010 | 295 | 0 | - | - | (a) |

Appendix Table B-4. Steelhead fork lengths during tagging at screw traps on Catherine Creek and upper Grande Ronde, Lostine, and Minam rivers during the early migration period 1999-2009, summarized by dam detection history.

| Stream and year tagged | Year detected | $N$ | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Median | Min | Percentile |  | Max |
|  |  |  |  |  | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | (a) | 986 | 101 | 60 | 76 | 142 | 200 |
|  | 2000 | 73 | 148 | 67 | 133 | 162 | 195 |
|  | 2001 | 14 | 77 | 61 | 73 | 86 | 118 |
| 2000 | (a) | 561 | 136 | 76 | 124 | 150 | 204 |
|  | 2001 | 67 | 139 | 102 | 126 | 152 | 195 |
| 2001 | (a) | 723 | 85 | 62 | 75 | 124 | 193 |
|  | 2002 | 30 | 128 | 78 | 91 | 136 | 170 |
|  | 2003 | 4 | 71 | 62 | 67 | 75 | 75 |
| 2002 | (a) | 918 | 111 | 60 | 81 | 141 | 245 |
|  | 2003 | 56 | 143 | 99 | 133 | 154 | 177 |
|  | 2004 | 13 | 74 | 65 | 71 | 83 | 167 |
| 2003 | (a) | 512 | 117 | 59 | 85 | 133 | 240 |
|  | 2004 | 54 | 131 | 81 | 118 | 146 | 185 |
|  | 2005 | 6 | 77 | 65 | 71 | 82 | 118 |
| 2004 | (a) | 473 | 124 | 58 | 81 | 140 | 191 |
|  | 2005 | 44 | 136 | 85 | 123 | 152 | 189 |
|  | 2006 | 2 | 81 | 75 | 78 | 84 | 87 |
| 2005 | (a) | 934 | 91 | 55 | 77 | 134 | 246 |
|  | 2006 | 61 | 140 | 82 | 127 | 154 | 208 |
|  | 2007 | 12 | 78 | 69 | 71 | 79 | 94 |
| 2006 | (a) | 856 | 135 | 60 | 118 | 153 | 331 |
|  | 2007 | 58 | 144 | 81 | 127 | 160 | 227 |
|  | 2008 | 8 | 83 | 60 | 76 | 93 | 105 |
| 2007 | (a) | 597 | 80 | 57 | 72 | 116 | 216 |
|  | 2008 | 37 | 123 | 75 | 84 | 144 | 187 |
|  | 2009 | 17 | 77 | 62 | 72 | 80 | 85 |
| 2008 | (a) | 518 | 135 | 71 | 125 | 145 | 207 |
|  | 2009 | 106 | 140 | 110 | 129 | 156 | 178 |
| 2009 | (a) | 592 | 140 | 55 | 121 | 158 | 305 |
|  | 2010 | 77 | 148 | 95 | 133 | 161 | 198 |
| Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | (a) | 773 | 153 | 66 | 140 | 168 | 286 |
|  | 2000 | 157 | 157 | 121 | 144 | 170 | 259 |
|  | 2001 | 11 | 105 | 79 | 85 | 119 | 141 |
| 2000 | (a) | 421 | 80 | 61 | 73 | 91 | 235 |
|  | 2001 | 17 | 161 | 95 | 146 | 178 | 212 |
|  | 2002 | 18 | 86 | 65 | 80 | 89 | 106 |
| 2001 | (a) | 824 | 100 | 60 | 85 | 155 | 262 |

[^4]Appendix Table B-4. Continued.

| Stream and year tagged | Year detected | $N$ | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Median | Min | Percentile |  | Max |
|  |  |  |  |  | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| Lostine River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 2002 | 105 | 155 | 87 | 140 | 169 | 205 |
|  | 2003 | 19 | 82 | 68 | 78 | 94 | 161 |
|  | (a) | 999 | 93 | 62 | 73 | 155 | 348 |
|  | 2003 | 98 | 152 | 68 | 136 | 175 | 263 |
|  | 2004 | 33 | 75 | 66 | 70 | 84 | 263 |
| 2003 | (b) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2004 | (a) | 758 | 92 | 57 | 77 | 148 | 246 |
|  | 2005 | 108 | 148 | 73 | 135 | 166 | 205 |
|  | 2006 | 27 | 77 | 62 | 71 | 85 | 101 |
| 2005 | (a) | 827 | 83 | 59 | 72 | 140 | 298 |
|  | 2006 | 59 | 155 | 82 | 138 | 165 | 188 |
|  | 2007 | 15 | 75 | 62 | 71 | 78 | 101 |
| 2006 | (a) | 1000 | 132 | 55 | 84 | 150 | 278 |
|  | 2007 | 96 | 143 | 103 | 133 | 161 | 236 |
|  | 2008 | 23 | 69 | 60 | 64 | 78 | 124 |
| 2007 | (a) | 599 | 86 | 57 | 76 | 125 | 235 |
|  | 2008 | 49 | 142 | 73 | 123 | 175 | 222 |
|  | 2009 | 27 | 79 | 68 | 72 | 80 | 95 |
| 2008 | (a) | 584 | 145 | 59 | 116 | 169 | 275 |
|  | 2009 | 90 | 159 | 115 | 145 | 177 | 150 |
| 2009 | (a) | 800 | 124 | 59 | 74 | 159 | 297 |
|  | 2010 | 99 | 151 | 83 | 138 | 170 | 213 |
| Minam River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | (a) | 32 | 122 | 58 | 69 | 153 | 218 |
|  | 2001 | 7 | 147 | 114 | 126 | 155 | 183 |
|  | 2002 | 2 | 68 | 63 | 65 | 70 | 72 |
| 2001 | (a) | 262 | 66 | 55 | 61 | 117 | 318 |
|  | 2002 | 11 | 132 | 120 | 124 | 147 | 185 |
|  | 2003 | 10 | 65 | 60 | 63 | 68 | 85 |
| 2002 | (a) | 42 | 104 | 65 | 72 | 146 | 199 |
|  | 2003 | 8 | 161 | 133 | 135 | 169 | 185 |
| 2003 | (a) | 60 | 106 | 60 | 67 | 133 | 206 |
|  | 2004 | 3 | 118 | 115 | 115 | 118 | 118 |
|  | 2005 | 2 | 68 | 65 | 66 | 69 | 70 |

${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ No early migrants were tagged in the Lostine River because the trap was not operated.

Appendix Table B-4. Continued.

| Stream and year tagged | Year detected | $N$ | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Median | Min | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ | Max |
| Minam River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | (a) | 79 | 73 | 59 | 65 | 161 | 226 |
|  | 2005 | 10 | 167 | 73 | 147 | 173 | 210 |
|  | 2006 | 1 | 67 | - | - | - | - |
| 2005 | (a) | 81 | 71 | 58 | 64 | 153 | 218 |
|  | 2006 | 7 | 161 | 119 | 143 | 178 | 209 |
|  | 2007 | 1 | 61 | - | - | - | - |
| 2006 | (a) | 107 | 112 | 59 | 67 | 134 | 230 |
|  | 2007 | 10 | 131 | 122 | 128 | 134 | 153 |
|  | 2008 | 4 | 70 | 63 | 65 | 74 | 75 |
| 2007 | (a) | 495 | 71 | 58 | 66 | 90 | 210 |
|  | 2008 | 33 | 149 | 65 | 129 | 168 | 210 |
|  | 2009 | 24 | 77 | 61 | 68 | 74 | 90 |
| 2008 | (a) | 132 | 121 | 56 | 66 | 154 | 224 |
|  | 2009 | 19 | 158 | 127 | 143 | 175 | 212 |
| 2009 | (a) | 417 | 66 | 58 | 63 | 71 | 272 |
|  | 2010 | 5 | 155 | 115 | 117 | 190 | 214 |
| Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | (a) | 108 | 133 | 71 | 122 | 148 | 205 |
| 2000 | (a) | 60 | 124 | 86 | 101 | 145 | 180 |
|  | 2001 | 12 | 152 | 115 | 134 | 161 | 180 |
| 2001 | (a) | 165 | 115 | 62 | 80 | 130 | 193 |
|  | 2002 | 21 | 130 | 110 | 120 | 150 | 163 |
|  | 2003 | 1 | 111 | - | - | - | - |
| 2002 | (a) | 309 | 111 | 63 | 76 | 131 | 200 |
|  | 2003 | 17 | 133 | 120 | 125 | 140 | 155 |
|  | 2004 | 1 | 77 | - | - | - | - |
| 2003 | (a) | 108 | 77 | 61 | 71 | 110 | 160 |
|  | 2004 | 1 | 113 | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2005 | 1 | 70 | - | - | - | - |
| 2004 | (a) | 288 | 114 | 62 | 90 | 125 | 179 |
|  | 2005 | 20 | 127 | 101 | 118 | 137 | 159 |
|  | 2006 | 2 | 81 | 72 | 77 | 86 | 90 |
| 2005 | (a) | 53 | 113 | 63 | 73 | 128 | 190 |
|  | 2006 | 5 | 136 | 110 | 127 | 176 | 190 |
| 2006 | (a) | 478 | 112 | 54 | 87 | 123 | 190 |
|  | 2007 | 33 | 131 | 99 | 119 | 140 | 180 |
|  | 2008 | 12 | 104 | 79 | 87 | 112 | 130 |
| 2007 | (a) | 136 | 132 | 59 | 126 | 148 | 309 |
|  | 2008 | 41 | 132 | 112 | 126 | 148 | 199 |

Appendix Table B-4. Continued.

|  |  |  | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :--- |
| Stream and year <br> tagged | Year <br> detected | $N$ | Median | Min | Percentile |  |  |
| Upper Grande Ronde (cont.) |  |  |  | $75^{\text {th }}$ | Max |  |  |
| 2008 | (a) | 109 | 126 | 71 | 118 | 134 | 257 |
|  | 2009 | 25 | 129 | 114 | 127 | 142 | 181 |
|  | 2010 | 2 | 118 | 112 | - | - | 123 |
| 2009 | (a) | 276 | 126 | 61 | 79 | 147 | 279 |
|  | 2010 | 21 | 134 | 85 | 118 | 166 | 205 |

Appendix Table B-5. Steelhead fork lengths during tagging at screw traps on Catherine Creek and upper Grande Ronde, Lostine, and Minam rivers during the late migration period 2000-2010, summarized by dam detection history.

| Stream and year tagged | Year detected | $N$ | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Median | Min | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ | Max |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | (a) | 494 | 132 | 61 | 86 | 150 | 210 |
|  | 2000 | 103 | 152 | 120 | 143 | 167 | 210 |
|  | 2001 | 12 | 79 | 70 | 73 | 104 | 125 |
|  | 2002 | 1 | 87 | - | - | - | - |
| 2001 | (a) | 247 | 142 | 115 | 131 | 154 | 190 |
|  | 2001 | 96 | 150 | 115 | 138 | 161 | 190 |
|  | 2002 | 2 | 120 | 115 | 117 | 122 | 124 |
| 2002 | (a) | 503 | 152 | 115 | 139 | 164 | 260 |
|  | 2002 | 212 | 156 | 115 | 144 | 166 | 208 |
|  | 2003 | 2 | 126 | 123 | 124 | 127 | 128 |
| 2003 | (a) | 360 | 145 | 115 | 132 | 156 | 203 |
|  | 2003 | 107 | 150 | 118 | 137 | 161 | 201 |
|  | 2004 | 2 | 122 | 122 | 122 | 122 | 122 |
| 2004 | (a) | 598 | 135 | 62 | 102 | 152 | 202 |
|  | 2004 | 192 | 148 | 94 | 135 | 160 | 202 |
|  | 2005 | 18 | 77 | 63 | 72 | 82 | 130 |
| 2005 | (a) | 623 | 93 | 60 | 82 | 123 | 195 |
|  | 2005 | 70 | 155 | 109 | 139 | 172 | 195 |
|  | 2006 | 24 | 87 | 65 | 77 | 101 | 127 |
| 2006 | (a) | 500 | 98 | 60 | 81 | 146 | 203 |
|  | 2006 | 99 | 151 | 87 | 138 | 163 | 199 |
|  | 2007 | 8 | 83 | 80 | 82 | 87 | 105 |
| 2007 | (a) | 370 | 111 | 61 | 91 | 147 | 222 |
|  | 2007 | 26 | 153 | 118 | 143 | 164 | 181 |
|  | 2008 | 25 | 95 | 66 | 85 | 97 | 142 |
|  | 2009 | 1 | 90 | - | - | - | - |
| 2008 | (a) | 603 | 85 | 60 | 77 | 107 | 206 |
|  | 2008 | 57 | 147 | 83 | 123 | 161 | 206 |
|  | 2009 | 18 | 77 | 62 | 73 | 82 | 85 |
| 2009 | (a) | 357 | 138 | 62 | 109 | 153 | 195 |
|  | 2009 | 128 | 147 | 97 | 138 | 162 | 194 |
| 2010 | (a) | 574 | 115 | 62 | 81 | 156 | 265 |
|  | 2010 | 102 | 158 | 92 | 143 | 175 | 225 |
| Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2000$ | (a) | 526 | 160 | 66 | 145 | 175 | 329 |
|  | 2000 | 234 | 168 | 123 | 157 | 179 | 236 |

Appendix Table B-5. Continued.

| Stream and year tagged | Year detected | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $N$ | Median | Min | Percentile |  | Max |
|  |  |  |  |  | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| Lostine River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 2001 | 13 | 89 | 66 | 80 | 128 | 158 |
|  | (a) | 323 | 163 | 115 | 148 | 180 | 292 |
|  | 2001 | 182 | 172 | 121 | 157 | 185 | 292 |
| 2002 | 2002 | 16 | 141 | 115 | 121 | 156 | 160 |
|  | (a) | 351 | 158 | 115 | 141 | 178 | 326 |
|  | 2002 | 171 | 163 | 115 | 152 | 180 | 244 |
| 2003 | 2003 | 6 | 127 | 122 | 122 | 131 | 138 |
|  | (a) | 447 | 162 | 115 | 150 | 174 | 289 |
|  | 2003 | 267 | 163 | 132 | 152 | 175 | 208 |
| 2004 | 2004 | 4 | 125 | 115 | 118 | 141 | 152 |
|  | (a) | 416 | 115 | 61 | 86 | 153 | 215 |
|  | 2004 | 122 | 163 | 105 | 148 | 180 | 215 |
| 2005 | 2005 | 24 | 87 | 73 | 81 | 104 | 130 |
|  | (a) | 232 | 99 | 64 | 83 | 156 | 226 |
|  | 2005 | 56 | 178 | 141 | 160 | 188 | 226 |
| 2006 | 2006 | 25 | 84 | 69 | 80 | 97 | 133 |
|  | (a) | 270 | 89 | 61 | 76 | 149 | 243 |
|  | 2006 | 58 | 169 | 106 | 157 | 183 | 243 |
| 2007 | 2007 | 16 | 79 | 65 | 73 | 89 | 94 |
|  | (a) | 281 | 94 | 60 | 81 | 142 | 292 |
|  | 2007 | 35 | 167 | 130 | 154 | 182 | 210 |
| 2008 | 2008 | 29 | 82 | 62 | 78 | 94 | 169 |
|  | (a) | 473 | 92 | 62 | 82 | 124 | 238 |
|  | 2008 | 79 | 160 | 90 | 150 | 172 | 238 |
| 2009 | 2009 | 44 | 90 | 64 | 81 | 95 | 115 |
|  | (a) | 577 | 105 | 60 | 83 | 159 | 228 |
|  | 2009 | 151 | 166 | 124 | 153 | 176 | 217 |
| 2010 | (a) | 600 | 92 | 64 | 82 | 145 | 244 |
|  | 2010 | 93 | 166 | 124 | 156 | 179 | 228 |
| Minam River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | (a) | 442 | 160 | 115 | 144 | 177 | 227 |
|  | 2001 | 269 | 167 | 124 | 151 | 183 | 227 |
|  | 2002 | 8 | 136 | 118 | 125 | 151 | 169 |
| 2002 | (a) | 197 | 158 | 115 | 147 | 179 | 219 |
|  | 2002 | 108 | 164 | 119 | 151 | 185 | 219 |
|  | 2003 | 1 | 135 | - | - | - | - |
| 2003 | (a) | 500 | 164 | 116 | 152 | 178 | 224 |
|  | 2003 | 271 | 165 | 127 | 153 | 178 | 218 |
|  | 2004 | 1 | 194 | - | - | - | - |

Appendix Table B-5. Continued.

| Stream and year tagged | Year detected | $N$ | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Median | Min | Percentile |  | Max |
|  |  |  |  |  | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| Minam River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | (a) | 217 | 133 | 59 | 86 | 168 | 239 |
|  | 2004 | 68 | 169 | 117 | 154 | 180 | 239 |
|  | 2005 | 11 | 102 | 71 | 82 | 106 | 122 |
| 2005 | (a) | 332 | 110 | 62 | 76 | 160 | 288 |
|  | 2005 | 91 | 163 | 127 | 149 | 180 | 215 |
|  | 2006 | 13 | 76 | 69 | 74 | 111 | 142 |
| 2006 | (a) | 437 | 141 | 58 | 79 | 165 | 218 |
|  | 2006 | 168 | 164 | 115 | 149 | 180 | 213 |
|  | 2007 | 8 | 76 | 67 | 71 | 87 | 139 |
| 2007 | (a) | 293 | 144 | 63 | 87 | 172 | 220 |
|  | 2007 | 68 | 174 | 118 | 160 | 187 | 201 |
|  | 2008 | 13 | 85 | 75 | 80 | 91 | 130 |
| 2008 | (a) | 591 | 108 | 60 | 78 | 160 | 217 |
|  | 2008 | 175 | 164 | 118 | 151 | 178 | 209 |
|  | 2009 | 38 | 83 | 60 | 72 | 90 | 179 |
| 2009 | (a) | 344 | 135 | 63 | 84 | 160 | 232 |
|  | 2009 | 119 | 163 | 124 | 150 | 180 | 232 |
| 2010 | (a) | 502 | 82 | 62 | 73 | 145 | 217 |
|  | 2010 | 77 | 160 | 127 | 141 | 176 | 209 |
| Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | (a) | 453 | 133 | 71 | 108 | 152 | 225 |
|  | 2000 | 99 | 155 | 115 | 139 | 166 | 208 |
|  | 2001 | 6 | 80 | 72 | 77 | 109 | 126 |
| 2001 | (a) | 465 | 147 | 115 | 135 | 163 | 219 |
|  | 2001 | 196 | 156 | 115 | 145 | 171 | 207 |
|  | 2002 | 5 | 143 | 121 | 127 | 150 | 152 |
| 2002 | (a) | 543 | 150 | 115 | 135 | 164 | 216 |
|  | 2002 | 192 | 155 | 115 | 144 | 170 | 209 |
|  | 2003 | 1 | 159 | - | - | - | - |
| 2003 | (a) | 578 | 150 | 115 | 136 | 164 | 199 |
|  | 2003 | 204 | 158 | 115 | 142 | 169 | 199 |
|  | 2004 | 4 | 130 | 117 | 119 | 168 | 197 |
| 2004 | (a) | 853 | 123 | 60 | 82 | 147 | 204 |
|  | 2004 | 228 | 148 | 98 | 135 | 167 | 202 |
|  | 2005 | 31 | 81 | 64 | 74 | 98 | 123 |
| 2005 | (a) | 642 | 130 | 65 | 91 | 152 | 208 |
|  | 2005 | 186 | 150 | 117 | 141 | 164 | 197 |
|  | 2006 | 11 | 89 | 69 | 81 | 95 | 140 |

Appendix Table B-5. Continued.

|  |  |  | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Stream and year <br> tagged | Year <br> detected | $N$ |  | Median | Min | Percentile |  |
| Upper Grande Ronde (cont.) |  |  |  | $75^{\text {th }}$ | Max |  |  |
| 2006 | (a) | 500 | 132 | 62 | 94 | 150 | 276 |
|  | 2006 | 170 | 150 | 111 | 135 | 166 | 203 |
|  | 2007 | 10 | 91 | 65 | 76 | 105 | 124 |
| 2007 | (a) | 600 | 142 | 65 | 118 | 157 | 230 |
|  | 2007 | 119 | 157 | 121 | 146 | 168 | 230 |
|  | 2008 | 119 | 157 | 121 | 146 | 168 | 230 |
|  | 2009 | 2 | 74 | 70 | 72 | 76 | 78 |
| 2008 | (a) | 601 | 147 | 60 | 132 | 162 | 223 |
|  | 2008 | 265 | 155 | 117 | 142 | 165 | 203 |
|  | 2009 | 9 | 105 | 78 | 104 | 117 | 124 |
| 2009 | (a) | 611 | 146 | 72 | 133 | 165 | 250 |
|  | 2009 | 256 | 157 | 117 | 143 | 172 | 233 |
| 2010 | (a) | 612 | 125 | 63 | 81 | 156 | 328 |
|  | 2010 | 119 | 157 | 121 | 144 | 173 | 228 |

Appendix Table B-6. Steelhead fork lengths during tagging in rearing areas upstream of the screw trap on Catherine Creek and its tributaries during summer 2000-2006, summarized by migration history.

| Tag group, migration history | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | Median | Min | Percentile |  | Max |
|  |  |  |  | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| Summer 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All PIT tagged | 1,163 | 113 | 59 | 90 | 137 | 263 |
| Captured in trap fall 2000 | 22 | 124 | 83 | 113 | 135 | 152 |
| Captured in trap spring 2001 | 5 | 125 | 88 | 106 | 141 | 142 |
| Migrated past trap during MY 2001 | 50 | 127 | 83 | 113 | 139 | 170 |
| Migrated past trap during MY 2002 | 6 | 93 | 63 | 92 | 101 | 136 |
| Migrated past trap during MY 2003 | 0 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Still upstream after MY 2001 | 12 | 92 | 63 | 84 | 106 | 136 |
| Still upstream after MY 2002 | 1 | 92 | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after MY 2003 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Detected at dams during MY 2001 | 29 | 130 | 85 | 114 | 143 | 170 |
| Detected at dams during MY 2002 | 15 | 92 | 72 | 78 | 103 | 133 |
| Detected at dams during MY 2003 | 1 | 83 | - | - | - | - |
| Summer 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All PIT tagged | 1,108 | 112 | 63 | 97 | 130 | 221 |
| Captured in trap fall 2001 | 46 | 117 | 99 | 110 | 126 | 147 |
| Captured in trap spring 2002 | 9 | 129 | 97 | 122 | 142 | 168 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2002 | 118 | 123 | 96 | 112 | 135 | 168 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2003 | 8 | 94 | 68 | 81 | 108 | 118 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2004 | 0 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Still upstream after MY 2002 | 14 | 95 | 68 | 86 | 105 | 177 |
| Still upstream after MY 2003 | 1 | 134 | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after MY 2004 | 0 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Detected at dams during MY 2002 | 73 | 128 | 96 | 112 | 137 | 161 |
| Detected at dams during MY 2003 | 11 | 99 | 82 | 93 | 101 | 118 |
| Detected at dams during MY 2004 | 1 | 71 | - | - | - |  |
| Summer 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All PIT tagged | 1,043 | 115 | 73 | 103 | 130 | 230 |
| Captured in trap fall 2002 | 46 | 115 | 90 | 108 | 128 | 154 |
| Captured in trap spring 2003 | 10 | 115 | 88 | 105 | 128 | 143 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2003 | 53 | 117 | 88 | 108 | 128 | 153 |
| Migrated past trap MY2004 | 14 | 97 | 75 | 86 | 104 | 111 |
| Migrated past trap MY2005 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2003 | 3 | 101 | 86 | 94 | 103 | 104 |
| Still upstream after spring 2004 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2005 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Detected at dams during 2003 | 50 | 121 | 86 | 105 | 134 | 169 |
| Detected at dams during 2004 | 10 | 98 | 75 | 86 | 105 | 111 |

Appendix Table B-6. Continued.

| Tag group, migration history | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | Median | Min | Percentile |  | Max |
|  |  |  |  | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| Summer 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All PIT tagged | 1,165 | 106 | 58 | 89 | 127 | 229 |
| Captured in trap fall 2003 | 16 | 115 | 92 | 104 | 124 | 149 |
| Captured in trap spring 2004 | 12 | 123 | 91 | 109 | 131 | 167 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2004 | 81 | 121 | 78 | 110 | 133 | 171 |
| Migrated past trap MY2005 | 5 | 91 | 78 | 85 | 92 | 96 |
| Migrated past trap MY2006 | 0 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Still upstream after spring 2004 | 4 | 107 | 97 | 101 | 109 | 110 |
| Still upstream after spring 2005 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2006 | 0 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Detected at dams during 2004 | 62 | 123 | 78 | 110 | 137 | 171 |
| Detected at dams during 2005 | 28 | 91 | 65 | 81 | 99 | 111 |
| Detected at dams during 2006 | 1 | 71 | - | - | - | - |
| Summer 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All PIT tagged | 1,024 | 127 | 56 | 109 | 146 | 229 |
| Captured in trap fall 2004 | 18 | 130 | 111 | 122 | 147 | 172 |
| Captured in trap spring 2005 | 3 | 142 | 137 | 140 | 149 | 156 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2005 | 90 | 139 | 105 | 125 | 155 | 185 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2006 | 3 | 101 | 78 | 90 | 103 | 104 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2007 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2005 | 1 | 179 | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2006 | 1 | 107 | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2007 | 0 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Detected at dams during 2005 | 72 | 141 | 105 | 127 | 156 | 185 |
| Detected at dams during 2006 | 9 | 103 | 80 | 99 | 108 | 120 |
| Detected at dams during 2007 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Summer 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All PIT tagged | 632 | 119 | 55 | 106 | 141 | 279 |
| Captured in trap fall 2005 | 10 | 118 | 89 | 114 | 123 | 139 |
| Captured in trap spring 2006 | 3 | 115 | 96 | 106 | 118 | 121 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2006 | 52 | 122 | 89 | 115 | 144 | 186 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2007 | 1 | 105 | - | - | - | - |
| Migrated past trap MY 2008 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2006 | 1 | 101 | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2007 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2008 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Detected at dams during 2006 | 41 | 126 | 96 | 116 | 149 | 186 |
| Detected at dams during 2007 | 1 | 99 | - | - | - | - |
| Detected at dams during 2008 | 1 | 99 | - | - | - | - |
| Detected at dams during 2009 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |

Appendix Table B-6. Continued.

| Tag group, migration history | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | Median | Min | Percentile |  | Max |
|  |  |  |  | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| Summer 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All PIT tagged | 609 | 109 | 59 | 90 | 129 | 268 |
| Captured in trap fall 2006 | 18 | 124 | 95 | 107 | 131 | 167 |
| Captured in trap spring 2007 | 3 | 86 | 74 | 80 | 111 | 135 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2007 | 30 | 124 | 74 | 107 | 134 | 177 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2008 | 2 | 75 | 72 | 73 | 76 | 77 |
| Still upstream after spring 2007 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2008 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Detected at dams during 2007 | 10 | 130 | 107 | 108 | 136 | 177 |
| Detected at dams during 2008 | 3 | 96 | 79 | 88 | 111 | 125 |
| Detected at dams during 2009 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |


[^0]:    Migration Timing: Detections of PIT tagged steelhead at Lower Granite Dam were used to estimate migration timing using methods described for spring Chinook salmon (see SPRING CHINOOK SALMON INVESTIGATIONS; Methods; Migration Timing and Survival to Lower Granite Dam). The summer tag group represents steelhead occupying upstream spawning and rearing reaches of Catherine

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Insufficient detections precluded estimation of survival probability

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data was insufficient to calculate a survival probability.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Small tag group size and low recaptures at LGD precluded estimating survival probabilities and smolt equivalents.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data represents all the early migrants tagged, regardless of detection history.

