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#### Abstract

This study was designed to document and describe status and life history strategies of spring Chinook salmon and summer steelhead in Grande Ronde River Subbasin. We determined migration timing, abundance and life-stage survival rates for juvenile spring Chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha and summer steelhead $O$. mykiss at five trap locations during migratory year 2012 (MY12) from 1 July 2011 through 30 June 2012. Similar to previous years, spring Chinook salmon and steelhead exhibited fall and spring movements from natal rearing areas, but did not begin smolt migration through the Snake and lower Columbia River hydrosystem until spring 2012. In this report, we provide estimates of migrant abundance and migration timing for each study stream, and survival and migration timing to Lower Granite Dam. We also document aquatic habitat conditions using water temperature and discharge at five trap locations within the subbasin.
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Objectives

1. Document in-basin migration patterns and estimate abundance of spring Chinook salmon juveniles in Catherine Creek and the Lostine, middle Grande Ronde, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers.
2. Determine overwinter mortality and relative success of fall (early) and spring (late) migrant life history strategies for spring Chinook salmon from tributary populations in Catherine Creek and the Lostine and upper Grande Ronde rivers, and relative success of fall (early) and spring (late) migrant life history strategies for spring Chinook salmon from Minam River.
3. Estimate and compare smolt survival probabilities at main stem Columbia and Snake river dams for migrants from five natural populations of spring Chinook salmon in the Grande Ronde and Imnaha river subbasins.
4. Document annual migration patterns for spring Chinook salmon juveniles from five natural populations in Grande Ronde and Imnaha river subbasins: Catherine Creek and Imnaha, Lostine, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers.
5. Document patterns of movement and estimate abundance of juvenile steelhead from populations in Catherine Creek and the Lostine, middle Grande Ronde, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers.
6. Estimate and compare survival probabilities to main stem Columbia and Snake River dams for summer steelhead from five populations: Catherine Creek and the Lostine, middle Grande Ronde, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers.
7. Describe aquatic habitat conditions, using water temperature and discharge, in Catherine Creek and the Lostine, middle Grande Ronde, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers.

## Accomplishments

Generally, we accomplished all of our objectives for MY 2012, with the exception of documenting in-basin migration patterns and estimating abundance of spring Chinook salmon and summer steelhead juveniles at the middle Grande Ronde River trap site.

## Findings

## Spring Chinook Salmon

We determined migration timing and abundance of juvenile spring Chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha using rotary screw traps at five locations in the Grande Ronde River Subbasin from 19 September 2011 through 25 June 2012. Based on migration timing and abundance, two distinct life history strategies were identified for juvenile spring Chinook salmon. 'Early' migrants emigrated from upper rearing areas from 19 September 2011 to 28 January 2012 with a peak during fall. 'Late' migrants emigrated from upper rearing areas from 29 January 2012 to 21 June 2012 with a peak during spring. At Catherine Creek trap, we estimated 58,445 juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrated from upper rearing areas with $62 \%$ leaving as early migrants. At Lostine River trap, we estimated 137,830 juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrated from upper rearing areas with $75 \%$ leaving as early migrants. At Minam River trap, we estimated 95,284 juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrated from upper rearing areas with $81 \%$ leaving as early migrants. At upper Grande Ronde River trap, we estimated 55,814 juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrated from upper rearing areas with $32 \%$ leaving as early migrants. At middle Grande Ronde River trap, insufficient trap efficiency prohibited an abundance estimate of juvenile Chinook salmon produced by the Upper Grande Ronde Watershed.

Juvenile spring Chinook salmon, that were PIT-tagged in natal rearing areas of Catherine Creek and Imnaha, Lostine, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers during summer 2011, were detected at Lower Granite Dam between 30 March and 22 June 2012. Median dates of arrival at Lower Granite Dam for Catherine Creek and Imnaha, Lostine, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers were significantly different during MY 2012 (Kruskal-Wallis, $P<0.05$ ). Upper Grande Ronde River dates of arrival were latest of all five groups and were significantly different from those of Imnaha and Minam rivers (Dunn test, $P<0.05$ ). Median arrival dates, at Lower Granite Dam, of juvenile spring Chinook salmon from all study streams, ranged from 27 April to 18 May. Survival probabilities to Lower Granite Dam, for parr tagged during summer 2012, were 0.116 for Catherine Creek and 0.182 for Imnaha, 0.086 for Lostine, 0.110 for Minam, and 0.083 for upper Grande Ronde river populations.

Chinook salmon tagged at the traps were detected at Lower Granite Dam between 25 March and 28 June 2012. Although there was overlap in arrival dates, median arrival dates for early migrants were before that of late migrants for Catherine Creek and Lostine, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers. Early migrant survival probabilities to Lower Granite Dam ranged from 0.162 to 0.225 , while late migrants ranged from 0.302 to 0.677 . Survival probabilities fall within ranges previously observed for all populations. Catherine Creek, Lostine and upper Grande Ronde river juvenile spring Chinook salmon, which overwintered downstream from trap sites (early migrants), had significantly higher survival probabilities compared to those that overwintered upstream (late migrants) (Maximum Likelihood Ratio test, $P<0.05$ ).

## Summer Steelhead

We determined migration timing and abundance of juvenile steelhead (O. mykiss) using rotary screw traps at five locations in the Grande Ronde River Subbasin during MY 2012. Based on migration timing and abundance, early and late migration patterns were identified, similar to those for spring Chinook salmon. For MY 2012, we estimated 17,198 steelhead migrants emigrated from upper rearing areas in Catherine Creek with $16 \%$ migrating as early migrants. We estimated 14,401 steelhead emigrated from Lostine River, with $59 \%$ migrating as early migrants. We estimated 16,474 steelhead emigrated from Minam River with $17 \%$ migrating as early migrants. We estimated 12,497 steelhead migrants emigrated from upper rearing areas of upper Grande Ronde River with 3\% migrating as early migrants. At middle Grande Ronde River trap, insufficient trap efficiency prohibited an abundance estimate of juvenile steelhead produced by the Upper Grande Ronde Watershed.

Steelhead collected at trap sites during MY 2012 were comprised of five age groups. Early and late migrants ranged from 0 to 4 years of age. Smolts detected at Snake and lower Columbia river dams ranged from 2 to 4 years of age with age- 2 fish comprising the highest percentage of emigrants.

Juvenile steelhead PIT-tagged at screw traps on Catherine Creek and Lostine, middle Grande Ronde, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers were detected at Lower Granite Dam from 28 March to 10 June 2012. Early and late migrant median arrival dates ranged from 24 April to 17 May and 25 April to 12 May, respectively.

Probabilities of surviving and migrating in the first year to Lower Granite Dam for early migrating steelhead ranged from 0.134 (upper Grande Ronde River) to 0.250 (Lostine River). Probabilities of surviving and migrating in the first year to Lower Granite Dam for late migrants, greater than 115 mm , ranged from 0.391 (Catherine Creek) to 0.822 (Lostine River). For all five groups of smaller late-migrating fish ( $<115 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), insufficient detections at Lower Granite dam prohibited estimating probability of migrating and surviving in spring 2012. It should be noted that lack of detections, for small steelhead ( $<115 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), is not necessarily due to low survival, but more likely a result of these fish being less likely to emigrate in the first year.

## Stream Condition

Daily mean water temperature typically fell within DEQ standards, at all five trap locations, during the period 2010 BY spring Chinook salmon were in the Grande Ronde River Subbasin (1 August 2010-30 June 2012). The 2010 BY encountered daily mean water temperatures in excess of DEQ standard of $17.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 of 700 d in Catherine Creek and 0 of 700 d in Lostine, 5 of 277 d in middle Grande Ronde, 28 of 700 d in Minam, and 9 of 692 d in upper Grande Ronde rivers. Temperatures preferred by juvenile Chinook salmon ( $10-15.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) occurred on 128 of 700 d in Catherine Creek and 135 of 700 d in Lostine, 73 of 277 d in middle Grande Ronde, 94 of 700 d in Minam, and 149 of 692 d in upper Grande Ronde rivers. These optimal temperatures tended to occur June -

October, but varied by river. Water temperatures considered lethal to Chinook salmon ( $>25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) did not occur in Catherine Creek or Lostine, middle Grande Ronde, Minam, or upper Grande Ronde rivers. Moving mean of maximum daily water temperature showed that temperatures below the limit for healthy growth $\left(4.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ occurred more often than temperatures above that limit $\left(18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

Stream discharge for Catherine Creek and Lostine and upper Grande Ronde rivers remained relatively low and stable from August through March; however, during 2011, small peaks in river flow were observed during mid-January. Middle Grande Ronde and Minam rivers experienced greater and more variable discharge. Spring run-off typically occurred from April through July with peak flows occurring during late-April to midMay for Catherine Creek and middle Grande Ronde, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers. However, peak flows occurred in June 2011 in Minam River and in June 2011 and 2012 in Lostine River.

## Management Implications and Recommendations

Rearing of juvenile spring Chinook salmon and summer steelhead in Grande Ronde River Subbasin is not confined to adult spawning reaches. A portion of juvenile spring Chinook salmon and steelhead from each study stream distribute from natal rearing areas to overwinter in downstream reaches before emigrating as smolts the following spring or later. These movements indicate that lower reaches function as migration corridors and overwinter rearing reaches, and indicate a need for holistic management and habitat protection, rather than exclusively focusing on spawning and natal rearing reaches. Migration timing and Lower Granite Dam arrival dates continue to vary between years and populations; therefore, hydrosystem management that maximizes survival throughout the migratory period of Snake River spring/summer Chinook salmon and steelhead smolts is needed.

## INTRODUCTION

Grande Ronde River originates in the Blue Mountains of northeast Oregon and flows 334 km to its confluence with Snake River near Rogersburg, Washington. Grande Ronde River Subbasin is divided into three watershed areas: the upper Grande Ronde River Watershed, the lower Grande Ronde River Watershed, and the Wallowa River Watershed. Upper Grande Ronde River Watershed includes Grande Ronde River and tributaries from headwaters to the confluence with Wallowa River. Lower Grande Ronde River Watershed includes Grande Ronde River and tributaries, excluding Wallowa River, from Wallowa River to the confluence with Snake River. Wallowa River Watershed includes Wallowa River and tributaries, including Lostine and Minam rivers, from headwaters to its confluence with Grande Ronde River.

Historically, Grande Ronde River Subbasin supported an abundance of salmonids including spring, summer, and fall Chinook salmon, sockeye salmon, coho salmon and summer steelhead (ODFW 1990). During the past century, numerous factors have led to a reduction in salmonid stocks such that the only viable populations remaining are spring Chinook salmon and summer steelhead. Snake River spring/summer Chinook salmon, including Grande Ronde River spring Chinook salmon, were listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) in 1992. Snake River steelhead, including Grande Ronde River summer steelhead, were listed as threatened under the ESA in 1997. Six spring Chinook salmon populations have been identified in the subbasin (TRT 2003): Wenaha River; Wallowa-Lostine River (includes Wallowa River, Lostine River, Bear Creek and Hurricane Creek); Minam River; Catherine Creek (includes Catherine and Indian creeks); Upper Grande Ronde River (includes the upper Grande Ronde River and Sheep Creek); and Lookingglass Creek, of which the endemic spring Chinook salmon population is considered extinct. Four summer steelhead populations have been identified in the subbasin (TRT 2003): Lower Grande Ronde River (includes the main stem Grande Ronde River and all tributaries, except Joseph Creek, upstream to the confluence of Wallowa River); Joseph Creek; Wallowa River (includes Minam and Lostine rivers); and Upper Grande Ronde River (includes main stem upper Grande Ronde River, Lookingglass Creek, Catherine Creek, Indian Creek, and tributaries).

Anadromous fish production in the subbasin is primarily limited by two factors (Nowak 2004). Adult escapement of salmon and steelhead is limited by out-of-subbasin issues, such as juvenile and adult passage problems at Columbia and Snake River dams and out-of-subbasin overharvest (Nowak 2004). Carrying capacity has been reduced within the subbasin by land management activities which have contributed to riparian and instream habitat degradation. Impacts to fish and aquatic habitat includes water withdrawal for irrigation, urban development, livestock overgrazing, mining, channelization, low stream flows, poor water quality, mountain pine beetle damage, logging activity, and road construction (Nowak 2004). Many of these impacts have been reduced in recent years as management practices become more sensitive to fish and aquatic habitats, but effects of past management remain (Nowak 2004).

Development of sound recovery strategies for these salmon stocks requires knowledge of stock-specific life history strategies and critical habitats for spawning, rearing, and downstream migration (Snake River Recovery Team 1993; NWPPC 1992; ODFW 1990). This project is acquiring knowledge of juvenile migration patterns, smolt production, and rates of survival. This project collects data to obtain life stage specific survival estimates (parr-to-smolt), and includes an evaluation of importance and frequency at which alternative life history strategies are demonstrated by spring Chinook salmon populations in northeast Oregon.

Spring Chinook salmon and summer steelhead smolt migration from Grande Ronde River Subbasin occurs during spring. Data from Lookingglass Creek (Burck 1993), Catherine Creek, upper Grande Ronde River and Lostine River (Keefe et al. 1994, 1995; Jonasson et al. 1997, Van Dyke et al. 2001) indicate a substantial number of juveniles move out of upper rearing areas during fall and overwinter downstream within Grande Ronde River Subbasin. The proportion, of total migrant population, these early migrants represent, and subsequent survival to Snake and Columbia river dams varies among years and streams.

Juvenile Chinook salmon that leave upper rearing areas of Catherine Creek and upper Grande Ronde River during fall overwinter in Grande Ronde Valley. Much of the habitat in Grande Ronde River, flowing through Grande Ronde Valley, is degraded. Stream conditions in Grande Ronde River below the city of La Grande consist of both meandering and channeled reaches, which run through agricultural land. Riparian vegetation in this area is sparse, and provides minimal shade and instream cover. These reaches are heavily silted due to the underlying geology of the Grande Ronde Valley and extensive erosion associated with agricultural, forest management practices, and mining activities. It is reasonable to suggest that salmon overwintering in degraded habitat may be subject to increased mortality due to limited function of degraded habitat to buffer against environmental extremes. Fall migration from upper rearing areas in Catherine Creek constitutes a substantial portion of juvenile production (Jonasson et al. 2006); therefore, Grande Ronde Valley winter rearing habitat quantity and quality may be important factors limiting Grande Ronde River spring Chinook salmon smolt production.

Juvenile steelhead that leave upper rearing areas during fall and spring may continue rearing within the subbasin for an extended period of time ( 6 months to several years) before resuming smolt migration during spring. Therefore, rearing habitat is not limited to areas where steelhead spawn.

Numerous enhancement activities have been undertaken to recover spring Chinook salmon populations in Grande Ronde River Subbasin. Supplementation programs have been initiated by Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, and the Nez Perce Tribe using endemic broodstock from Catherine Creek and Lostine and upper Grande Ronde rivers. Information collected by this project will serve as the foundation for assessing effectiveness of these programs to increase natural production of spring Chinook salmon in the Grande Ronde River Subbasin.

## SPRING CHINOOK SALMON INVESTIGATIONS

## Methods

For the purpose of this report, we assume all juvenile spring Chinook salmon captured in traps were downstream "migrants". A migratory year (MY) in the Grande Ronde River Subbasin begins on 1 July, which is the earliest calendar date juvenile spring Chinook salmon are expected to begin their migration to the ocean. The migratory year ends on 30 June the following calendar year. The term "brood year" (BY) refers to the calendar year eggs were fertilized. All spring Chinook salmon referred to in this report were naturally produced unless noted otherwise.

## In-Basin Migration Timing and Abundance

We determined in-basin migration timing and abundance of juvenile spring Chinook salmon in Catherine Creek and Lostine, middle Grande Ronde, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers by operating rotary screw traps during MY 2012. Spring Chinook salmon in each study stream exhibit two migratory life history patterns. Early migrants leave upper rearing areas during fall to overwinter downstream before continuing seaward migration during spring. Late migrants exhibit another life history strategy whereby they overwinter in upper rearing areas prior to initiating seaward spring migration. Designations of early and late migration periods were based on capture rate trends at trap sites. A common period of diminished capture rate occurs at all four tributary trap sites during winter and was used to separate fish into early and late migration periods. We determined migration timing and abundance for both of these periods.

In Grande Ronde River Subbasin, we sampled at five rotary screw locations (Figure 1). In the Upper Grande Ronde River Watershed, one rotary screw trap was located downstream of spawning and upper rearing areas in upper Grande Ronde River near the town of Starkey at rkm 299, and a second trap was located in Catherine Creek downstream of spawning and upper rearing areas near the town of Union at rkm 32. A third trap site was located on middle Grande Ronde River downstream of spawning and all rearing areas near the town of Elgin at rkm 160. In Wallowa River Watershed, one rotary screw trap was located below the majority of spawning and upper rearing areas on Lostine River near the town of Lostine at rkm 3. A dual trap design was employed on Minam River below spawning and rearing areas at rkm 0 and 3 in an effort to increase trap efficiency and sample sizes. Although intent was to operate traps continuously through the year, there were times when a trap could not be operated due to high or low flows or freezing conditions. There were also instances when traps were not operating due to excessive debris and mechanical breakdowns. No attempt was made to adjust population estimates for periods when traps were not operated. For this reason, estimates represent a minimum number of migrants.

Sampling and Marking: Rotary screw traps were equipped with live-boxes that safely held hundreds of juvenile spring Chinook salmon trapped over $24-72 \mathrm{~h}$ periods. Traps were generally checked daily, but were checked as infrequently as every third day when few fish were captured per day and environmental conditions were not severe. All juvenile spring Chinook salmon captured in traps were removed for enumeration and scanned for PIT tags. Before scanning and marking, fish were anesthetized in an aerated solution of tricaine methanesulfonate ( $40-50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{MS}-222$ ). PIT tags were injected manually with a modified hypodermic syringe as described by Prentice et al. (1986, $1990)$ and Matthews et al. $(1990,1992)$ for fish with fork length (FL) greater than 54 mm . Syringes were disinfected for 10 min in $70 \%$ isopropyl alcohol and allowed to dry between each use. A portable tagging station that consisted of a computer, PIT tag reader, measuring board, and electronic balance was used to record tag code, fork length ( $\pm 1$ mm ), and weight ( $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{~g}$ ) of tagged fish. Fork lengths ( mm ) and weights ( g ) were measured from at least 100 juvenile spring Chinook salmon weekly. All fish were handled and marked at stream temperatures of $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or less and released within 24 h of being tagged. River height was recorded daily from permanent staff gages and water temperatures were recorded hourly at each trap location using temperature loggers or hand held thermometers.

Migrant abundance was estimated by conducting weekly trap efficiency tests throughout the migratory year at each trap site. Fry and precocious spring Chinook salmon were not included in migrant abundance estimates. Trap efficiency was determined by releasing a known number of marked fish above each trap and enumerating recaptures. Immature parr that exceeded 54 mm in FL were either caudal fin-clipped or PIT-tagged, whereas fish less than 55 mm in FL were marked with a caudal fin clip only. On days when a trap stopped operating, number of recaptured fish and number of marked fish released the previous day were subtracted from weekly totals. Trap efficiency was estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{j}=R_{j} / M_{j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{E}_{j}$ is estimated trap efficiency for week $j, R_{j}$ is number of marked fish recaptured during week $j$, and $M_{j}$ is number of marked fish released upstream during week $j$.

Weekly abundance of migrants that passed each trap site was estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}_{j}=U_{j} / \hat{E}_{j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{N}_{j}$ is estimated number of fish migrating past the trap for week $j, U_{j}$ is total number of unmarked fish captured that week, and $\hat{E}_{j}$ is the estimated trap efficiency for week $j$. Total migrant abundance was estimated as the sum of weekly abundance estimates.

Variance of each weekly $\hat{N}$ was estimated by the one-sample bootstrap method (Efron and Tibshirani 1986; Thedinga et al. 1994) with 1,000 iterations. Preliminary analysis indicated that when less than 10 fish were recaptured in a week, bootstrap variance estimates were greatly expanded. For this reason, consecutive weeks were
combined when there were fewer than 10 recaptures until total recaptures were greater or equal to 10 fish. This combined trap efficiency estimate was used in the bootstrap procedure to estimate variance of weekly population estimates. Each bootstrap iteration calculated weekly $\hat{N}_{j}{ }^{*}$ from equations ( 1 and 2) drawing $R j^{*}$ and $U_{j}{ }^{*}$ from the binomial distribution, where asterisks denote bootstrap values. Variance of $\hat{N}_{j}{ }^{*}$ was calculated from 1,000 iterations. Weekly variance estimates were summed to obtain an estimated variance for total migrant abundance. Confidence intervals for total migrant abundance were calculated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
95 \% C I=1.96 \sqrt{V}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ is estimated total variance determined from bootstrap.
Catherine Creek and Lostine and upper Grande Ronde river traps were located below hatchery spring Chinook salmon release sites. Magnitude of hatchery spring Chinook salmon releases into these streams during spring required modifications to methods used for estimating migrant abundance of wild spring Chinook salmon. During low hatchery spring Chinook salmon catch periods, traps were operated continuously as described above. During high hatchery catch periods, traps were operated systematically for a 1 to 4 h interval using systematic two-stage sampling. Systematic sampling reduced handling and overcrowding induced stress, and avoided labor-intensive 24 h trap monitoring.

Systematic sampling required estimating proportion of total daily catch captured during each sampling interval. This proportion was estimated by fishing the trap over several 24 h periods prior to systematic sampling. Number of fish trapped during the 1 to 4 h sampling interval and number in the remaining interval within each 24 h period were counted. Proportion of total daily catch captured during the sampling interval (i) was estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{P}_{i}=S_{i} / C, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{P}_{i}$ is estimated proportion of total daily catch for sampling interval $i, S_{i}$ is total number of fish caught during sampling interval $i$, and $C$ is total number of fish caught throughout the 24 h sampling periods.

Estimates of trap efficiency could not be obtained during systematic sampling, so trap efficiency was calculated using mark-recapture numbers from 3 to 5 d before and after the systematic sampling period. Abundance of wild juvenile spring Chinook salmon at each trap during systematic sampling was estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}_{s}=\left(U_{i} / \hat{P}_{i}\right) / \hat{E}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{N}_{s}$ is estimated number of fish migrating past the trap during systematic sampling, $U_{i}$ is total number of fish captured during interval $i, \hat{P}_{i}$ is proportion of daily catch from equation (4), and $\hat{E}$ is estimated trap efficiency. Total migration abundance estimates for Catherine Creek and Lostine and upper Grande Ronde river traps were calculated by summing continuous and systematic sampling estimates.

Variance for $\hat{N}_{s}$ at each trap during systematic sampling was estimated by onesample bootstrap method (Efron and Tibshirani 1986; Thedinga et al. 1994) with 1,000 iterations. Each bootstrap iteration calculated $\hat{N}_{s}$ from equations (1, 4, and 5) obtaining $R$ and $S_{i}$ from the binomial distribution and $U_{i}$ from the Poisson distribution. Variance of total migrant abundance was determined by summing variance from continuous and systematic sampling estimates.

## Migration Timing and Survival to Lower Granite Dam

Detections of PIT tagged fish at Lower Granite Dam (i.e., first Snake River dam encountered) were used to estimate migration timing, while survival probabilities to Lower Granite Dam were estimated using detections of PIT tagged fish at Snake and Columbia river dams and Estuary Towed Array site. Both estimates were calculated for summer, fall, winter, and spring tag groups.

Summer tag groups consisted of age-0 parr tagged during July and August 2011 in upstream rearing areas. Summer tag groups are comprised of fish that emigrated from upper rearing areas either as early or late migrants, and consequently overwintered either in lower or upper rearing areas, respectively, before continuing downstream migration. Therefore, summer tag groups represented migration timing and survival for the entire population.

Summer tag group fish were captured using snorkeling and seining methods; whereby, 2 to 3 snorkelers forced parr downstream into a seine positioned perpendicular to flow. Captured fish were held in aerated, 19-L buckets and transferred periodically to live cages anchored in shaded areas of the stream following tagging. Our goal was to PIT-tag 1,000 parr from Catherine Creek and Imnaha, Lostine, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers.

Fall tag groups represented early migrants that emigrated from upstream rearing areas during fall and overwintered downstream from screw traps. For consistency with previous years, fish tagged at trap sites from 1 September 2011 through 28 January 2012 were designated as early migrants. Early migrants were captured, tagged, and released at screw traps on Catherine Creek and Lostine, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers. The goal was to PIT-tag 600 fish at each trap throughout the early migration period.

Winter and spring tag groups represented late migrants that overwintered as parr upstream from screw traps and emigrated during spring. Winter tag groups were tagged earlier in upper rearing areas (December 2011) than spring tag groups, which were tagged as migrants (29 January-30 June 2012) at rotary screw traps. Therefore, winter tag groups experienced overwinter mortality post-tagging, while spring tag groups did not. Winter tag group fish were caught, tagged, and released a minimum of 8 km upstream from trap sites to minimize the chance they would pass trap sites while making localized winter movements. Fish were sampled using dip nets while snorkeling at night. For winter tag groups, the goal was to PIT-tag 600 fish from Catherine Creek and Lostine and upper Grande Ronde rivers.

Spring migrants (i.e., late migrants) were captured, tagged, and released at screw traps on Catherine Creek and Lostine, Minam, middle Grande Ronde, and upper Grande Ronde river traps. The goal was to PIT-tag 600 fish at each trap throughout the late migration period.

During MY 2012, all captured fish were scanned for PIT tags at all screw traps. Additionally, PIT tag interrogation systems were used in juvenile bypass systems at seven of eight Snake and Columbia river dams to monitor fish passage. All recaptured fish were identified by original tag group, insuring independence of tag groups for analysis. MY 2012 detection information was obtained from juvenile PIT tag interrogation sites at Lower Granite, Little Goose, Lower Monumental, Ice Harbor, McNary, John Day, and Bonneville dams, and the Estuary Towed Array.

Calculations: Migration Timing: Timing of migration past Lower Granite Dam was estimated for each tag group by expanding total daily numbers of PIT tag detections relative to proportion of river outflow and spill. This procedure was necessary because some fish may have passed undetected over the spillway and amount of spill varies daily. Proportion of fish passed over the spillway was assumed to be directly related to proportion of flow spilled. This assumption conforms to data obtained using non-speciesspecific hydroacoustic methods (Kuehl 1986). No temporal variation in proportion of fish diverted from turbine intakes into the bypass system and proportion of fish passed through surface bypass collector was also assumed. These assumptions were made in light of evidence to the contrary (Giorgi et al. 1988, Swan et al. 1986, Johnson et al. 1997), because data required to account for such variation were unavailable. Extent to which results may be biased would depend on overall rates of fish passage via bypass system and surface bypass collector, and variation of daily passage rates via these routes during emigration. Number of fish in a particular tag group migrating past Lower Granite Dam by day ( $\hat{N}_{d}$ ) was estimated by multiplying number of tagged fish that were detected each day by a daily expansion factor calculated using Lower Granite Dam forebay water flow data obtained from U.S. Army Corps of Engineers at the DART website (www.cbr. washington.edu/dart/river.html):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}_{d}=D_{d} \times \frac{O_{d}+L_{d}}{O_{d}}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{d}$ is number of PIT tagged fish from a tag group detected at Lower Granite Dam on day $d, O_{d}$ is outflow (kcfs) measured at Lower Granite Dam forebay on day $d$, and $L_{d}$ is spill at Lower Granite dam ( kcfs ) on day $d$. Each daily estimate was rounded to the nearest integer. Daily estimates were summed weekly to obtain weekly migration timing estimates for each tag group. First and last arrival dates were reported for each tag group. Median arrival date of each tag group was determined from daily estimates.

Late migrants were tagged while fish were actively emigrating seaward during spring, while PIT tagged early migrants overwinter prior to resuming seaward migration during spring. Simulated chi-square tests using number of PIT tag releases and estimated number of migrants for each week have shown that these two variables are independent, while both trap efficiency estimates and annual peaks in movement vary (i.e., random).

Therefore, spring tag group median arrival dates may be biased by distribution of PIT tag releases. In an attempt to alleviate this bias, winter tag groups were used to represent late migrants when comparing migration timing differences with those of early migrants. Travel times for spring tag groups, to reach Lower Granite Dam from screw traps, were summarized for each location.

Survival Probabilities: Probability of survival to Lower Granite Dam for fish in each tag group was calculated using the Cormack-Jolly-Seber model in program SURPH 2.2b (Lady et al. 2001). This method takes into account detection probability when calculating probability of survival.

Overwinter Survival: Winter and spring tag group survival probabilities were used to indirectly estimate overwinter survival ( $\hat{S}_{s, \text { overwinter }}$ ) for late migrants in upstream rearing areas of Catherine Creek and Lostine and upper Grande Ronde rivers:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{S}_{s, \text { overwinter }}=\frac{\hat{S}_{s, \text { winter }}}{\hat{S}_{s, \text { spring }}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{S}_{s, \text { winter }}$ is survival probability to Lower Granite Dam for the winter tag group from stream $s$, and $\hat{S}_{s, s p r i n g}$ is survival probability to Lower Granite Dam for the spring tag group from stream $s$.

Smolt Equivalents: Smolt equivalents are defined as an estimated number of smolts from a population that successfully emigrate from a specified area (Hesse et al. 2006). We used early and late migrant abundance estimates (see In-Basin Migration Timing and Abundance) and subsequent survival probabilities to Lower Granite Dam (see Migration Timing and Survival to Lower Granite Dam; Calculations; Survival probabilities) to estimate number of smolt equivalents leaving their respective tributary in spring ( $\hat{Q}_{s, \text { tributary }}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{Q}_{s, \text { tributary }}=\left(\hat{N}_{s, \text { early }} \times \frac{\hat{S}_{s, \text { early }}}{\hat{S}_{s, \text { late }}}\right)+\left(\hat{N}_{s, \text { late }}\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and number of smolt equivalents reaching Lower Granite $\operatorname{Dam}\left(\hat{Q}_{s, L G D}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{Q}_{s, L G D}=\left(\hat{N}_{s, e a r l y} \times \hat{S}_{s, \text { earty }}\right)+\left(\hat{N}_{s, l a t e} \times \hat{S}_{s, l a t e}\right), \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{N}_{s, \text { early }}, \hat{N}_{s, \text { late }}$ are estimated number of early and late migrants, respectively, from stream $s$, and $\hat{S}_{s, e a r l y}, \hat{S}_{s, \text { late }}$ are estimated survival probabilities to Lower Granite Dam for early and late migrants, respectively, from stream $s$.

Population Characteristics and Comparisons: Summer tag groups include various life history patterns displayed by a population and provides information about population overall survival and timing past dams. We PIT-tagged parr from Catherine Creek and Imnaha, Lostine, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde river populations during
summers 2011 and 2012 to monitor and compare smolt migration timing to Lower Granite Dam and survival probabilities from tagging to Lower Granite Dam. Fish tagged during summer 2011 will be analyzed with the 2013 migratory year in next year's report. Tagging was conducted during late summer (Table 1) so that fish would be large enough to tag ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 55 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Sampling and tagging primarily occurred in spawning reaches utilized during the previous year. Measured fish, captured during MY 2012, exhibiting a Fulton Condition Factor $(K)$ less than or equal to 0.5 , or greater than or equal to 1.5 were removed from subsequent size analyses.

Migration Timing: Population migration timing data were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA on dates of arrival, expressed as day of the year for expanded total daily PIT tag detections (see expansion explanation in Migration Timing
and Survival to Lower Granite Dam: Calculations: Migration Timing). When significant differences were found, Dunn's pairwise multiple comparison procedure was used $(\alpha=0.05)$ to compare arrival dates among populations.

Comparison of Life History Strategies within Populations: Tests were performed to determine if early or late migrant life history strategies were associated with differences in migration timing and survival to Lower Granite Dam.

Migration Timing: Timing of migration past Lower Granite Dam was compared between fall (early migrants) and winter (late migrants) Catherine Creek and Lostine and upper Grande Ronde river tag groups to identify possible differences in migration timing. Comparisons were made using the Mann-Whitney rank sum test on arrival dates. Spillway flow (and the passage of undetected PIT tagged fish at the dam) was taken into account when expanding daily detections (see expansion explanation in Migration Timing and Survival to Lower Granite Dam: Calculations: Migration Timing). A winter tag group was not available for Minam River.

Survival Probabilities: Fish emigrating from upstream rearing areas (early migrants) overwintered in different stream reaches than fish that remained upstream (late migrants), possibly subjecting groups to different environmental conditions. Selecting different overwintering areas may have implications on overwinter survival. For each stream, relative success of early and late migrants was evaluated by using the Maximum Likelihood Ratio Test to test a null hypothesis that survival probabilities of fall (early migrants) and winter tag groups (late migrants) were similar. Any difference in survival probabilities between these groups was assumed to be due to differential survival in upstream (winter tag group) and downstream (fall tag group) overwintering stream reaches. However, since the fall group was tagged before the winter group, a lower survival estimate for the fall tag group could be due to elapsed time rather than a difference in overwintering conditions.

## Results and Discussion

## In-Basin Migration Timing and Abundance

Catherine Creek: The trap fished for 180 d between 19 September 2011 and 13 June 2012 (Table 2). A distinct early and late migration was exhibited by juvenile spring Chinook salmon at this trap site (Figure 2). Systematic subsampling comprised 6 of 111 d the trap was fished during the late migration period, and 153 juvenile Chinook salmon were caught during this period. Median emigration date for early migrants passing the trap was 27 October 2011, and median emigration date for late migrants was 17 March 2012 (Appendix Table A-1). Both dates fall within ranges previously reported for this study.

We estimated a minimum of $58,445 \pm 3,393$ juvenile spring Chinook salmon emigrated from Catherine Creek upper rearing areas during MY 2012. This migrant estimate was within ranges previously reported during this study (Appendix Table A-1). Based on total minimum estimate, $62 \%(36,404 \pm 986)$ migrated early and $38 \%(22,041 \pm$ $3,247)$ migrated late. Typically, emigration from Catherine Creek upper rearing areas occurs during the early migration period.

Lostine River: The trap fished for 200 d between 21 September 2011 and 16 May 2012 (Table 2). Distinct early and late migrations were evident at this trap site (Figure 2). Systematic subsampling comprised 7 of $95 d$ the trap was fished during the late migration period, and 357 juvenile Chinook salmon were caught during this period. Median emigration date for early migrants was 18 October 2011, and 4 April 2012 for late migrants (Appendix Table A-1). Both dates fall within ranges previously reported for this study.

We estimated a minimum of $137,830 \pm 10,590$ juvenile spring Chinook salmon emigrated from Lostine River during MY 2012. This was the largest abundance estimate produced for Lostine River and second largest reported during this study for all monitored sites (Appendix Table A-1). Based on the minimum estimate, 75\% (103,001 $\pm$ $8,715)$ of juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrated early, while $25 \%(34,829 \pm 6,016)$ migrated late. The Lostine River population appears to be similar to that of Catherine Creek in that the largest emigration has been typically observed during the early migrant period (Appendix Table A-1).

Middle Grande Ronde River: The trap fished for 105 d between 5 March 2012 and 25 June 2012 (Table 2). Insufficient trap efficiency precluded abundance and migration timing estimation.

Minam River: The trap fished for 124 d between 21 September 2011 and 16 May 2012 (Table 2). Distinct early and late migrations were evident (Figure 2). Early migrant median emigration date was 18 October 2011, while late migrant median date was 2 April 2012 (Appendix Table A-1). Both dates fall within ranges previously reported during this study.

We estimated a minimum of $95,284 \pm 7,501$ juvenile spring Chinook salmon emigrated from Minam River during MY 2012. Based on the minimum estimate, $81 \%$ $(77,172 \pm 6,660)$ of juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrated early and $19 \%(18,112 \pm$ $3,451)$ migrated late.

Upper Grande Ronde River: The trap fished for 145 d between 21 September 2011 and 13 June 2012 (Table 2). Distinct early and late migration was exhibited by juvenile spring Chinook salmon at this trap site (Figure 2). Systematic subsampling comprised 10 of 94 d the trap was fished during the late migration period; 1,192 juvenile Chinook salmon were caught during this period. Median emigration date for early migrants was 11 October 2011, and 22 March 2012 for late migrants (Appendix Table A1 ). Both dates fall within ranges previously reported during this study.

We estimated a minimum of $55,814 \pm 4,349$ juvenile spring Chinook salmon emigrated from upper Grande Ronde River during MY 2012. Based on the minimum estimate, $32 \%(17,824 \pm 449)$ of juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrated early and $68 \%$ ( $37,990 \pm 4,326$ ) migrated late.

Size of Migrants: A comparison of mean lengths and weights of juvenile spring Chinook salmon captured in traps as early and late migrants and in upper rearing areas during winter, and those PIT-tagged and released are given in Tables 3 and 4. Length frequency distributions of juvenile spring Chinook salmon caught in all traps by migration period are shown in Figure 3. Weekly mean lengths of emigrants generally increased over time at each trap (Figure 4).

## Migration Timing and Survival to Lower Granite Dam

Population Comparisons: During August 2011, Chinook salmon parr were PITtagged and released in upper summer rearing areas of Catherine Creek and Imnaha, Lostine, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers (Table 1).

Migration Timing: Spring Chinook salmon parr PIT-tagged from Catherine Creek and Imnaha, Lostine, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers during summer 2011 were detected at Lower Granite Dam from 30 March to 22 June 2012 (Appendix Table A-2). Period of detection at Lower Granite Dam among the five populations ranged from 61 d (Imnaha River) to 84 d (Lostine River). Median date of arrival ranged from 27 April to 18 May (Figure 5). Median dates of arrival at Lower Granite Dam for Catherine Creek and Imnaha, Lostine, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers were significantly different during MY 2012 (Kruskal-Wallis, $P$ < 0.05). Dunn's multiple comparison tests revealed that median dates of arrival for Catherine Creek and Imnaha, Lostine, and Minam rivers were not significantly different in MY 2012. Median date of arrival at Lower Granite Dam for upper Grande Ronde River was significantly later than those for Imnaha and Minam rivers during MY 2012 (Dunn test, $P<0.05$ ). Median arrival dates for all summer tag groups fell into previously reported ranges during this multiyear study (Appendix Table A-2).

Survival Probabilities: Survival probabilities to Lower Granite Dam for parr tagged during summer 2011 were 0.116 for Catherine Creek, 0.182 for Imnaha, 0.086 for Lostine, 0.110 for Minam, and 0.083 for upper Grande Ronde river populations (Table 5). Generally, survival probabilities during MY 2012 fell within ranges previously reported; however, upper Grande Ronde River survival probability was the lowest reported survival estimate previously reported (Appendix Table A-3).

Comparison of Early Life History Strategies: Juvenile spring Chinook salmon were PIT-tagged at screw traps on Catherine Creek and Lostine, Minam, middle Grande Ronde, and upper Grande Ronde rivers. Parr were also tagged upstream of screw traps on Catherine Creek and Lostine and upper Grande Ronde rivers during winter. Total number of Chinook salmon parr PIT-tagged for each study stream, per season, is provided in Table 6.

Migration Timing: Median arrival dates at Lower Granite Dam for Catherine Creek fall, winter, and spring tag groups were 28 April, 14 May, and 16 May 2012, respectively (Figure 6). Median arrival dates at Lower Granite Dam for Lostine River fall, winter, and spring tag groups were 26 April, 18 May, and 15 May 2012, respectively (Figure 7). Median arrival date for middle Grande Ronde River spring tag group was 5 May 2012 (Figure 8). Median arrival dates at Lower Granite Dam for Minam River fall and spring tag groups were 19 April and 17 May, respectively (Figure 9). Median arrival dates at Lower Granite Dam for upper Grande Ronde River fall, winter, and spring tag groups were 17 May, 16 May, and 19 May 2012, respectively (Figure 10). Median arrival date of the Catherine Creek fall tag group was one of the earliest observed during this multiyear study. Median arrival dates from all other populations were within ranges previously reported (Appendix Table A-2).

Similar to past years, early migrants (fall tag group) reached Lower Granite Dam earlier than late migrants (winter tag group) for Catherine Creek and Lostine River (Mann-Whitney rank-sum test, $P \leq 0.05$ ). There was no detectable difference in median arrival date between upper Grande Ronde River early and late migrants ( $P=0.706$ ). There was no winter tag group for Minam River to compare with early migrants.

Travel time for Catherine Creek late migrants, from screw trap to Lower Granite Dam, ranged from 23 to 91 d with a median of $53 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{n}=89)$. Travel time for Lostine River late migrants ranged from 3 to 107 d with a median of $34 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{n}=364)$. Travel time for middle Grande Ronde River late migrants ranged from 5 to 68 d with a median of 20 $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{n}=102)$. Travel time for Minam River late migrants ranged from 5 to 73 d with a median of $38 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{n}=202)$. Travel time for upper Grande Ronde River late migrants ranged from 7 to 86 d with a median of $48 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{n}=84)$. Median travel times during MY 2012 were within previously observed ranges for all populations (Appendix Table A-4).

Survival Probabilities: Catherine Creek fall, winter, and spring tag group survival probabilities to Lower Granite Dam were $0.188,0.099$, and 0.302 , respectively. Survival probabilities for Lostine River fall, winter, and spring tag groups were $0.162,0.076$, and 0.550 , respectively. Probability of survival for the middle Grande Ronde River spring tag
group was 0.677. Survival probabilities for Minam River fall and spring tag groups were 0.225 and 0.504 , respectively. Upper Grande Ronde River fall, winter, and spring tag group survival probabilities to Lower Granite Dam were $0.196,0.043$, and 0.405 , respectively. Survival probabilities, similar to past years, were generally higher for spring tag groups, likely because these fish were not subject to overwinter mortality that summer, fall, and winter tag groups experienced (Table 6).

Overwinter survival of BY 2010 fish in upper rearing areas of Catherine Creek was $33 \%$, and was similar to those previously observed during this multiyear study (Appendix Table A-5). During MY 2012, difference in survival between fish that overwintered upstream and those downstream of the Catherine Creek trap was significantly different (Maximum Likelihood Ratio test, $P=0.001$ ). Higher survival rates were observed for fish overwintering downstream of the Catherine Creek trap in MY 1997, 2000-2001, 2007, 2009, and 2012 (Appendix Table A-6); however, overwinter survival has generally been similar between upstream and downstream overwintering fish (10 of 18 migratory years).

Overwinter survival of BY 2010 fish in upper rearing areas of Lostine River was $14 \%$, and was similar to those previously observed during this multiyear study (Appendix Table A-5). During MY 2012, overwinter survival between fish that overwintered upstream and those downstream of Lostine River trap was significantly different (Maximum Likelihood Ratio test, $P=<0.001$ ). For Lostine River, we have generally observed equivalent overwinter survival rates between upstream and downstream overwintering areas ( 9 of 15 years), while significantly higher survival rates for downstream rearing fish were estimated the remainder of the time (Appendix Table A-6).

Overwinter survival of BY 2010 fish in upper rearing areas of upper Grande Ronde River was $11 \%$, and was generally similar to those previously observed during this multiyear study (Appendix Table A-5). During MY 2012, difference in survival between fish that overwintered upstream and those downstream from upper Grande Ronde River trap was significant (Maximum Likelihood Ratio test, $P=<0.001$ ). We previously observed higher survival rates for fish overwintering downstream from the trap during MY 1995, 1998-2000, 2007, and 2010-2012. (Appendix Table A-6). Upstream overwintering conferred better survival in MY 2004-2005. Survival rates were equivalent between overwintering areas for MY 1994, 2006 and 2008 (Appendix Table A-6).

Smolt Equivalents: An estimated 44,703 smolt equivalents emigrated from Catherine Creek rearing reaches during spring of MY 2012, and 13,500 of those successfully emigrated to Lower Granite Dam (Appendix Table A-7). Both estimates are higher than previously reported estimates of smolt equivalent estimates. Lowest estimates occurred during MY 1997, when an estimated 3,974 smolt equivalents emigrated from Catherine Creek rearing areas, and an estimated 1,641 successfully reached Lower Granite Dam.

An estimated 65,167 smolt equivalents emigrated from Lostine River rearing areas during spring of MY 2012, and 35,842 successfully emigrated to Lower Granite

Dam (Appendix Table A-7). Both estimates are higher than previously reported estimates of smolt equivalent estimates from MY 1997-2012. Lowest smolt equivalent estimates occurred during MY 1997, when an estimated 3,203 smolt equivalents emigrated from Lostine River rearing areas, and an estimated 2,463 successfully reached Lower Granite Dam. Access to Lostine River trap site was denied during MY 2004, precluding estimates of migrant abundance, survival to Lower Granite Dam, and smolt equivalents.

An estimated 52,564 smolt equivalents emigrated from Minam River rearing areas during spring MY 2012, of which 26,492 successfully emigrated to Lower Granite Dam (Appendix Table A-7); both estimates are within previously reported ranges from MY 2001-2012. Lowest estimates occurred during MY 2007, when an estimated 22,589 smolt equivalents emigrated from Minam River rearing areas during spring, and 13,599 successfully emigrated to Lower Granite Dam. Highest estimates occurred during MY 2010, when an estimated 134,149 smolt equivalents emigrated from Minam River rearing areas during spring, and an estimated 85,318 successfully emigrated to Lower Granite Dam (Appendix Table A-7).

An estimated 46,616 smolt equivalents emigrated from upper Grande Ronde River rearing areas during spring MY 2012, of which 18,879 successfully emigrated to Lower Granite Dam (Appendix Table A-7). The estimate of smolt equivalents that successfully emigrated from upper Grande Ronde River rearing areas was higher than previously reported. The estimate of smolt equivalents that successfully migrated to Lower Granite Dam is within previously reported ranges from MY 2001-2012. For years estimates were available, lowest spring smolt equivalent estimates from rearing reaches of upper Grande Ronde River and at Lower Granite Dam occurred during MY 2003 (4,198 and 1,666, respectively). Highest spring smolt equivalent estimates from upper Grande Ronde River rearing reaches and at Lower Granite Dam occurred during MY 2012 and 1995 ( 46,616 and 21,732, respectively). As a result of insufficient sample size and subsequent incomplete survival estimates for one or both migrant groups, smolt equivalents were not estimated for MY 1996-1997 and 2001 (Appendix Table A-7).

## SUMMER STEELHEAD INVESTIGATIONS

## Methods

In Grande Ronde River Subbasin, most juvenile steelhead populations coexist with rainbow trout populations and only steelhead smolts and mature adults can be visually differentiated from resident rainbow trout. For this reason, all Oncorhynchus mykiss are referred to as steelhead in this report, even though some of these fish are likely resident rainbow trout. Screw traps and mark/recapture techniques were used to study movement of juvenile steelhead downstream from spawning and upper rearing reaches in Catherine Creek and Lostine, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers. We assumed all juvenile steelhead captured at trap sites were emigrating and not conducting localized movement. Violation of this assumption would result in positively biased population estimates.

## In-Basin Migration Timing and Abundance

Summer steelhead migration timing and abundance for Catherine Creek and Lostine, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers were determined by operating rotary screw traps annually. As with spring Chinook salmon, summer steelhead exhibit two life history strategies in Grande Ronde River Subbasin (Van Dyke et al. 2001). Identical methods described for spring Chinook salmon data collection and analysis were used for steelhead (see SPRING CHINOOK SALMON INVESTIGATIONS; Methods; InBasin Migration Timing and Abundance).

Fork length (mm) and weight (g) were measured from randomly-selected steelhead weekly throughout the migratory year. Methods described for spring Chinook salmon were used to sample and mark steelhead (see SPRING CHINOOK SALMON INVESTIGATIONS; Methods; In-Basin Migration Timing and Abundance; Sampling and Marking). During previous years, steelhead less than 115 mm (FL) were not tagged during spring because fish from this size range were detected at Snake or Columbia River dams during subsequent years. Although this criterion targeted only seaward migrating steelhead for the spring tag group, it failed to characterize migration behavior of all steelhead emigrating from natal rearing areas during spring. Beginning in MY 2004, all steelhead were tagged to fully document all life history strategies. In addition, scale samples were taken from a subsample of steelhead ( $10 \mathrm{fish} / 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ FL group) during both migration periods. Descriptive statistics and an age-length key were employed to describe age structure of early and late migrants collected at each trap site.

## Migration Timing and Survival to Lower Granite Dam

[^0]the beginning of a migratory year (July) and have not been collected since 2006. Fall tag groups represent early migrant summer steelhead that relocate downstream of screw trap sites between 1 September 2011 and 28 January 2012. Spring tag groups represent fish that migrate downstream of trap sites between 29 January and 30 June 2012 (late migrants). During summer 2006, our goal was to PIT-tag 500 Catherine and Little Catherine creek steelhead each. At each trap site during MY 2012, our goal was to PITtag 600 steelhead during fall and spring to assess migration timing of early and late migrants.

Survival Probabilities: We monitored PIT tagged steelhead migration behavior using methods described for spring Chinook salmon (see SPRING CHINOOK SALMON INVESTIGATIONS; Methods; Migration Timing and Survival to Lower Granite Dam) for the three tag groups described above. Groups of PIT tagged juvenile steelhead represent an undetermined combination of resident rainbow trout and steelhead. Therefore, survival probabilities calculated from these groups incorporate an unknown probability of an individual selecting the resident life history. Steelhead tagged during each migratory year of this multiyear study have been detected at dams across more than one migratory year (Reischauer et al. 2003); however, calculating a survival estimate across multiple migration years violates assumptions of the Cormack-Jolly-Seber model. For this study, only detections during migration year of tagging (2012) were used to calculate probability of surviving and migrating to Lower Granite Dam. Survival probabilities were calculated using program SURPH2.2b (Lady et al. 2001).

Length and Age Characterization of Smolt Detections: We compared steelhead length at tagging, grouped by dam detection history, to investigate relationships between size, migration patterns, and survival. Fork lengths of all steelhead tagged during fall 2011 were compared to fork lengths of those subsequently detected at dams in 2012 using the Mann-Whitney rank-sum test. Fork lengths of all steelhead tagged during fall 2010 were compared to that of those subsequently detected in 2011 and 2012 using a Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks. Dunn's multiple comparison test was performed when the Kruskal-Wallis test rejected the null hypothesis that all tag groups were the same. In addition, fork lengths of steelhead tagged during spring 2012 were compared to that of those subsequently detected at dams during spring 2012 using a Mann-Whitney rank-sum test. Age structure of steelhead PIT-tagged at the traps and subset detected at the dams during spring 2012 were characterized. Only steelhead of known age, at time of tagging, were used for this analysis.

## Results and Discussion

## In-Basin Migration Timing and Abundance

Catherine Creek: The trap fished for 180 d between 19 September 2011 and 13 June 2012 (Table 7). Systematic subsampling comprised 6 of 111 d the trap was fished during the late migration period. Distinct early and late migrations were exhibited by juvenile steelhead at this trap site (Figure 11). Median emigration date for early migrants was 12 October 2011, while median emigration date for late migrants was 30 April 2012. Both median migration dates were within ranges previously reported for this study (Appendix Table B-1).

We estimated a minimum of $17,198 \pm(95 \% \mathrm{CI}, 2,732)$ juvenile steelhead migrated from upper rearing areas during MY 2012. Based on total minimum abundance estimate, $16 \%(2,824 \pm 321)$ migrated early and $84 \%(14,374 \pm 2,713)$ migrated late. MY 2012 proportion of juvenile steelhead emigrating from upper rearing areas as late migrants ( $84 \%$ ) is considerably higher than those proportions previously reported during 1997-2010, but lower than that reported in 2011 (91\%) (Appendix Table B-1).

Lostine River: The trap fished for 200 d between 21 September 2011 and 16 May 2012 (Table 7). Systematic subsampling comprised 7 of 95 d the trap was fished during the late migration period. Distinct early and late migrations were evident at this trap site (Figure 11). Median emigration date for early migrants was 11 October 2011, and median emigration date for late migrants was 22 April 2012. Both median migration dates were within ranges previously reported during this study (Appendix Table B-1).

We estimated a minimum of $14,401 \pm 3,764$ steelhead emigrated during MY 2012. Based on total minimum abundance estimate, $59 \%(8,533 \pm 2,813)$ of juvenile steelhead migrated early and $41 \%(5,868 \pm 2,502)$ migrated late.

Middle Grande Ronde River: The middle Grande Ronde River trap fished for 105 d between 5 March 2012 and 25 June 2012 (Table 7). Insufficient trap efficiency precluded estimates for abundance and migration timing.

Minam River: The trap fished for 124 d between 21 September 2011 and 16 May 2012 (Table 7). Distinct early and late migrations were evident at this trap site (Figure 11). Median emigration date for early migrants was 11 October 2011, and median emigration date for late migrants was 21 April 2012. Both median migration dates were within ranges previously reported during this study (Appendix Table B-1).

We estimated a minimum of $16,474 \pm 6,555$ juvenile steelhead emigrated during MY 2012. Based on total minimum abundance estimate, $17 \%(2,795 \pm 1,128)$ migrated early and $83 \%(13,679 \pm 6,457)$ migrated late. Proportion of juvenile steelhead emigrating as late migrants, during MY 2012, is consistent with proportions from previous migration years (Appendix Table B-1).

Upper Grande Ronde River: The trap fished for 145 d between 21 September 2011 and 13 June 2012 (Table 7). Systematic subsampling comprised 10 of 94 d the trap was fished during the late migration period. Distinct early and late migrations were evident at this trap site (Figure 11). Median emigration date for early migrants was 12 October 2011, and median emigration date for late migrants was 12 April 2012. Both median migration dates were within ranges previously reported during this study (Appendix Table B-1).

We estimated a minimum of $12,497 \pm 1,925$ juvenile steelhead emigrated from upper rearing areas of upper Grande Ronde River during MY 2012, which is within estimates from previous migration years (Appendix Table B-1). Based on total minimum abundance estimate, $3 \%(380 \pm 47)$ were early migrants and $97 \%(12,117 \pm 1,924)$ were late migrants. Predominant late migration of juvenile steelhead in upper Grande Ronde River is consistent for all migration years studied to date (Appendix Table B-1).

Age of Migrants at Traps: Summer steelhead collected at trap sites during MY 2012 comprised five age-groups. Early migrants ranged from 0 to 4 years of age, while late migrants ranged from 1 to 4 years of age (Table 8). Majority of Catherine Creek ( $63.2 \%$ ) and upper Grande Ronde river ( $79.4 \%$ ) early migrants were age 1, while majority of Lostine River (51.1\%) and Minam River (42.9\%) early migrants were age 0. Majority of Catherine Creek (55.0\%) and Lostine River (65.2\%) late migrants were age 1, while majority of middle and upper Grande Ronde River ( $52.7 \%$ and $66.6 \%$, respectively) late migrants were age 2, and majority of Minam River (38.1\%) late migrants were age 3 (Table 8).

## Migration Timing and Survival to Lower Granite Dam

Total number of steelhead tagged in each tag group for each study stream is provided in Appendix Table B-2.

Migration Timing: Median arrival dates at Lower Granite Dam for Catherine Creek fall and spring tag groups were 5 May and 6 May, respectively (Figure 12). Median arrival dates for Lostine River fall and spring tag groups were 17 May and 7 May, respectively (Figure 13). Median arrival dates for the middle Grande Ronde River spring tag group was 7 May (Figure 14). Median arrival dates for Minam River fall and spring tag groups were 24 April and 25 April (Figure 15). Median arrival dates for upper Grande Ronde River fall and spring tag groups were 3 May and 12 May, respectively (Figure 16).

Spring tag group travel time from screw trap to Lower Granite Dam, for all four study streams, are presented in Table 9. Travel time to Lower Granite Dam for the Catherine Creek spring tag group ranged from 5 to 68 d with a median of 24 d . Travel time to Lower Granite Dam for the Lostine River spring tag group ranged from 4 to 30 d with a median of 10 d . Travel time to Lower Granite Dam for the middle Grande Ronde River spring tag group ranged from 3 to 69 d with a median of 7 d . Travel time to Lower Granite Dam for the Minam River spring tag group ranged from 2 to 59 d with a median
of 10 d . Travel time to Lower Granite Dam for the upper Grande Ronde River spring tag group ranged from 5 to 71 d with a median of 28 d .

Survival Probabilities: Probability of surviving and migrating, during migration year of tagging, to Lower Granite Dam for steelhead tagged in fall 2011 ranged from 0.134 to 0.250 for all four spawning tributaries (Table 10). Probabilities of migration and survival, for larger steelhead ( $\mathrm{FL} \geq 115 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) tagged during spring 2012, ranged from 0.391 to 0.822 for all five populations studied (Table 10). Generally, probabilities of migration and survival, during spring 2012, were moderate to relatively high for all five populations studied compared to previous years (Appendix Table B-3).

Length and Age Characterization of Smolt Detections: Of all early migrating steelhead tagged at Catherine Creek and Lostine and upper Grande Ronde river traps during fall 2011, predominantly larger individuals were detected at dams during 2012 (Mann-Whitney, $P<0.05$, Figure 17). Of all early migrating steelhead tagged from Catherine Creek and Lostine and upper Grande Ronde rivers during fall 2010, predominately smaller individuals tended to be detected at dams during 2012 (KruskalWallis, $P<0.05$, Figure 18). However, no significant difference in length was observed between Minam River fish that were tagged during spring 2010 and those detected at dams in 2011 and 2012 (Kruskal-Wallis, $P=0.358$, Figure 18). MY 2012 spring tag groups exhibited a pattern of larger individuals being detected at dams during spring (Mann-Whitney, $P<0.05$, Figure 19). Fork length summaries, at time of tagging, for steelhead tag groups and those detected at dams are provided in Appendix Tables B-4, B5 and B-6. While median differences between original tag groups and those detected at dams could be a result of smaller fish experiencing greater size-dependent mortality, there is evidence that small fish delay seaward migration until subsequent migratory years (Appendix Tables B-4, B-5, and B-6).

Of 135 early migrating age- 0 fish tagged during MY12, 0 were observed at dams the following spring, while 65 of 311 age- 1 and 22 of 139 age- 2 early migrants were observed the following spring at dams. As in past years, age- 2 smolts (age- 1 early migrants) made up the highest weighted percentage of all MY12 observations (Table 11). Generally, late migrant smolts primarily consisted of age 1 to 4 years during 2012, with the majority consisting of age- 1 and age- 2 fish. Peven et al. (1994) found that steelhead smolts from mid-Columbia River ranged in age from 1 to 7 years with most occurring as age- 2 and age- 3 fish. Even though the proportion of steelhead smolts within age-groups has been shown to vary considerably between migratory years (Ward and Slaney 1988), results from all years of this study indicate that the majority of steelhead originating from the subbasin smolt as age-2 fish.

# STREAM CONDITION INVESTIGATIONS 

## Methods

## Stream Temperature and Flow

An initial assessment of stream condition was conducted for all four study streams. General stream condition sampling was based on protocols described by The Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds (OPSW 1999) and stream flow data provided by the United States Geologic Survey (USGS) and Oregon Water Resources Department (OWRD) La Grande District Watermaster. Stream temperature and discharge was characterized for all four study streams constrained by in-basin life history of BY 2010 juvenile spring Chinook salmon, which ranged from 1 August 2010 (spawning) to 30 June 2012 (the end of MY 2012).

Mean daily temperature was produced using hourly 24 h data recorded to the nearest $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a stationary temperature logger located at each trap site. Descriptive statistics were used to characterize water temperature in each study stream with standards of optimal and lethal temperature ranges for juvenile Chinook salmon (OPSW 1999). Cumulative effects of prolonged exposure to high water temperature were characterized using a seven-day moving mean of daily maximum, and were calculated by averaging daily maximum temperature and maximum temperatures for the preceding and following three days $(\mathrm{n}=7)$. Water temperature data was compared to Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) standards to evaluate seasonal water temperature variation and subsequent relationships to early life history stages of spring Chinook salmon and summer steelhead.

Stream discharge was obtained from Catherine Creek (USGS station 13320000; rkm 38.6), Lostine River (USGS station 13330300; rkm 1.6), Minam River (USGS station 13331500; rkm 0.4), and upper Grande Ronde River (USGS station 13317850; rkm 321.9) gaging stations that measured discharge in cubic feet per second (cfs) every 15 minutes. In addition, stream discharge was estimated for middle Grande Ronde River (rkm 160.0) by summing stream discharge from Catherine Creek (USGS station 13320000; rkm 38.6) and upper Grande Ronde River (USGS station 13318960; 216.5 rkm). Average daily discharge was converted to cubic meters per second (nearest 0.0001, $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ). Generally, each gage station was situated near the downstream margin of summer rearing distribution.

## Results and Discussion

## Stream Temperature and Flow

Catherine Creek: Water temperatures, during in-basin occupancy of BY 2010 Chinook salmon, ranged from $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $22.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Daily mean water temperature exceeded DEQ standard of $17.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 d (1 August 2010-19 August 2010) during spawning, and 5 d (25 August 2011-29 August 2011) during parr rearing and early migration. Water
temperatures were within the range preferred by juvenile Chinook salmon $\left(10-15.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; OPSW 1999) for 44 d (23 August 2010-11 October 2010) during spawning and incubation, 71 d (5 July 2011-16 October 2011) during parr rearing and early migration, and 13 d (1 June 2012-30 June 2012) during late migration. DEQ lethal limit of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was not exceeded during 700 d temperature was logged. The seven-day moving mean of maximum temperature revealed that water temperatures below the range expected to support healthy growth $\left(4.4-18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; OPSW 1999) were encountered for a longer duration than those that exceeded the healthy growth water temperature range (Figure 20). Moving mean temperatures were less than $4.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 105 d ( 18 November 2010-2 March 2011) during incubation and emergence, and 121 d (4 November 2011-3 March 2012) during early and late migration. Moving mean temperatures exceeded $18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 25 d (1 August 2010-25 September 2010) during spawning and incubation, and 19 d (31 July 2011-29 August 2011) during parr rearing and early migration.

Average daily discharge during in-basin life history of the 2010 cohort ranged from 0.6 to $32.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ (Figure 21). Discharge was greater than $2.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from mid-January through mid-August 2011, during incubation, emergence, parr rearing and early migration, and mid-January through late-June in 2012, during late migration, excluding 11 d in late-February to early-march. Annual peak flows occurred on 15 May 2011 and 26 April 2012, at $32.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ and $25.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively. Discharge was generally less than $2.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from August 2010 through mid-January in 2011, during spawning, and incubation, and mid-August 2011 through mid-February 2012, during parr rearing and early and late migration. In addition to typical spring freshets, stream discharge exceeded $2.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ for 2 d in mid-November 2010, 4 d in mid-December 2010, 1 d in mid-October 2011, and 4 d in late-December 2012.

Lostine River: Water temperatures, during the majority of in-basin occupancy of BY 2010 Chinook salmon, ranged from $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $19.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. However, daily mean water temperature did not exceed the DEQ standard of $17.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 700 d temperature was logged. Water temperatures were within the range preferred by juvenile Chinook salmon ( $10-15.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; OPSW 1999) for 59 d (1 August 2010-11 October 2010) during spawning and incubation, and 76 d (17 July 2011-15 October 2011) during parr rearing and early migration. The seven-day moving mean of maximum temperature revealed that water temperatures above the range expected to support healthy growth $\left(4.4-18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; OPSW 1999) were not encountered (Figure 20). Moving mean temperatures were less than $4.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 103 d ( 20 November 2010-2 March 2011) during incubation and emergence, and 91 d ( 7 November 2011-29 February 2012) during early and late migration.

Average daily discharge during in-basin life history of the 2010 cohort ranged from 0.7 to $45.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ (Figure 21). Discharge was greater than $7.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from mid-May through July 2011, during emergence, parr rearing, and early migration, and mid-April through June 2012, during late migration, excluding 1 d in late-July and 3 d in early-May 2012. Annual peak flows occurred on 23 June 2011 and 5 June 2012, and were $45.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ and $37.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively. Discharge was less than $7.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from August 2010 through mid-May 2011, during spawning, incubation, and emergence, and August 2011 through mid-April 2012, during parr rearing and early and late migration. In addition to typical spring freshets, stream discharge exceeded $7.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ for 2 d during mid-January 2011.

Middle Grande Ronde River: Water temperatures, during in-basin occupancy of BY 2010 Chinook salmon, ranged from $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $21.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. We were unable to characterize a 232 d period (1 August 2010-21 March 2011) during spawning, incubation, and emergence, a 169 d period (6 July 2011-21 December 2011) during parr rearing and early migration, and a 22 d period (12 January 2012-2 February 2012) during early and late migration. Daily mean water temperature exceeded the DEQ standard of $17.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 d (23 June 2012-30 June 2012) during late migration. Water temperatures were within the range preferred by juvenile Chinook salmon ( $10-15.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; OPSW 1999) for 33 d (11 May 2011-1 July 2011) during emergence and parr rearing, and 40 d (23 April 2012-27 June 2012) during late migration. DEQ lethal limit of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was not exceeded during 277 d temperature was logged. The seven-day moving mean of maximum temperature revealed that water temperatures below the range expected to support healthy growth $\left(4.4-18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; OPSW 1999$)$ were encountered for a longer duration than those that exceeded the healthy growth water temperature range (Figure 20). Moving mean temperatures were less than $4.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 52 d (22 December 2011-2 March 2012) during early and late migration. Moving mean temperatures exceeded $18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 9 d (20 June 2012-28 June 2012) during late migration.

Average daily discharge during in-basin life history of the 2010 cohort ranged from 1.3 to $224.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ (Figure 21). Discharge was typically greater than $12.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from mid-January through mid-July 2011, during incubation, emergence, and parr rearing, with exception of 7 d in February and early-March, and from mid-March through mid-June 2012, during late migration. Annual peak flows occurred on 16 May 2011 and 26 April 2012 , and were $224.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ and $90.61 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively. Discharge was less than 12.0 $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from August 2010 through mid-January 2011, during spawning, and incubation, and from mid-July 2011 through mid-March 2012, during parr rearing and early and late migration. In addition to typical spring freshets, stream discharge exceeded $12.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ for 2 d in mid-December 2010, 1 d in mid-January 2012, and for 7 d in late-February 2012.

Minam River: Water temperatures, during in-basin occupancy of BY 2010 Chinook salmon, ranged from $0.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $24.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Daily mean water temperature exceeded the DEQ standard of $17.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 d (1 August 2010-20 August 2010) during spawning, and 8 d (23 August 2011-30 August 2011) during parr rearing and early migration. Water temperatures were within the range preferred by juvenile Chinook salmon $\left(10-15.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; OPSW 1999) for 37 d (28 August 2010-11 October 2010) during spawning and incubation, 50 d (11 July 2011-16 October 2011) during parr rearing and early migration, and 7 d (21 June 2012-30 June 2012) during late migration. DEQ lethal limit of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was not exceeded during 700 d temperature was logged. The seven-day moving mean of maximum temperature revealed water temperatures below the range expected to support healthy growth $\left(4.4-18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; OPSW 1999) were encountered for a longer duration than those that exceeded the healthy growth water temperature range (Figure 20). Moving mean temperatures were less than $4.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 106 d ( 17 November 2010-2 March 2011) during incubation and emergence and 121 d (3 November 2011-2 March 2012) during early and late migration. Moving mean temperatures exceeded $18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 26 d (1 August

2010-26 August 2010) during spawning, and 43 d (3 August 2011-14 September 2011) during parr rearing and early migration.

Average daily discharge during in-basin life history of the 2010 cohort ranged from 1.0 to $117.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ (Figure 21). Discharge was greater than $9.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from mid-March through mid-August 2011, during emergence, parr rearing, and early migration, and midMarch through June 2012, during late migration. Annual peak flows occurred on 23 June 2011 and 26 April 2012, and were $117.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ and $84.1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively. Discharge was generally less than $9.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from August 2010 through mid-March 2011, during spawning, incubation, and emergence, and mid-August 2011 through mid-March 2012, during parr rearing and early and late migration. In addition to typical spring freshets, stream discharge exceeded $9.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ for 5 d in mid to late-December 2010, 21 d in lateJanuary to early-February 2011, 22 d in December 2011, 3 d in mid-January 2012, and 5 d in late-February 2012.

Upper Grande Ronde River: Water temperatures, during in-basin occupancy of BY 2010 Chinook salmon, ranged from $0.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $23.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. We were unable to characterize an 8 d period ( 28 September 2011-5 October 2011) during early migration. Daily mean water temperature exceeded the DEQ standard of $17.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 9 d (31 July 2011-10 October 2011) during parr rearing and early migration. Water temperatures were within the range preferred by juvenile Chinook salmon ( $10-15.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; OPSW 1999) for $61 \mathrm{~d}(1$ August 2010-10 October 2010) during spawning and incubation, 62 d (22 June 2011-15 October 2011) during parr rearing and early migration, and 26 d (14 May 2012-28 June 2012) during late migration. DEQ lethal limit of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was not exceeded during 692 d temperature was logged. The seven-day moving mean of maximum temperature revealed water temperatures below the range expected to support healthy growth $\left(4.4-18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; OPSW 1999) were encountered for a longer duration than those that exceeded the healthy growth water temperature range (Figure 20). Moving mean temperatures were less than $4.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 131 d ( 10 November 2010-20 March 2011) during incubation and emergence, and 141 d (1 November 2011-20 March 2011) during early and late migration. Moving mean temperatures exceeded $18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on 39 d (23 July 2011-30 August 2011) during parr rearing and early migration.

Average daily discharge during in-basin life history of the 2010 cohort ranged from 0.23 to $11.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ (Figure 21). Discharge was greater than $1.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from early-May through July 2011, during emergence, parr rearing, and early migration, and from midApril through June 2012, during late migration. Annual peak flows occurred on 15 June 2011 and 26 April 2012, and were $11.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ and $6.85 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$, respectively. Discharge was less than $1.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ from August 2010 to early-May 2011, during spawning, incubation, and emergence, and from late-July 2011 through mid-April 2012, during parr rearing and early and late migration. In addition to typical spring freshets, stream discharge exceeded $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ for 8 d in April 2011, 7 d period in late-December 2011 to early-January 2012, 1 d in mid-February 2012, and 1 d in late-March 2012.

## FUTURE DIRECTIONS

We will continue this early life history study of spring Chinook salmon and summer steelhead in Catherine Creek and Imnaha, Lostine, middle Grande Ronde, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers. This project will continue to provide key metrics to monitor and evaluate success of restoration efforts for spring Chinook salmon and steelhead in Grande Ronde River Subbasin.
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Table 1. Dates of tagging and number of spring Chinook salmon parr PIT-tagged in various northeast Oregon streams during summer 2011 and 2012.

| Migration year and stream | Tagging Dates | Number <br> PIT-tagged | Distance to Lower <br> Granite Dam (km) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 (Summer 2011) |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek | 15 Aug-17 Aug | 998 | $363-383$ |
| Imnaha River | 22 Aug-25 Aug | 998 | $221-233$ |
| Lostine River | 6 Sept-8 Sept | 1000 | $271-308$ |
| Minam River | 29 Aug-1 Sept | 999 | $276-290$ |
| Upper Grande Ronde | 12 Sept-14 Sept | 1000 | $418-428$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2013 (Summer 2012) |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek | 31 Jul-3 Aug, 5 Sept | 975 | $363-383$ |
| Imnaha River | 13 Aug-15 Aug, 5 Sept | 995 | $221-233$ |
| Lostine River | 6 Aug-9 Aug | 999 | $271-308$ |
| Minam River | 20 Aug-23 Aug | 997 | $276-290$ |
| Upper Grande Ronde | 27 Aug-29 Aug | 996 | $418-428$ |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Juvenile spring Chinook salmon catch at five general trap locations in Grande Ronde River Subbasin during MY 2012. Early migration period starts 1 July 2011 and ends 28 January 2012. Late migration period starts 29 January and ends 30 June 2012. The period a trap operated was used to identify total number of days fished, with percentage in parentheses, during each migration period.

| Trap site | Migration period | Sampling period | Days fished / days operated | Trap catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catherine Creek | Early | 19 Sept $11-2$ Dec 11 | 69/74 (93) | 17,387 |
|  | Late ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 23 Feb $12-13$ Jun 12 | 111/111 (100) | 2,087 |
|  | Late ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 24 Mar 12-17 Apr 12 | 6/25 (24) | 153 |
| Lostine River | Early | 21 Sept 11 - 28 Jan 12 | 104/128(81) | 30,153 |
|  | Late ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 29 Jan 12-16 May 12 | 95/109 (87) | 3,903 |
|  | Late ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 24 Mar $12-20$ Apr 12 | 7/28 (25) | 357 |
| Middle Grande Ronde River | Late ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5 Mar $12-25$ Jun 12 | 105/112 (94) | 467 |
| Minam River (rkm 1) | Early | 21 Sept $11-1$ Dec 11 | 60/71(85) | 20,709 |
|  | Late ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12 Mar 12 - 16 May 12 | 64/65 (98) | 1,879 |
| Minam River (rkm 3) | Late ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 19 Mar 12 - 15 May 12 | 43/57 (75) | 1,624 |
| Upper Grande Ronde River | Early | 21 Sept $11-16$ Nov 11 | 51/56(84) | 13,659 |
|  | Late ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9 Mar 12-13 Jun 12 | 94/96 (91) | 9,814 |
|  | Late ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 23 Mar $12-17$ Apr 12 | 10/26 (38) | 1,192 |

[^1]Table 3. Fork lengths of juvenile spring Chinook salmon collected from study streams during MY 2012. Early and late migrants were captured with a rotary screw trap on each study stream. Summer and winter tag group fish were captured using netting techniques upstream from rotary screw traps. $\mathrm{Min}=$ minimum, $\mathrm{Max}=$ maximum.


Table 4. Weights of juvenile spring Chinook salmon collected from study streams during MY 2012. Early and late migrants were captured with a rotary screw trap on each study stream. Summer and winter tag group fish were captured using netting techniques upstream from rotary screw traps. $\operatorname{Min}=$ minimum, $\operatorname{Max}=$ maximum.


Table 5. Survival probability to Lower Granite Dam of juvenile spring Chinook salmon tagged during summer 2011 and detected at Columbia and Snake river dams during 2012.

| Stream | Number PIT-tagged and <br> released | Survival probability (95\% CI) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Catherine Creek | 998 | $0.116(0.090-0.154)$ |
| Imnaha River | 998 | $0.182(0.151-0.221)$ |
| Lostine River | 1,000 | $0.086(0.066-0.113)$ |
| Minam River | 999 | $0.110(0.090-0.134)$ |
| Upper Grande Ronde River | 1,000 | $0.083(0.063-0.111)$ |

Table 6. Juvenile spring Chinook salmon survival probability by location and tag group from time of tagging to Lower Granite Dam. Spring Chinook salmon were tagged from fall 2011 to spring 2012 and detected at dams during 2012.

| Stream and tag group | Number PIT-tagged and <br> released | Survival probability <br> $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |
| Fall (trap) | 1,153 | $0.188(0.155-0.232)$ |
| Winter (above trap) | 501 | $0.099(0.072-0.135)$ |
| Spring (trap) | 1,033 | $0.302(0.254-0.370)$ |
| Lostine River |  |  |
| Fall (trap) | 1,890 | $0.162(0.143-0.184)$ |
| Winter (above trap) | 500 | $0.076(0.053-0.107)$ |
| $\quad$ Spring (trap) | 1,848 | $0.550(0.515-0.589)$ |
|  |  |  |
| Middle Grande Ronde River | 437 | $0.677(0.600-0.770)$ |
| $\quad$ Spring (trap) |  |  |
| Minam River | 1,299 | $0.225(0.196-0.259)$ |
| Fall (trap) | 1,018 | $0.504(0.461-0.554)$ |
| Spring (trap) |  |  |
| Upper Grande Ronde River | 606 | $0.196(0.160-0.239)$ |
| Fall (trap) | 258 | $0.043(0.013 \mathrm{SE})$ |
| Winter (above trap) | 632 | $0.405(0.348-0.476)$ |
| Spring (trap) |  |  |

Table 7. Juvenile steelhead catch at five general trap locations in Grande Ronde River Subbasin during MY 2012. Early migration period starts 1 July 2011 and ends 28 January 2012. Late migration period starts 29 January and ends 30 June 2012. The period a trap operated was used to identify total number of days fished, with percentage in parentheses, during each migration period.

| Trap site | Migration period | Sampling period | Days fished / days operated | Trap catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catherine Creek | Early | 19 Sept $11-2$ Dec 11 | 69/74 (93) | 607 |
|  | Late ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 23 Feb 12-13 Jun 12 | 111/111 (100) | 804 |
|  | Late ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 24 Mar 12 - 17 Apr 12 | 6/25 (24) | 36 |
| Lostine River | Early | 21 Sept 11 - 28 Jan 12 | 104/128(81) | 1,093 |
|  | Late ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 29 Jan 12-16 May 12 | 95/109 (87) | 457 |
|  | Late ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 24 Mar 12 - 20 Apr 12 | 7/28 (25) | 13 |
| Middle Grande Ronde River | Late ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5 Mar $12-25$ Jun 12 | 105/112 (94) | 455 |
| Minam River (rkm 1) | Early | 21 Sept 11-1 Dec 11 | 60/71(85) | 246 |
|  | Late ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 12 Mar 12 - 16 May 12 | 64/65 (98) | 406 |
| Minam River (rkm 3) | Late ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 19 Mar 12 - 15 May 12 | 43/57 (75) | 232 |
| Upper Grande Ronde River | Early | 21 Sept $11-16$ Nov 11 | 51/56(84) | 205 |
|  | Late ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9 Mar 12-13 Jun 12 | 94/96 (91) | 1,786 |
|  | Late ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 23 Mar 12-17 Apr 12 | 10/26 (38) | 112 |

[^2]Table 8. Age structure of early and late steelhead migrants collected at trap sites during MY 2012. The same four cohorts were represented in each migration period, but ages increased by one year from early migrants to late migrants (e.g., age-0 early migrants were same cohort as age- 1 late migrants). Age structure was based on frequency distribution of sampled lengths and allocated using an age-length key. Means were weighted by migrant abundance at trap sites.

|  | Percent |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Emigrant type and trap site | Age-0 | Age-1 | Age-2 | Age-3 | Age-4 |
| Early | 21.1 | 63.2 | 15.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\quad$ Catherine Creek | 51.1 | 42.4 | 6.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Lostine River | 42.9 | 19.6 | 32.6 | 4.9 | 0.0 |
| Minam River | 6.9 | 79.4 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Upper Grande Ronde River | 36.4 | 50.3 | 12.7 | 0.6 | 0.1 |
| Mean | 42.7 | 43.4 | 104.8 | 489.2 | 0.0 |
| CV (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late | 0.0 | 55.0 | 40.3 | 4.4 | 0.4 |
| $\quad$ Catherine Creek | 0.0 | 65.2 | 23.6 | 11.2 | 0.0 |
| Lostine River | 0.0 | 34.4 | 26.2 | 38.1 | 1.4 |
| $\quad$ Minam River | 0.0 | 19.9 | 66.6 | 13.4 | 0.1 |
| $\quad$ Upper Grande Ronde River | 0.0 | 39.8 | 44.3 | 15.5 | 0.4 |
| $\quad$ Mean | 0.0 | 51.1 | 44.6 | 95.2 | 0.0 |
| CV (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |
| Early and Late |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle Grande Ronde River | 0.0 | 34.2 | 52.7 | 13.1 | 0.0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Middle Grande Ronde River trap was located downstream from Catherine Creek and upper Grande Ronde River overwinter rearing reaches resulting in early and late emigrants being sampled simultaneously during spring emigration.

Table 9. Travel time to Lower Granite Dam of wild steelhead PIT-tagged at screw traps during spring 2012 and subsequently arriving at Lower Granite Dam (LGD) during spring 2012.

|  | Distance to | Number | Travel time (d) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream | LGD $(\mathrm{km})$ | detected | Median | Min | Max |
| Catherine Creek | 362 | 35 | 24 | 5 | 68 |
| Lostine River | 274 | 40 | 10 | 4 | 30 |
| Middle Grande Ronde River | 258 | 42 | 7 | 3 | 69 |
| Minam River | 245 | 82 | 10 | 2 | 59 |
| Upper Grande Ronde River | 397 | 102 | 28 | 5 | 71 |

Table 10. Probability of surviving and migrating, in the first year to Lower Granite Dam, for steelhead PIT-tagged at screw traps on Catherine Creek and Lostine, middle Grande Ronde, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers during fall 2011 and spring 2012 (MY 2012). Catherine Creek and upper Grande Ronde River early migrants overwinter upstream of middle Grande Ronde River trap site, so no fall tag group was available for that site.

| Season and location tagged | Number <br> tagged | Number <br> detected | Probability of surviving and <br> migrating in the first year <br> (95\% CI) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall |  |  | $0.197(0.154-0.263)$ |
| $\quad$ Catherine Creek | 503 | 82 | $0.250(0.158-0.512)$ |
| Lostine River | 590 | 72 | $0.196(0.124-0.394)$ |
| Minam River | 144 | 24 | $0.134(0.089-0.195)$ |
| $\quad$ Upper Grande Ronde River | 197 | 25 |  |
|  |  |  | $0.391(0.308-0.526)$ |
| Spring (FL $\geq 115 \mathrm{~mm})$ |  |  | $0.822(0.669-1.055)$ |
| $\quad$ Catherine Creek | 327 | 97 | $0.588(0.467-0.775)$ |
| $\quad$ Lostine River | 150 | 90 | $0.758(0.677-0.862)$ |
| $\quad$ Middle Grande Ronde River | 252 | 105 | $0.513(0.447-0.595)$ |
| $\quad$ Minam River | 374 | 238 |  |
| $\quad$ Upper Grande Ronde River | 658 | 255 |  |

Table 11. PIT tagged early migrating steelhead sampled by screw trap in the Grande Ronde Basin, and subset subsequently detected at Snake and Columbia River dams during spring 2012. Italicized headings represent smolt age at time detections were recorded at a dam. Means are weighted by sample size ( $n$ ).

| Trap site | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Age-0 } \\ \text { Age-1 smolt }\end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Age-1 } \\ \text { Age-2 smolt }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Age-2 <br>

Age-3 smolt\end{array} $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Age-3 } \\
\text { Age-4 smolt }\end{array}
$$\right]\)


Figure 1. Locations of fish traps in Grande Ronde River Subbasin during the study period. Shaded areas delineate spring Chinook salmon spawning and upper rearing areas. Dashed lines indicate Grande Ronde and Wallowa river valleys.


Figure 2. Estimated migration timing and abundance for juvenile spring Chinook salmon migrants sampled by rotary screw traps during MY 2012. Traps were located at rkm 32 on Catherine Creek, rkm 3 on Lostine River, rkm 0 on Minam River, and rkm 299 on upper Grande Ronde River.


Figure 3. Length frequency distribution (fork length) of early and late migrating juvenile spring Chinook salmon captured at Catherine Creek (rkm 32), Lostine (rkm 3), middle Grande Ronde (rkm 160), Minam (rkm 0), and upper Grande Ronde (rkm 299) river traps during MY 2012.


Figure 4. Weekly mean fork lengths and associated standard error for spring Chinook salmon captured by rotary screw traps in Grande Ronde River Subbasin during MY 2012.


Figure 5. Dates of arrival, during 2012 at Lower Granite Dam, of spring Chinook salmon PIT-tagged as parr in Catherine Creek and Imnaha, Lostine, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers during summer 2011. Data was summarized by week and expressed as percentage of total detected. Detections were expanded for spillway flow. $\downarrow=$ median arrival date.


Figure 6. Dates of arrival, during 2012 at Lower Granite dam, for fall, winter, and spring tag groups of juvenile spring Chinook salmon PIT-tagged from Catherine Creek. Data was summarized by week and expressed as percentage of total detected. Detections were expanded for spillway flow. $\boldsymbol{=}$ median arrival date.


Figure 7. Dates of arrival, during 2012 at Lower Granite dam, for fall, winter, and spring tag groups of juvenile spring Chinook salmon PIT-tagged from Lostine River. Data was summarized by week and expressed as percentage of total detected. Detections were expanded for spillway flow. $\boldsymbol{*}=$ median arrival date.


Figure 9. Dates of arrival, during 2012 at Lower Granite dam, for fall and spring tag groups of juvenile spring Chinook salmon PIT-tagged from Minam River. Data was summarized by week and expressed as percentage of total detected. Detections were expanded for spillway flow. $\boldsymbol{*}=$ median arrival date.


Figure 10. Dates of arrival, during 2012 at Lower Granite dam, for fall, winter, and spring tag groups of juvenile spring Chinook salmon PIT-tagged from upper Grande Ronde River. Data was summarized by week and expressed as percentage of total detected. Detections were expanded for spillway flow. = median arrival date.


Figure 11. Estimated migration timing and abundance of juvenile summer steelhead migrants captured by rotary screw trap during MY 2012. Traps were operated at rkm 32 on Catherine Creek, rkm 3 on Lostine River, rkm 0 on Minam River, and rkm 299 on upper Grande Ronde River.


Figure 12. Dates of arrival, in 2012, at Lower Granite Dam for fall and spring tag groups of steelhead PIT-tagged from Catherine Creek, and expressed as a percentage of total detected for each group. Detections were expanded for spillway flow. $\quad=$ median arrival date.


Figure 13. Dates of arrival, in 2012, at Lower Granite Dam for fall and spring tag groups of steelhead PIT-tagged from Lostine River, and expressed as a percentage of total detected for each group. Detections were expanded for spillway flow. $\star=$ median arrival date.


Figure 14. Dates of arrival, in 2012, at Lower Granite Dam for fall and spring tag groups of steelhead PIT-tagged from middle Grande Ronde River, and expressed as a percentage of total detected for each group. Detections were expanded for spillway flow. $=$ median arrival date.


Figure 15. Dates of arrival, in 2012, at Lower Granite Dam for fall and spring tag groups of steelhead PIT-tagged from Minam River, and expressed as a percentage of total detected for each group. Detections were expanded for spillway flow. $\uparrow=$ median arrival date.


Figure 16. Dates of arrival, in 2012, at Lower Granite Dam for fall and spring tag groups of steelhead PIT-tagged from upper Grande Ronde River, and expressed as a percentage of total detected for each group. Detections were expanded for spillway flow. $=$ median arrival date.


Figure 17. Length frequency distributions for all steelhead PIT-tagged at screw traps during fall 2011 and those subsequently observed at Snake or Columbia river dams during spring 2012. Fork lengths are based on measurements taken at time of tagging. Frequency is expressed as percent of total number tagged ( $n_{\text {tag }}$ ). ' $n_{\text {obs }}$ ' is number detected.


Figure 18. Length frequency distributions for steelhead PIT-tagged at screw traps during fall 2010, and those subsequently observed at Snake or Columbia river dams during 2011 and 2012. Frequency is expressed as percent of total number tagged. ' H ' is the test statistic for the Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks of lengths. Dunn's all pairwise multiple comparison procedure was employed to compare groups among Catherine Creek, Lostine, and Minam rivers ( $\alpha=0.05$ ).


Figure 19. Length frequency distributions for steelhead PIT-tagged at screw traps during spring 2012, and those subsequently observed at Snake or Columbia river dams during spring 2012. Data were compared using the Mann-Whitney rank-sum test. Fork lengths are based on measurements taken at time of tagging. Frequency is expressed as percent of total number tagged ( $n_{\text {tag }}$ ), and ' $n_{\text {obs' }}$ ' represents number detected.


Figure 20. Moving mean of maximum water temperature from four study streams in Grande Ronde River Subbasin during MY 2012. Data corresponds with juvenile spring Chinook salmon in-basin egg-to-emigrant life stages. Missing portions of a trend line represent periods where data were not available.


Figure 21. Average daily discharge from four study streams in the Grande Ronde River Subbasin during MY 2012. Data corresponds with juvenile spring Chinook salmon inbasin egg-to-emigrant life stages.

## APPENDIX A

A Compilation of Spring Chinook Salmon Data

Appendix Table A-1. Population estimates, median migration dates, and percentages of juvenile spring Chinook salmon population emigrating as late migrants past rotary screw trap sites, 1994-2012. Early migratory period begins 1 July and ends 28 January, while late migratory period begins 29 January and ends 30 June.

| Stream and MY | Population estimate | 95\% CI | Median migration date |  | Percentage migrating late |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Early migrants | Late migrants |  |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 17,633 | 2,067 | $1 \mathrm{Nov}^{\text {a }}$ | 21 Mar | $49^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1996 | 6,857 | 688 | 20 Oct | 11 Mar | 27 |
| 1997 | 4,442 | 1,123 | 1 Nov $^{\text {a }}$ | 13 Mar | $10^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1998 | 9,881 | 1,209 | 30 Oct | 19 Mar | 29 |
| 1999 | 20,311 | 2,299 | 14 Nov | 23 Mar | 38 |
| 2000 | 23,991 | 2,342 | 31 Oct | 23 Mar | 18 |
| 2001 | 21,936 | 2,282 | 8 Oct | 24 Mar | 13 |
| 2002 | 23,362 | 2,870 | 12 Oct | 2 Apr | 9 |
| 2003 | 34,623 | 2,615 | 28 Oct | 20 Mar | 14 |
| 2004 | 64,012 | 4,203 | 1 Nov | 18 Mar | 16 |
| 2005 | 56,097 | 6,713 | 11 Oct | 26 Mar | 10 |
| 2006 | 27,218 | 2,368 | 31 Oct | 22 Mar | 16 |
| 2007 | 13,831 | 1,032 | 14 Oct | 29 Mar | 21 |
| 2008 | 26,151 | 2,099 | 19 Oct | 30 Mar | 22 |
| 2009 | 21,674 | 3,029 | 15 Oct | 25 Mar | 23 |
| 2010 | 43,635 | 7,152 | 14 Oct | 3 Apr | 26 |
| 2011 | 12,656 | 871 | 3 Nov | 31 Mar | 36 |
| 2012 | 58,445 | 3,393 | 27 Oct | 17 Mar | 38 |
| Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 4,496 | 606 | 26 Nov ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 30 Mar | $52^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1998 | 17,539 | 2,610 | 26 Oct | 26 Mar | 35 |
| 1999 | 34,267 | 2,632 | 12 Nov | 18 Apr | 41 |
| 2000 | 12,250 | 887 | 2 Nov | 9 Apr | 32 |
| 2001 | 13,610 | 1,362 | 29 Sep | 20 Apr | 23 |
| 2002 | 18,140 | 2,428 | 24 Oct | 1 Apr | 15 |
| 2003 | 28,939 | 1,865 | 22 Oct | 1 Apr | 34 |
| $2004{ }^{\text {b }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2005 | 54,602 | 6,734 | 22 Sep | 31 Mar | 25 |
| 2006 | 54,268 | 8,812 | 4 Nov | 11 Apr | 22 |
| 2007 | 46,183 | 4,827 | 14 Oct | 7 Apr | 26 |
| 2008 | 26,117 | 3,516 | 2 Nov | 29 Apr | 41 |
| 2009 | 38,935 | 7,353 | 15 Oct | 30 Mar | 21 |

[^3]Appendix Table A-1. Continued.

|  |  |  | Median migration date |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Population <br> estimate | $95 \%$ CI | Early migrants | Late migrants | Percentage |
| migrating late |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix Table A-1. Continued.

| Stream and MY | Population estimate | 95\% CI | Median migration date |  | Percentage migrating late |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Early migrants | Late migrants |  |
| Upper Grande Ronde River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 34 | 13 | $24 \mathrm{Oct}^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $29 \mathrm{Mar}^{\text {e }}$ | 76 |
| 2010 | 20,763 | 1,938 | 26 Oct | 6 Apr | 78 |
| 2011 | 26,066 | 2,256 | 2 Nov | 25 Mar | 56 |
| 2012 | 55,814 | 4,349 | 11 Oct | 22 Mar | 68 |

Appendix Table A-2. Dates of arrival at Lower Granite Dam (LGD) for spring Chinook salmon smolts PIT-tagged form upper rearing areas during summer and winter, and at screw traps as early and late migrants during migratory years 1993-2012. Numbers of fish detected at Lower Granite Dam were expanded for spillway flow to calculate median arrival date.

| Stream and MY | Tag <br> group | Migration period | Number tagged | Number detected at LGD | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Summer | All | 1,094 | 125 | 18 May | 29 Apr | 26 Jun |
| 1994 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 91 | 11 May | 13 Apr | 26 Jul |
| 1995 | Summer | All | 999 | 88 | 25 May | 26 Apr | 2 Jul |
|  | Fall | Early | 502 | 65 | 7 May | 22 Apr | 19 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 483 | 57 | 13 May | 27 Apr | 4 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 348 | 88 | 5 Jun | 1 May | 8 Jul |
| 1996 | Summer | All | 499 | 60 | 1 May | 17 Apr | 29 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 566 | 76 | 29 Apr | 14 Apr | 4 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 295 | 14 | 18 May | 19 Apr | 14 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 277 | 70 | 17 May | 17 Apr | 13 Jun |
| 1997 | Summer | All | 583 | 51 | 14 May | 24 Apr | 10 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 403 | 40 | 12 May | 17 Apr | 1 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 102 | 5 | 17 May | 27 Apr | 15 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 78 | 22 | 26 May | 28 Apr | 1 Jun |
| 1998 | Summer | All | 499 | 43 | 17 May | 24 Apr | 4 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 598 | 66 | 1 May | 3 Apr | 3 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 438 | 57 | 11 May | 15 Apr | 15 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 453 | 109 | 21 May | 26 Apr | 26 Jun |
| 1999 | Summer | All | 502 | 20 | 26 May | 26 Apr | 26 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 656 | 41 | 23 May | 19 Apr | 28 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 494 | 35 | 29 May | 23 Apr | 9 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 502 | 54 | 21 May | 20 Apr | 20 Jun |
| 2000 | Summer | All | 497 | 30 | 7 May | 12 Apr | 7 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 677 | 56 | 3 May | 12 Apr | 29 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 22 | 9 May | 25 Apr | 1 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 431 | 52 | 12 May | 21 Apr | 2 Jul |
| 2001 | Summer | All | 498 | 33 | 17 May | 28 Apr | 18 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 494 | 57 | 10 May | 27 Apr | 18 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 538 | 27 | 1 Jun | 4 May | 6 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 329 | 100 | 30 May | 29 Apr | 13 Jul |
| 2002 | Summer | All | 502 | 17 | 6 May | 15 Apr | 22 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 515 | 20 | 6 May | 16 Apr | 20 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 449 | 15 | 14 May | 24 Apr | 26 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 217 | 27 | 26 May | 17 Apr | 1 Jul |

Appendix Table A-2. Continued.

| Stream and MY | Tag group | Migration period | Number tagged | Number detected at LGD | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Catherine Creek (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | Summer | All | 501 | 17 | 16 May | 14 Apr | 9 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 1,196 | 59 | 18 May | 14 Apr | 31 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 531 | 25 | 22 May | 18 Apr | 6 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 576 | 95 | 25 May | 13 Apr | 23 Jun |
| 2004 | Summer | All | 467 | 30 | 15 May | 22 Apr | 25 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 524 | 45 | 21 May | 15 Apr | 15 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 502 | 66 | 21 May | 23 Apr | 8 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 525 | 172 | 29 May | 22 Apr | 14 Jul |
| 2005 | Summer | All | 495 | 21 | 8 May | 20 Apr | 2 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 544 | 43 | 7 May | 14 Apr | 2 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 529 | 28 | 21 May | 18 Apr | 20 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 410 | 82 | 31 May | 26 Apr | 20 Jun |
| 2006 | Summer | All | 523 | 7 | 16 May | 28 Apr | 19 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 15 | 4 May | 23 Apr | 10 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 19 | 15 May | 26 Apr | 9 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 360 | 34 | 4 Jun | 2 May | 22 Jun |
| 2007 | Summer | All | 501 | 6 | 23 Apr | 19 Apr | 19 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 26 | 2 May | 16 Apr | 15 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 12 | 13 May | 21 Apr | 20 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 363 | 42 | 13 May | 1 May | 13 Jun |
| 2008 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 17 | 25 May | 30 Apr | 2 Jul |
|  | Fall | Early | 499 | 18 | 13 May | 4 May | 15 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 23 | 18 May | 30 Apr | 19 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 484 | 45 | 20 May | 30 Apr | 4 Jul |
| 2009 | Summer | All | 997 | 50 | 10 May | 12 Apr | 13 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 54 | 8 May | 4 Apr | 8 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 15 | 19 May | 3 May | 1 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 498 | 73 | 20 May | 28 Apr | 25 Jun |
| 2010 | Summer | All | 997 | 24 | 4 Jun | 24 Apr | 21 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 826 | 33 | 21 May | 25 Apr | 1 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 498 | 27 | 25 May | 1 May | 24 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 571 | 65 | 20 May | 25 Apr | 2 Jul |
| 2011 | Summer | All | 992 | 48 | 8 May | 31 Mar | 25 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 499 | 34 | 11 May | 27 Apr | 3 Jul |
|  | Winter | Late | 497 | 32 | 12 May | 28 Apr | 2 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 430 | 69 | 9 Jun | 22 Apr | 3 Jul |
| 2012 | Summer | All | 998 | 39 | 5 May | 11 Apr | 20 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 1153 | 66 | 28 Apr | 31 Mar | 3 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 501 | 21 | 14 May | 17 Apr | 10 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 1033 | 89 | 16 May | 4 Apr | 28 Jun |

Appendix Table A-2. Continued.

| $\underline{\text { Stream and MY }}$ | Tag <br> group | Migration period | Number tagged | Number detected at LGD | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Imnaha River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 74 | 14 May | 15 Apr | 23 Jun |
| 1994 | Summer | All | 998 | 65 | 8 May | 20 Apr | 11 Aug |
| 1995 | Summer | All | 996 | 41 | 2 May | 10 Apr | 7 Jul |
| 1996 | Summer | All | 997 | 158 | 26 Apr | 14 Apr | 12 Jun |
| 1997 | Summer | All | 1,017 | 98 | 19 Apr | 31 Mar | 2 Jun |
| 1998 | Summer | All | 1,009 | 159 | 29 Apr | 3 Apr | 24 May |
| 1999 | Summer | All | 1,009 | 41 | 8 May | 17 Apr | 3 Jun |
| 2000 | Summer | All | 982 | 63 | 2 May | 12 Apr | 16 Jun |
| 2001 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 159 | 30 Apr | 8 Apr | 28 May |
| 2002 | Summer | All | 1,001 | 15 | 4 May | 15 Apr | 31 May |
| 2003 | Summer | All | 1,003 | 43 | 8 May | 17 Apr | 31 May |
| 2004 | Summer | All | 998 | 81 | 4 May | 18 Apr | 8 Jun |
| 2005 | Summer | All | 1,001 | 90 | 2 May | 5 Apr | 11 Jun |
| 2006 | Summer | All | 1,011 | 40 | 30 Apr | 3 Apr | 4 Jun |
| 2007 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 59 | 27 Apr | 5 Apr | 24 May |
| 2008 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 68 | 7 May | 14 Apr | 1 Jun |
| 2009 | Summer | All | 989 | 85 | 6 May | 4 Apr | 16 Jun |
| 2010 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 35 | 14 May | 23 Apr | 24 Jun |
| 2011 | Summer | All | 997 | 68 | 6 May | 29 Mar | 16 Jun |
| 2012 | Summer | All | 998 | 59 | 27 Apr | 30 Mar | 30 May |
| Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Summer | All | 997 | 136 | 4 May | 17 Apr | 1 Jun |
| 1994 | Summer | All | 725 | 77 | 2 May | 19 Apr | 7 Jun |
| 1995 | Summer | All | 1,002 | 115 | 2 May | 8 Apr | 19 Jun |
| 1996 | Summer | All | 977 | 129 | 15 May | 17 Apr | 19 Jun |
| 1997 | Summer | All | 527 | 43 | 25 Apr | 9 Apr | 21 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 519 | 53 | 22 Apr | 2 Apr | 13 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 390 | 60 | 2 May | 15 Apr | 27 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 476 | 109 | 25 Apr | 10 Apr | 22 May |
| 1998 | Summer | All | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - |  |  | - |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 109 | 21 Apr | 31 Mar | 13 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 504 | 96 | 29 Apr | 4 Apr | 24 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 466 | 185 | 28 Apr | 4 Apr | 1 Jul |
| 1999 | Summer | All | 506 | 19 | 15 May | 29 Mar | 29 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 501 | 40 | 26 Apr | 31 Mar | 18 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 491 | 39 | 10 May | 6 Apr | 7 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 600 | 88 | 12 May | 9 Apr | 8 Jul |
| 2000 | Summer | All | 509 | 36 | 8 May | 13 Apr | 3 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 514 | 59 | 18 Apr | 3 Apr | 13 May |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ No tag group.

Appendix Table A-2. Continued.

| Stream and MY | Tag <br> group | Migration period | Number tagged | Number detected at LGD | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Lostine River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | Winter | Late | 511 | 51 | 9 May | 20 Apr | 2 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 355 | 65 | 22 May | 14 Apr | 16 Jul |
| 2001 | Summer | All | 489 | 87 | 9 May | 10 Apr | 12 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 139 | 27 Apr | 12 Apr | 18 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 113 | 14 May | 16 Apr | 19 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 445 | 246 | 12 May | 21 Apr | 4 Jul |
| 2002 | Summer | All | 501 | 23 | 20 Apr | 28 Mar | 29 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 501 | 37 | 17 Apr | 30 Mar | 5 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 564 | 22 | 7 May | 11 Apr | 23 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 406 | 61 | 7 May | 15 Apr | 11 Jun |
| 2003 | Summer | All | 509 | 21 | 8 May | 11 Apr | 3 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 900 | 77 | 18 Apr | 25 Mar | 27 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 491 | 42 | 15 May | 13 Apr | 8 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 527 | 107 | 4 May | 3 Apr | 4 Jul |
| 2004 | Summer | All | 525 | 26 | 7 May | 14 Apr | 15 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 70 | 11 May | 23 Apr | 27 May |
| 2005 | Summer | All | 500 | 49 | 28 Apr | 5 Apr | 18 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 103 | 20 Apr | 5 Apr | 9 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 72 | 9 May | 12 Apr | 13 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 464 | 174 | 8 May | 13 Apr | 19 Jun |
| 2006 | Summer | All | 1,105 | 29 | 28 Apr | 5 Apr | 9 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 495 | 29 | 22 Apr | 2 Apr | 10 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 501 | 27 | 12 May | 20 Apr | 31 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 517 | 112 | 11 May | 6 Apr | 3 Jun |
| 2007 | Summer | All | 500 | 27 | 4 May | 5 Apr | 21 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 37 | 17 Apr | 27 Mar | 12 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 39 | 12 May | 17 Apr | 25 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 505 | 109 | 11 May | 18 Apr | 1 Jun |
| 2008 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 71 | 8 May | 10 Apr | 14 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 499 | 69 | 1 May | 7 Apr | 22 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 47 | 19 May | 24 Apr | 30 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 499 | 130 | 12 May | 15 Apr | 11 Jun |
| 2009 | Summer | All | 989 | 71 | 28 Apr | 2 Apr | 21 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 501 | 59 | 25 Apr | 5 Apr | 28 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 494 | 34 | 31 May | 2 May | 30 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 591 | 163 | 18 May | 4 Apr | 23 Jun |
| 2010 | Summer | All | 998 | 23 | 15 May | 24 Apr | 17 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 1,102 | 45 | 30 Apr | 19 Apr | 17 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 36 | 22 May | 30 Apr | 2 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 1,085 | 174 | 19 May | 19 Apr | 25 Jun |

Appendix Table A-2. Continued.

| Stream and MY | Tag <br> group | Migration period | Number tagged | Number detected at LGD | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Lostine River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | Summer | All | 997 | 58 | 4 May | 4 Apr | 26 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 1100 | 119 | 28 Apr | 28 Mar | 22 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 47 | 16 May | 20 Apr | 10 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 1751 | 421 | 13 May | 25 Mar | 20 Jun |
| 2012 | Summer | All | 1000 | 27 | 12 May | 30 Mar | 22 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 1890 | 117 | 26 Apr | 25 Mar | 3 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 20 | 18 May | 5 Apr | 11 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 1848 | 364 | 15 May | 27 Mar | 25 Jun |
| Middle Grande Ronde River (rkm 164) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | Spring | Late | 167 | 21 | 23 May | 17 May | 18 Jun |
| 2003 | Spring | Late | 250 | 90 | 16 May | 22 Apr | 18 Jun |
| 2004 | Spring | Late | 488 | 286 | 5 May | 21 Apr | 5 Jun |
| 2005 | Spring | Late | 236 | 118 | 3 May | 6 Apr | 29 May |
| 2006 | Spring | Late | 400 | 107 | 16 May | 8 Apr | 30 May |
| Middle Grande Ronde River (rkm 160) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | Spring | Late | 71 | 28 | 9 May | 3 Apr | 27 Jun |
| 2012 | Spring | Late | 437 | 102 | 5 May | 28 Mar | 14 Jun |
| Minam River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Summer | All | 994 | 113 | 4 May | 18 Apr | 3 Jun |
| 1994 | Summer | All | 997 | 120 | 29 Apr | 18 Apr | 13 Aug |
| 1995 | Summer | All | 996 | 71 | 2 May | 8 Apr | 7 Jun |
| 1996 | Summer | All | 998 | 117 | 24 Apr | 10 Apr | 7 Jun |
| 1997 | Summer | All | 589 | 49 | 16 Apr | 3 Apr | 13 May |
| 1998 | Summer | All | 992 | 123 | 29 Apr | 3 Apr | 30 May |
| 1999 | Summer | All | 1,006 | 50 | 29 Apr | 31 Mar | 2 Jun |
| 2000 | Summer | All | 998 | 74 | 3 May | 10 Apr | 29 May |
| 2001 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 178 | 8 May | 8 Apr | 12 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 300 | 107 | 28 Apr | 12 Apr | 26 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 539 | 274 | 14 May | 16 Apr | 18 Aug |
| 2002 | Summer | All | 994 | 30 | 3 May | 16 Apr | 31 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 537 | 35 | 18 Apr | 25 Mar | 9 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 382 | 42 | 30 May | 8 Apr | 23 Jun |
| 2003 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 23 | 13 May | 13 Apr | 1 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 849 | 82 | 18 Apr | 26 Mar | 23 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 512 | 95 | 15 May | 31 Mar | 1 Jun |
| 2004 | Summer | All | 996 | 36 | 1 May | 7 Apr | 31 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 58 | 28 Apr | 2 Apr | 21 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 412 | 164 | 9 May | 4 Apr | 14 Jun |
| 2005 | Summer | All | 1,002 | 95 | 6 May | 8 Apr | 8 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 498 | 115 | 23 Apr | 5 Apr | 18 May |

Appendix Table A-2. Continued.

| Stream and MY | Tag <br> group | Migration period | Number tagged | Number detected at LGD | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Minam River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | Spring | Late | 374 | 135 | 9 May | 13 Apr | 19 Jun |
| 2006 | Summer | All | 1,007 | 50 | 8 May | 11 Apr | 6 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 499 | 45 | 19 Apr | 4 Apr | 16 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 401 | 74 | 17 May | 21 Apr | 7 Jun |
| 2007 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 65 | 2 May | 4 Apr | 22 May |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 28 | 16 Apr | 30 Mar | 12 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 217 | 40 | 12 May | 5 Apr | 2 Jun |
| 2008 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 87 | 7 May | 17 Apr | 11 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 61 | 2 May | 2 Apr | 2 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 496 | 118 | 8 May | 16 Apr | 1 Jun |
| 2009 | Summer | All | 995 | 90 | 12 May | 11 Apr | 6 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 500 | 82 | 25 Apr | 27 Mar | 21 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 415 | 99 | 19 May | 7 Apr | 3 Jun |
| 2010 | Summer | All | 985 | 28 | 16 May | 23 Apr | 16 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 945 | 51 | 1 May | 22 Apr | 30 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 1,059 | 182 | 17 May | 22 Apr | 24 Jun |
| 2011 | Summer | All | 999 | 53 | 10 May | 3 Apr | 4 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 932 | 123 | 27 Apr | 27 Mar | 20 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 1,092 | 236 | 17 May | 3 Apr | 27 Jun |
| 2012 | Summer | All | 999 | 52 | 27 Apr | 1 Apr | 8 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 1,299 | 110 | 19 Apr | 23 Mar | 20 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 1,018 | 202 | 17 May | 10 Apr | 27 Jun |
| Upper Grande Ronde River (rkm 299) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Summer | All | 918 | 117 | 17 May | 23 Apr | 20 Jun |
| 1994 | Summer | All | 1,001 | 57 | 29 May | 23 Apr | 29 Aug |
|  | Fall | Early | 405 | 65 | 30 Apr | 21 Apr | 23 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 505 | 27 | 29 May | 28 Apr | 16 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 573 | 93 | 15 May | 20 Apr | 6 Aug |
| $1995{ }^{\text {b }}$ | Summer | All | 1,000 | 89 | 29 May | 12 Apr | 1 Jul |
|  | Fall | Early | 424 | 57 | 5 May | 11 Apr | 2 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 433 | 30 | 28 May | 17 Apr | 4 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 368 | 109 | 2 Jun | 15 Apr | 12 Jul |
| 1996 | Fall | Early | 4 | 0 | - | , | - |
|  | Spring | Late | 327 | 47 | 16 May | 19 Apr | 6 Jun |
| 1997 | Fall | Early | 27 | 2 | 23 Apr | 22 Apr | 24 Apr |
|  | Spring | Late | 1 | 1 | 14 May | - | - |
| 1998 | Fall | Early | 592 | 81 | 27 Apr | 4 Apr | 25 May |
|  | Winter | Late | 124 | 5 | 5 Jun | 11 May | 26 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 513 | 116 | 5 May | 8 Apr | 5 Jun |

Appendix Table A-2. Continued.

| Stream and MY | Tag group | Migration period | Number tagged | Number detected at LGD | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Upper Grande Ronde River (rkm 299) (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | Fall | Early | 500 | 42 | 29 Apr | 31 Mar | 1 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 420 | 13 | 27 May | 12 May | 20 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 535 | 83 | 4 May | 18 Apr | 20 Jun |
| 2000 | Fall | Early | 493 | 45 | 8 May | 12 Apr | 6 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 500 | 22 | 26 May | 9 May | 16 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 495 | 91 | 11 May | 15 Apr | 20 Jul |
| 2001 | Spring | Late | 6 | 4 | 17 May | 4 May | 20 May |
| 2002 | Fall | Early | 344 | 20 | 20 May | 17 Apr | 2 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 538 | 71 | 31 May | 14 Apr | 28 Jun |
| 2003 | Fall | Early | 584 | 46 | 1 May | 3 Apr | 26 May |
|  | Spring | Late | 571 | 95 | 17 May | 31 Mar | 2 Jun |
| 2004 | Fall | Early | 180 | 24 | 5 May | 15 Apr | 3 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 301 | 68 | 21 May | 26 Apr | 17 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 525 | 173 | 21 May | 17 Apr | 3 Jun |
| 2005 | Fall | Early | 368 | 39 | 7 May | 20 Apr | 1 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 449 | 46 | 30 May | 3 May | 19 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 615 | 131 | 19 May | 19 Apr | 13 Jun |
| 2006 | Fall | Early | 521 | 29 | 18 May | 16 Apr | 6 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 464 | 12 | 3 Jun | 20 May | 14 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 505 | 49 | 20 May | 30 Mar | 20 Jun |
| 2007 | Fall | Early | 534 | 54 | 11 May | 14 Apr | 3 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 482 | 37 | 15 May | 27 Apr | 6 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 501 | 79 | 14 May | 13 Apr | 11 Jun |
| 2008 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 55 | 29 May | 8 Apr | 23 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 159 | 16 | 18 May | 6 May | 10 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 83 | 3 | 3 Jun | 20 May | 9 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 510 | 49 | 30 May | 4 May | 25 Jun |
| 2009 | Fall | Early | 4 | 0 | - | - | - |
|  | Spring | Late | 10 | 1 | 19 May | 19 May | 19 May |
| 2010 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 73 | 24 May | 27 Apr | 25 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 486 | 37 | 13 May | 27 Apr | 15 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 498 | 19 | 7 Jun | 11 May | 26 Jun |
|  | Spring | Late | 504 | 80 | 21 May | 28 Apr | 24 Jun |
| 2011 | Summer | All | 993 | 50 | 14 Jun | 2 Apr | 24 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 499 | 51 | 13 May | 4 Apr | 25 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 431 | 29 | 20 Jun | 4 May | 4 Jul |
|  | Spring | Late | 672 | 115 | 5 Jun | 24 Apr | 26 Jun |
| 2012 | Summer | All | 1,000 | 25 | 18 May | 14 Apr | 8 Jun |
|  | Fall | Early | 606 | 50 | 17 May | 28 Mar | 10 Jun |
|  | Winter | Late | 258 | 4 | 16 May | 18 Apr | 22 May |

Appendix Table A-2. Continued.

| $\underline{\text { Stream and MY }}$ | Tag group | Migration period | Number tagged | Number detected at LGD | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Upper Grande Ronde River (rkm 299) (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | Spring | Late | 632 | 84 | 19 May | 28 Mar | 10 Jun |
| Wenaha and South Fork Wenaha rivers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | Summer | All | 749 | 84 | 28 Apr | 14 Apr | 15 May |
| 1994 | Summer | All | 998 | 93 | 24 Apr | 18 Apr | 6 Jun |
| 1995 | Summer | All | 999 | 76 | 26 Apr | 9 Apr | 15 May |
| 1996 | Summer | All | 997 | 105 | 21 Apr | 13 Apr | 16 May |
| 1997 | Summer | All | 62 | 10 | 16 Apr | 9 Apr | 23 Apr |

Appendix Table A-3. Number of PIT tagged spring Chinook salmon released by tag group and stream, and survival probability to Lower Granite Dam during migratory years 1993-2012. Summer and winter tag groups were collected upstream of screw traps, while fall and spring tag groups were collected at screw traps. Asterisks indicate that low detections precluded calculation of survival probabilities.

| Tag group and stream | MY | Number released | Survival probability (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summer |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek | 1993 | 1,094 | 0.178 (0.151-0.212) |
|  | 1994 | 1,000 | 0.226 (0.186-0.279) |
|  | 1995 | 999 | 0.154 (0.129-0.184) |
|  | 1996 | 499 | 0.277 (0.205-0.406) |
|  | 1997 | 583 | 0.176 (0.139-0.225) |
|  | 1998 | 499 | 0.211 (0.164-0.276) |
|  | 1999 | 502 | 0.157 (0.122-0.212) |
|  | 2000 | 497 | 0.151 (0.109-0.217) |
|  | 2001 | 498 | 0.087 (0.063-0.115) |
|  | 2002 | 502 | 0.109 (0.079-0.157) |
|  | 2003 | 501 | 0.075 (0.052-0.106) |
|  | 2004 | 467 | 0.072 (0.051-0.098) |
|  | 2005 | 495 | 0.057 (0.038-0.082) |
|  | 2006 | 523 | 0.057 (0.033-0.128) |
|  | 2007 | 501 | $0.042 \quad(\mathrm{SE}=0.009)$ |
|  | 2008 | 1,000 | 0.080 (0.053-0.136) |
|  | 2009 | 997 | 0.147 (0.116-0.178) |
|  | 2010 | 995 | 0.107 (0.074-0.168) |
|  | 2011 | 992 | 0.128 (0.104-0.158) |
|  | 2012 | 998 | 0.116 (0.090-0.154) |
| Imnaha River | 1993 | 1,000 | 0.141 (0.115-0.180) |
|  | 1994 | 998 | 0.136 (0.109-0.173) |
|  | 1995 | 996 | 0.083 (0.064-0.108) |
|  | 1996 | 997 | 0.268 (0.222-0.330) |
|  | 1997 | 1,017 | 0.216 (0.179-0.276) |
|  | 1998 | 1,009 | 0.325 (0.290-0.366) |
|  | 1999 | 1,009 | 0.173 (0.141-0.219) |
|  | 2000 | 982 | 0.141 (0.115-0.172) |
|  | 2001 | 1,000 | 0.181 (0.158-0.206) |
|  | 2002 | 1,001 | 0.106 (0.079-0.160) |
|  | 2003 | 1,003 | 0.141 (0.110-0.185) |
|  | 2004 | 998 | 0.109 (0.090-0.131) |
|  | 2005 | 1,001 | 0.123 (0.103-0.146) |

Appendix Table A-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | MY | Number released | Survival probability (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summer |  |  |  |
| Imnaha River (cont.) | 2006 | 1,011 | 0.144 (0.117-0.180) |
|  | 2007 | 1,000 | 0.178 (0.147-0.218) |
|  | 2008 | 1,000 | 0.189 (0.157-0.228) |
|  | 2009 | 989 | 0.219 (0.187-0.251) |
|  | 2010 | 1,000 | 0.102 (0.079-0.133) |
|  | 2011 | 997 | 0.172 (0.145-0.204) |
|  | 2012 | 998 | 0.182 (0.151-0.221) |
| Lostine River | 1993 | 997 | 0.250 (0.214-0.296) |
|  | 1994 | 725 | 0.237 (0.188-0.309) |
|  | 1995 | 1,002 | 0.215 (0.183-0.255) |
|  | 1996 | 977 | 0.237 (0.191-0.306) |
|  | 1997 | 527 | 0.213 (0.160-0.310) |
|  | 1998 | 0 | - |
|  | 1999 | 506 | 0.180 (0.145-0.234) |
|  | 2000 | 509 | 0.212 (0.159-0.294) |
|  | 2001 | 489 | 0.210 (0.175-0.248) |
|  | 2002 | 501 | 0.154 (0.117-0.209) |
|  | 2003 | 509 | 0.155 (0.109-0.238) |
|  | 2004 | 525 | 0.065 (0.046-0.089) |
|  | 2005 | 500 | 0.129 (0.101-0.163) |
|  | 2006 | 1,105 | 0.113 (0.091-0.143) |
|  | 2007 | 500 | 0.159 (0.112-0.245) |
|  | 2008 | 1,000 | 0.183 (0.155-0.218) |
|  | 2009 | 988 | 0.208 (0.176-0.241) |
|  | 2010 | 997 | 0.114 (0.089-0.152) |
|  | 2011 | 997 | 0.139 (0.115-0.168) |
|  | 2012 | 1,000 | 0.086 (0.066-0.113) |
| Minam River | 1993 | 994 | 0.187 (0.115-0.230) |
|  | 1994 | 997 | 0.293 (0.249-0.350) |
|  | 1995 | 996 | 0.153 (0.124-0.191) |
|  | 1996 | 998 | 0.208 (0.169-0.264) |
|  | 1997 | 589 | 0.270 (0.181-0.693) |
|  | 1998 | 992 | 0.228 (0.199-0.259) |
|  | 1999 | 1,006 | 0.181 (0.155-0.210) |
|  | 2000 | 998 | 0.239 (0.199-0.292) |
|  | 2001 | 1,000 | 0.228 (0.202-0.256) |
|  | 2002 | 994 | 0.093 (0.074-0.119) |
|  | 2003 | 1,000 | 0.061 (0.044-0.088) |

Appendix Table A-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | MY | Number released | Survival probability (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summer |  |  |  |
| Minam River (cont.) | 2004 | 996 | 0.062 (0.047-0.080) |
|  | 2005 | 1,002 | 0.136 (0.114-0.160) |
|  | 2006 | 1,007 | 0.145 (0.119-0.178) |
|  | 2007 | 1,000 | 0.175 (0.147-0.211) |
|  | 2008 | 1,000 | 0.193 (0.166-0.224) |
|  | 2009 | 995 | 0.191 (0.162-0.219) |
|  | 2010 | 985 | 0.131 (0.092-0.205) |
|  | 2011 | 999 | 0.127 (0.102-0.158) |
|  | 2012 | 999 | 0.110 (0.090-0.134) |
| Upper Grande Ronde River | 1993 | 918 | 0.287 (0.237-0.365) |
|  | 1994 | 1,001 | 0.144 (0.110-0.197) |
|  | 1995 | 1,000 | 0.173 (0.144-0.207) |
|  | 2008 | 1,000 | 0.264 (0.224-0.319) |
|  | 2009 | 0 | - |
|  | 2010 | 1,000 | 0.235 (0.195-0.289) |
|  | 2011 | 993 | 0.125 (0.101-0.156) |
|  | 2012 | 1,000 | 0.083 (0.063-0.111) |
| Wenaha/SF Wenaha | 1993 | 749 | 0.214 (0.181-0.255) |
|  | 1994 | 998 | 0.144 (0.121-0.172) |
|  | 1995 | 999 | 0.146 (0.119-0.180) |
|  | 1996 | 997 | 0.212 (0.172-0.271) |
|  | 1997 | 62 | (a) |
| Fall trap |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek | 1995 | 502 | 0.238 (0.193-0.297) |
|  | 1996 | 508 | 0.358 (0.296-0.446) |
|  | 1997 | 399 | 0.365 (0.256-0.588) |
|  | 1998 | 582 | 0.238 (0.194-0.293) |
|  | 1999 | 644 | 0.202 (0.166-0.250) |
|  | 2000 | 677 | 0.212 (0.170-0.269) |
|  | 2001 | 508 | 0.130 (0.103-0.162) |
|  | 2002 | 514 | 0.154 (0.114-0.245) |
|  | 2003 | 849 | 0.120 (0.093-0.160) |
|  | 2004 | 524 | 0.126 (0.099-0.158) |
|  | 2005 | 544 | 0.122 (0.093-0.161) |
|  | 2006 | 500 | $0.074 \quad(\mathrm{SE}=0.012)$ |
|  | 2007 | 500 | 0.203 (0.143-0.340) |
|  | 2008 | 499 | 0.153 (0.109-0.256) |
|  | 2009 | 500 | 0.269 (0.214-0.324) |

[^4]Appendix Table A-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | MY | Number released | Survival probability (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall trap |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek (cont.) | 2010 | 821 | 0.180 (0.132-0.281) |
|  | 2011 | 499 | 0.156 (0.120-0.207) |
|  | 2012 | 1,153 | 0.188 (0.155-0.232) |
| Lostine River | 1997 | 519 | 0.312 (0.247-0.465) |
|  | 1998 | 500 | 0.448 (0.391-0.514) |
|  | 1999 | 501 | 0.422 (0.349-0.538) |
|  | 2000 | 514 | 0.317 (0.267-0.380) |
|  | 2001 | 498 | 0.335 (0.294-0.378) |
|  | 2002 | 500 | 0.326 (0.258-0.455) |
|  | 2003 | 854 | 0.287 (0.236-0.365) |
|  | 2004 | 0 | - |
|  | 2005 | 500 | 0.267 (0.227-0.310) |
|  | 2006 | 495 | 0.269 (0.207-0.406) |
|  | 2007 | 500 | 0.223 (0.172-0.301) |
|  | 2008 | 499 | 0.265 (0.221-0.317) |
|  | 2009 | 501 | 0.312 (0.257-0.367) |
|  | 2010 | 1,099 | 0.265 (0.191-0.427) |
|  | 2011 | 1,100 | 0.251 (0.221-0.286) |
|  | 2012 | 1,890 | 0.162 (0.143-0.184) |
| Minam River | 2001 | 300 | 0.427 (0.371-0.485) |
|  | 2002 | 537 | 0.249 (0.201-0.326) |
|  | 2003 | 849 | 0.238 (0.199-0.292) |
|  | 2004 | 500 | 0.183 (0.150-0.219) |
|  | 2005 | 498 | 0.293 (0.253-0.337) |
|  | 2006 | 499 | 0.245 (0.205-0.304) |
|  | 2007 | 500 | 0.250 (0.186-0.368) |
|  | 2008 | 500 | 0.283 (0.235-0.344) |
|  | 2009 | 500 | 0.387 (0.333-0.442) |
|  | 2010 | 944 | 0.366 (0.243-0.676) |
|  | 2011 | 932 | 0.286 (0.254-0.320) |
|  | 2012 | 1,299 | 0.225 (0.196-0.259) |
| Upper Grande Ronde River | 1994 | 405 | 0.348 (0.284-0.432) |
|  | 1995 | 424 | 0.228 (0.184-0.281) |
|  | 1996 | 5 | (a) |
|  | 1997 | 27 | (a) |
|  | 1998 | 590 | 0.286 (0.244-0.334) |
|  | 1999 | 498 | 0.269 (0.229-0.315) |
|  | 2000 | 493 | 0.341 (0.260-0.476) |
|  | 2002 | 344 | 0.308 (0.198-0.653) |
|  | 2003 | 581 | 0.184 (0.143-0.247) |
|  | 2004 | 180 | 0.164 (0.114-0.225) |

[^5]Appendix Table A-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | MY | Number released | Survival probability (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper Grande Ronde River (cont.) |  |  |  |
|  | 2005 | 368 | 0.138 (0.105-0.177) |
|  | 2006 | 521 | 0.171 (0.136-0.232) |
|  | 2007 | 534 | 0.242 (0.199-0.301) |
|  | 2008 | 159 | 0.338 (0.257-0.450) |
|  | 2009 | 4 | (a) |
|  | 2010 | 485 | 0.209 (0.162-0.275) |
|  | 2011 | 499 | 0.225 (0.184-0.273) |
|  | 2012 | 606 | 0.196 (0.160-0.239) |
| Wallowa River | 1999 | 45 | (a) |
| Winter |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek | 1995 | 482 | 0.279 (0.230-0.343) |
|  | 1996 | 295 | 0.312 (0.163-1.008) |
|  | 1997 | 102 | 0.078 (0.033-0.222) |
|  | 1998 | 437 | 0.278 (0.226-0.345) |
|  | 1999 | 493 | 0.285 (0.230-0.367) |
|  | 2000 | 500 | 0.138 (0.102-0.191) |
|  | 2001 | 522 | 0.077 (0.054-0.106) |
|  | 2002 | 431 | 0.203 (0.129-0.476) |
|  | 2003 | 524 | 0.152 (0.109-0.231) |
|  | 2004 | 502 | 0.178 (0.145-0.215) |
|  | 2005 | 529 | 0.112 (0.079-0.178) |
|  | 2006 | 500 | 0.125 (0.080-0.312) |
|  | 2007 | 500 | 0.088 (0.047-0.343) |
|  | 2008 | 500 | 0.144 (0.108-0.207) |
|  | 2009 | 500 | 0.110 (0.063-0.157) |
|  | 2010 | 498 | 0.183 (0.135-0.261) |
|  | 2011 | 497 | 0.174 (0.135-0.227) |
|  | 2012 | 501 | 0.099 (0.072-0.135) |
| Lostine River | 1997 | 388 | 0.445 (0.334-0.650) |
|  | 1998 | 504 | 0.349 (0.301-0.403) |
|  | 1999 | 491 | 0.305 (0.259-0.363) |
|  | 2000 | 511 | 0.397 (0.296-0.576) |
|  | 2001 | 499 | 0.284 (0.245-0.326) |
|  | 2002 | 564 | 0.246 (0.170-0.464) |
|  | 2003 | 501 | 0.226 (0.167-0.337) |
|  | 2004 | 500 | 0.189 (0.156-0.227) |
|  | 2005 | 500 | 0.201 (0.166-0.240) |
|  | 2006 | 501 | 0.177 (0.127-0.304) |
|  | 2007 | 500 | 0.135 (0.101-0.186) |
|  | 2008 | 500 | 0.328 (0.270-0.417) |
|  | 2009 | 494 | 0.192 (0.143-0.240) |

[^6]Appendix Table A-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | MY | Number released | Survival probability (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter |  |  |  |
| Lostine River (cont.) | 2010 | 500 | 0.243 (0.187-0.330) |
|  | 2011 | 500 | 0.196 (0.158-0.242) |
|  | 2012 | 500 | 0.076 (0.053-0.107) |
| Upper Grande Ronde River | 1994 | 505 | 0.248 (0.152-0.519) |
|  | 1995 | 432 | 0.151 (0.115-0.199) |
|  | 1998 | 124 | $0.113 \quad(\mathrm{SE}=0.028)$ |
|  | 1999 | 420 | 0.118 (0.083-0.183) |
|  | 2000 | 500 | 0.133 (0.099-0.183) |
|  | 2004 | 301 | 0.296 (0.245-0.353) |
|  | 2005 | 449 | 0.207 (0.159-0.306) |
|  | 2006 | 464 | 0.080 (0.052-0.183) |
|  | 2007 | 482 | 0.169 (0.132-0.226) |
|  | 2008 | 83 | 0.361 (0.124-5.029) |
|  | 2009 | 0 | - |
|  | 2010 | 498 | 0.125 (0.092-0.172) |
|  | 2011 | 431 | 0.124 (0.094-0.160) |
|  | 2012 | 258 | 0.043 (0.013 = SE) |
| Spring |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek | 1995 | 348 | 0.506 (0.441-0.578) |
|  | 1996 | 276 | 0.591 (0.480-0.755) |
|  | 1997 | 81 | 0.413 (0.292-0.580) |
|  | 1998 | 453 | 0.517 (0.459-0.583) |
|  | 1999 | 502 | 0.448 (0.379-0.545) |
|  | 2000 | 431 | 0.452 (0.359-0.598) |
|  | 2001 | 328 | 0.376 (0.322-0.433) |
|  | 2002 | 217 | 0.527 (0.411-0.750) |
|  | 2003 | 535 | 0.365 (0.312-0.431) |
|  | 2004 | 525 | 0.413 (0.370-0.457) |
|  | 2005 | 410 | 0.445 (0.366-0.569) |
|  | 2006 | 360 | 0.367 (0.290-0.526) |
|  | 2007 | 363 | 0.310 (0.250-0.402) |
|  | 2008 | 484 | 0.380 (0.309-0.506) |
|  | 2009 | 498 | 0.491 (0.379-0.604) |
|  | 2010 | 571 | 0.464 (0.378-0.607) |
|  | 2011 | 430 | 0.422 (0.347-0.535) |
|  | 2012 | 1,033 | 0.302 (.0254-0.370) |
| Lostine River | 1997 | 475 | 0.769 (0.630-1.009) |
|  | 1998 | 484 | 0.784 (0.728-0.845) |
|  | 1999 | 599 | 0.744 (0.664-0.857) |

Appendix Table A-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | MY | Number released | Survival probability (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spring |  |  |  |
| Lostine River (cont.) | 2000 | 355 | 0.660 (0.546-0.823) |
|  | 2001 | 442 | 0.695 (0.648-0.741) |
|  | 2002 | 406 | 0.683 (0.589-0.825) |
|  | 2003 | 482 | 0.495 (0.424-0.591) |
|  | 2004 | 0 | - |
|  | 2005 | 464 | 0.552 (0.503-0.602) |
|  | 2006 | 517 | 0.619 (0.551-0.722) |
|  | 2007 | 505 | 0.589 (0.508-0.706) |
|  | 2008 | 499 | 0.683 (0.616-0.768) |
|  | 2009 | 593 | 0.692 (0.617-0.766) |
|  | 2010 | 1,099 | 0.679 (0.589-0.807) |
|  | 2011 | 1,751 | 0.583 (0.549-0.621) |
|  | 2012 | 1,848 | 0.550 (0.515-0.589) |
| Middle Grande Ronde River | 2001 | 4 | (a) |
|  | 2002 | 167 | 0.776 (0.624-1.073) |
|  | 2003 | 250 | 0.764 (0.668-0.893) |
|  | 2004 | 488 | 0.721 (0.677-0.764) |
|  | 2005 | 236 | 0.698 (0.625-0.776) |
|  | 2006 | 400 | 0.745 (0.666-0.881) |
|  | 2011 | 71 | 0.726 (0.575-0.920) |
|  | 2012 | 437 | 0.677 (0.600-0.770) |
| Minam River | 2001 | 536 | 0.619 (0.576-0.661) |
|  | 2002 | 382 | 0.532 (0.465-0.644) |
|  | 2003 | 512 | 0.476 (0.405-0.577) |
|  | 2004 | 412 | 0.530 (0.480-0.580) |
|  | 2005 | 374 | 0.555 (0.497-0.620) |
|  | 2006 | 401 | 0.543 (0.482-0.630) |
|  | 2007 | 217 | 0.602 (0.519-0.725) |
|  | 2008 | 496 | 0.623 (0.554-0.710) |
|  | 2009 | 500 | 0.618 (0.540-0.697) |
|  | 2010 | 1,059 | 0.636 (0.563-0.734) |
|  | 2011 | 1,092 | 0.595 (0.542-0.659) |
|  | 2012 | 1,018 | 0.504 (0.461-0.554) |
| Upper Grande Ronde River | 1994 | 571 | 0.462 (0.387-0.563) |
|  | 1995 | 368 | 0.609 (0.545-0.683) |
|  | 1996 | 327 | 0.512 (0.404-0.690) |
|  | 1998 | 512 | 0.548 (0.487-0.622) |
|  | 1999 | 528 | 0.538 (0.486-0.601) |
|  | 2000 | 495 | 0.560 (0.472-0.680) |
|  | 2001 | 6 | (a) |
|  | 2002 | 536 | 0.499 (0.416-0.633) |

[^7]Appendix Table A-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | MY | Number <br> released | Survival probability (95\% CI) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spring |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Upper Grande Ronde River (cont.) | 2003 | 571 | $0.397(0.346-0.461)$ |
|  | 2004 | 525 | $0.420(0.376-0.464)$ |
|  | 2005 | 615 | $0.374(0.335-0.418)$ |
|  | 2006 | 505 | $0.398(0.318-0.561)$ |
|  | 2007 | 501 | $0.373(0.307-0.469)$ |
|  | 2008 | 510 | $0.418(0.364-0.495)$ |
|  | 2009 | 10 | $(a)$ |
|  | 2010 | 503 | $0.468(0.401-0.553)$ |
|  | 2011 | 672 | $0.447(0.392-0.512)$ |
|  | 2012 | 632 | $0.405(0.348-0.476)$ |
| a Dasity |  |  |  |

[^8]Appendix Table A-4. Travel time to Lower Granite Dam (LGD) of late migrant juvenile spring Chinook salmon PIT-tagged at screw traps and arriving at Lower Granite Dam the same year. $\operatorname{Min}=$ minimum; $\operatorname{Max}=$ maximum.

|  |  |  |  | Tistance to |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| LGD $(\mathrm{km})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Number time (d) <br>

detected\end{array}\right)\)

[^9]Appendix Table A-4. Continued.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance to <br> LGD (km) | Number <br> detected | Travel time (d) |  |  |

Appendix Table A-4. Continued.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance to |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream and MY | Number <br> dGD (km) | Travel time (d) |  |  |  |
| detected |  | Median | Min | Max |  |
| Upper Grande Ronde |  |  |  |  |  |
| River (rkm 299) (cont.) | 397 |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  | 49 | 59.4 | 37 | 92 |
| 2009 |  | 1 | 54.6 | - | - |
| 2010 |  | 80 | 47.5 | 10 | 90 |
| 2011 | 115 | 57.7 | 5 | 93 |  |
| 2012 |  | 84 | 47.6 | 7 | 86 |

Appendix Table A-5. Overwinter survival rates of spring Chinook salmon parr overwintering upstream of screw traps on Catherine Creek and Lostine and Grande Ronde rivers. Screw traps are located on Catherine Creek at rkm 32, Lostine River at rkm 3, and upper Grande Ronde River at rkm 299, except during MY 1995 when upper Grande Ronde River trap was at rkm 257. Survival rates were calculated by dividing winter tag group survival probability by that of the spring tag group.

|  |  | Overwinter survival in upper rearing areas |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BY | MY | Catherine <br> Creek | Lostine <br> River | Upper Grande <br> Ronde River |
| 1992 | 1994 | - | - | 0.54 |
| 1993 | 1995 | 0.55 | - | 0.25 |
| 1994 | 1996 | 0.53 | - | - |
| 1995 | 1997 | 0.19 | 0.58 | - |
| 1996 | 1998 | 0.54 | 0.45 | 0.21 |
| 1997 | 1999 | 0.64 | 0.41 | 0.22 |
| 1998 | 2000 | 0.31 | 0.60 | 0.24 |
| 1999 | 2001 | 0.20 | 0.41 | - |
| 2000 | 2002 | 0.39 | 0.36 | - |
| 2001 | 2003 | 0.38 | 0.46 | - |
| 2002 | 2004 | 0.43 | 0.30 | 0.70 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 0.55 |
| 2004 | 2006 | 0.34 | 0.29 | 0.20 |
| 2005 | 2007 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.45 |
| 2006 | 2008 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.86 |
| 2007 | 2009 | 0.22 | 0.28 | - |
| 2008 | 2010 | 0.39 | 0.36 | 0.27 |
| 2009 | 2011 | 0.40 | 0.34 | 0.27 |
| 2010 | 2012 | 0.33 | 0.14 | 0.11 |

Appendix Table A-6. Comparisons of overwinter survival of spring Chinook salmon parr in rearing areas upstream (above screw trap) and downstream (below screw trap) on Catherine Creek and Lostine and upper Grande Ronde rivers. Early migrant life history corresponds to overwintering downstream; late migrant life history corresponds to overwintering upstream. Screw traps operated in the same location in each study stream with exception of upper Grande Ronde River trap which operated at rkm 299 in all years but MY 1995 when it was located at rkm 257. Each $P$-value was based on the maximum likelihood ratio test comparing fit of the null model (fall tag group survival = winter tag group survival) to fit of the full model (fall tag group survival $=$ winter tag group survival).

| MY | Catherine Creek |  | Lostine River |  | Upper Grande Ronde River |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area/life history with higher overwinter survival | $P$-value | Area/life history with higher overwinter survival | $P$-value | Area/life history with higher overwinter survival | $P$-value |
| 1994 | - | - | - | - | Equivalent | 0.331 |
| 1995 | Equivalent | 0.278 | - | - | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.020 |
| 1996 | Equivalent | 0.766 | - | - | - | - |
| 1997 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.016 | Equivalent | 0.133 | - | - |
| 1998 | Equivalent | 0.289 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.014 | Downstream/fall migrants | $<0.001$ |
| 1999 | Upstream/spring migrants | 0.025 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.014 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.002 |
| 2000 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.031 | Equivalent | 0.211 | Downstream/fall migrants | <0.001 |
| 2001 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.009 | Equivalent | 0.090 | - | - |
| 2002 | Equivalent | 0.403 | Equivalent | 0.350 | - | - |
| 2003 | Equivalent | 0.283 | Equivalent | 0.263 | - | - |
| 2004 | Upstream/spring migrants | 0.026 | - | - | Upstream/spring migrants | 0.001 |
| 2005 | Equivalent | 0.733 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.021 | Upstream/spring migrants | 0.030 |
| 2006 | Equivalent | 0.061 | Equivalent | 0.144 | Equivalent | 0.070 |
| 2007 | Downstream/fall migrants | <0.001 | Equivalent | 0.115 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.012 |
| 2008 | Equivalent | 0.800 | Equivalent | 0.115 | Equivalent | 0.931 |
| 2009 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.003 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.003 | - | - |
| 2010 | Equivalent | 0.949 | Equivalent | 0.719 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.014 |
| 2011 | Equivalent | 0.655 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.031 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.001 |
| 2012 | Downstream/fall migrants | 0.001 | Downstream/fall migrants | <0.001 | Downstream/fall migrants | <0.001 |

Appendix Table A-7. Estimated number of wild spring Chinook salmon smolt equivalents leaving tributaries during spring, and at Lower Granite Dam (LGD). Brood year represents the year eggs were deposited, while migration year refers to the calendar year smolts emigrated.

| Stream,BY | MY | Early migrants |  |  | Late migrants |  |  | Estimated smolt equivalents leaving tributary | Estimated smolt equivalents at LGD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Migrant abundance estimate | 95\% CI | Survival <br> to LGD | Migrant abundance estimate | 95\% CI | Survival <br> to LGD |  |  |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 1995 | 8,966 | 1,337 | 0.238 | 8,667 | 1,577 | 0.506 | 12,884 | 6,519 |
| 1994 | 1996 | 4,985 | 440 | 0.358 | 1,872 | 529 | 0.591 | 4,892 | 2,891 |
| 1995 | 1997 | 4,029 | 1,118 | 0.365 | 413 | 103 | 0.413 | 3,974 | 1,641 |
| 1996 | 1998 | 7,058 | 1,140 | 0.238 | 2,823 | 403 | 0.517 | 6,072 | 3,139 |
| 1997 | 1999 | 12,607 | 2,010 | 0.202 | 7,704 | 1,115 | 0.448 | 13,388 | 5,998 |
| 1998 | 2000 | 19,769 | 2,156 | 0.212 | 4,222 | 914 | 0.452 | 13,494 | 6,099 |
| 1999 | 2001 | 18,996 | 2,213 | 0.130 | 2,940 | 558 | 0.376 | 9,508 | 3,575 |
| 2000 | 2002 | 21,183 | 2,846 | 0.154 | 2,179 | 373 | 0.527 | 8,369 | 4,411 |
| 2001 | 2003 | 29,763 | 2,399 | 0.120 | 4,860 | 1,039 | 0.365 | 14,645 | 5,345 |
| 2002 | 2004 | 53,712 | 3,796 | 0.126 | 10,300 | 1,804 | 0.413 | 26,687 | 11,022 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 50,630 | 6,500 | 0.122 | 5,467 | 1,680 | 0.445 | 19,348 | 8,610 |
| 2004 | 2006 | 22,823 | 2,176 | 0.074 | 4,365 | 934 | 0.367 | 8,967 | 3,291 |
| 2005 | 2007 | 10,936 | 788 | 0.203 | 2,895 | 677 | 0.310 | 10,056 | 3,117 |
| 2006 | 2008 | 20,502 | 1,700 | 0.153 | 5,649 | 1,231 | 0.380 | 13,904 | 5,283 |
| 2007 | 2009 | 16,618 | 2,723 | 0.269 | 5,056 | 1,328 | 0.491 | 14,160 | 6,953 |
| 2008 | 2010 | 32,358 | 6,356 | 0.180 | 11,277 | 3,277 | 0.464 | 23,829 | 11,056 |
| 2009 | 2011 | 8,079 | 332 | 0.156 | 4,515 | 1,057 | 0.422 | 7,593 | 3,166 |
| 2010 | 2012 | 36,404 | 986 | 0.188 | 22,041 | 3,247 | 0.302 | 44,703 | 13,500 |

Appendix Table A-7. Continued.

| Stream, BY | Migration year | Early migrants |  |  | Late migrants |  |  | Estimated smolt equivalents leaving tributary | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { smolt } \\ & \text { equivalents } \\ & \text { at LGD } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Migrant abundance estimate | 95\% CI | Survival <br> to LGD | Migrant abundance estimate | 95\% CI | Survival <br> to LGD |  |  |
| Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 1997 | 2,175 | 239 | 0.312 | 2,321 | 557 | 0.769 | 3,203 | 2,463 |
| 1996 | 1998 | 11,381 | 2,373 | 0.448 | 6,158 | 1,089 | 0.784 | 12,661 | 9,927 |
| 1997 | 1999 | 20,133 | 1,966 | 0.422 | 14,134 | 1,749 | 0.744 | 25,554 | 19,012 |
| 1998 | 2000 | 8,370 | 835 | 0.317 | 3,880 | 299 | 0.660 | 7,900 | 5,214 |
| 1999 | 2001 | 10,478 | 1,246 | 0.335 | 3,132 | 549 | 0.695 | 8,183 | 5,687 |
| 2000 | 2002 | 15,358 | 2,371 | 0.326 | 2,782 | 522 | 0.683 | 10,112 | 6,907 |
| 2001 | 2003 | 19,048 | 1,459 | 0.287 | 9,891 | 1,161 | 0.495 | 20,935 | 10,363 |
| 2002 | 2004a | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2003 | 2005 | 41,163 | 6,185 | 0.267 | 13,439 | 2,662 | 0.552 | 33,349 | 18,409 |
| 2004 | 2006 | 42,563 | 8,705 | 0.269 | 11,705 | 1,372 | 0.619 | 30,202 | 18,695 |
| 2005 | 2007 | 34,250 | 4,720 | 0.223 | 11,933 | 1,013 | 0.589 | 24,900 | 14,666 |
| 2006 | 2008 | 15,354 | 2,601 | 0.265 | 10,763 | 2,366 | 0.683 | 16,720 | 11,420 |
| 2007 | 2009 | 30,896 | 7,261 | 0.312 | 8,039 | 1,160 | 0.692 | 22,009 | 15,203 |
| 2008 | 2010 | 28,529 | 2,717 | 0.265 | 19,157 | 1,545 | 0.679 | 30,291 | 20,567 |
| 2009 | 2011 | 51,699 | 10,822 | 0.251 | 13,057 | 1,053 | 0.583 | 35,341 | 20,588 |
| 2010 | 2012 | 103,001 | 8,715 | 0.162 | 34,829 | 6,016 | 0.550 | 65,167 | 35,842 |
| Minam River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | 2001 | 10,224 | 2,820 | 0.427 | 17,985 | 3,689 | 0.619 | 25,038 | 15,498 |
| 2000 | 2002 | 62,708 | 10,088 | 0.249 | 16,292 | 3,957 | 0.532 | 45,642 | 24,282 |
| 2001 | 2003 | 19,674 | 3,738 | 0.238 | 43,473 | 9,982 | 0.476 | 53,310 | 25,376 |
| 2002 | 2004 | 42,978 | 5,732 | 0.183 | 22,207 | 7,002 | 0.530 | 37,047 | 19,635 |
| 2003 | 2005 | 47,924 | 2,782 | 0.293 | 63,466 | 26,407 | 0.555 | 88,766 | 49,265 |

[^10]Appendix Table A-7. Continued.


[^11]
## Appendix Table A-7 Continued.

| Stream, BY | Migration year | Early migrants |  |  | Late migrants |  |  | Estimated smolt equivalents leaving tributary | Estimated smolt equivalents at LGD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Migrant abundance estimate | 95\% CI | Survival <br> to LGD | Migrant abundance estimate | 95\% CI | Survival <br> to LGD |  |  |
| Upper Grande Ronde River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 2008 | 4,576 | 1,721 | 0.338 | 7,108 | 2,828 | 0.418 | 10,808 | 4,518 |
| 2007 | 2009 | 8 | 9 | (b) | 26 | 10 | (b) | (b) | (b) |
| 2008 | 2010 | 4,584 | 571 | 0.209 | 16,179 | 1,851 | 0.468 | 18,226 | 8,529 |
| 2009 | 2011 | 11,072 | 713 | 0.225 | 14,061 | 2,200 | 0.447 | 19,474 | 8,776 |
| 2010 | 2012 | 17,824 | 449 | 0.196 | 37,990 | 4,326 | 0.405 | 46,616 | 18,879 |

${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Small tag group size and low recaptures at LGD precluded estimating survival probabilities and smolt equivalents.

## APPENDIX B

A Compilation of Steelhead Data

Appendix Table B-1. Population estimates, median migration dates, and percentage of steelhead population emigrating as late migrants past trap sites, 1997-2012 migratory years. Early migratory period begins 1 July of the preceding year and ends 28 January of the migratory year. Late migratory period begins 29 January and ends 30 June.


Appendix Table B-1. Continued.

| Stream and MY | Population estimate | 95\% CI | Median migration date |  | Late migrants(\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Early migrants | Late migrants |  |
| Middle Grande Ronde River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2012{ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | - | - | - | - |
| Minam River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 28,113 | 10,537 | 3 Oct $^{\text {a }}$ | 28 Apr | $86^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2002 | 44,872 | 19,786 | 24 Oct ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 25 Apr | $82^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2003 | 43,743 | 20,680 | 10 Nov ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 May | $99^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2004 | 24,846 | 13,564 | 29 Oct | 28 Apr | 97 |
| 2005 | 105,853 | 75,607 | 16 Sep | 18 Apr | 94 |
| 2006 | 103,141 | 62,607 | 2 Oct | 22 Apr | 78 |
| 2007 | 11,831 | 3,330 | 1 Oct | 30 Apr | 72 |
| 2008 | 62,675 | 21,725 | 19 Oct | 30 Apr | 81 |
| 2009 | 22,940 | 9,167 | 13 Nov | 21 Apr | 72 |
| 2010 | 50,224 | 16,210 | 15 Oct | 18 Apr | 73 |
| 2011 | 29,925 | 19,416 | 31 Oct | 7 May | 92 |
| 2012 | 16,474 | 6,555 | 11 Oct | 21 Apr | 83 |
| Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 | 15,104 | 3,184 | 25 Oct | 27 Mar | 92 |
| 1998 | 10,133 | 1,612 | 8 Aug | 27 Mar | 60 |
| 1999 | 6,108 | 1,309 | 8 Nov | 29 Apr | 95 |
| 2000 | 17,845 | 3,526 | 30 Sep | 8 Apr | 94 |
| 2001 | 16,067 | 4,076 | 11 Oct | 8 May | 96 |
| 2002 | 17,286 | 1,715 | 24 Oct | 15 Apr | 94 |
| 2003 | 14,729 | 2,302 | 6 Oct | 23 Apr | 93 |
| 2004 | 13,126 | 1,487 | 15 Oct | 11 Apr | 91 |
| 2005 | 8,210 | 1,434 | 25 Oct | 4 May | 86 |
| 2006 | 13,188 | 2,819 | 2 Oct | 12 Apr | 86 |
| 2007 | 12,632 | 1,766 | 20 Oct | 10 Apr | 87 |
| 2008 | 7,296 | 1,405 | 13 Nov | 28 Apr | 95 |
| 2009 | 7,471 | 1,678 | 10 Nov | 20 Apr | 96 |
| 2010 | 8,081 | 1,425 | 15 Oct | 20 Apr | 90 |
| 2011 | 21,462 | 4,859 | 30 Oct | 15 Apr | 90 |
| 2012 | 12,497 | 1,925 | 12 Oct | 12 Apr | 97 |

Appendix Table B-2. Dates of arrival at Lower Granite Dam for steelhead PIT-tagged upstream of the screw trap in Catherine Creek and tributaries during summer, and at screw traps during fall and spring of the same migratory year, 2000-2012. Numbers of fish detected were expanded for spillway flow to calculate median arrival date.

| Stream and MY | Tag group | Number tagged | Number detected | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | Fall | 989 | 43 | 20 Apr | 2 Apr | 29 Jun |
|  | Spring | 502 | 63 | 6 May | 6 Apr | 10 Jun |
| 2001 | Summer | 1,169 | 26 | 8 May | 25 Apr | 25 Jun |
|  | Fall | 561 | 66 | 6 May | 18 Apr | 12 Jun |
|  | Spring | 266 | 88 | 14 May | 22 Apr | 11 Jun |
| 2002 | Summer | 1,108 | 32 | 20 May | 14 Apr | 25 Jun |
|  | Fall | 723 | 10 | 12 May | 16 Apr | 17 Jun |
|  | Spring | 504 | 95 | 22 May | 20 Apr | 1 Jul |
| 2003 | Summer | 1,043 | 27 | 26 May | 26 Apr | 1 Jun |
|  | Fall | 918 | 26 | 8 May | 27 Mar | 3 Jun |
|  | Spring | 364 | 52 | 26 May | 22 Apr | 3 Aug |
| 2004 | Summer | 1,046 | 54 | 11 May | 10 Apr | 18 Aug |
|  | Fall | 512 | 38 | 7 May | 3 Apr | 20 Jun |
|  | Spring | 598 | 150 | 22 May | 26 Apr | 24 Jul |
| 2005 | Summer | 1,024 | 81 | 8 May | 4 Apr | 3 Jun |
|  | Fall | 473 | 35 | 8 May | 23 Apr | 8 Jun |
|  | Spring | 623 | 55 | 10 May | 18 Apr | 27 Jun |
| 2006 | Summer | 632 | 19 | 2 May | 15 Apr | 9 Jun |
|  | Fall | 934 | 23 | 30 Apr | 2 Apr | 22 May |
|  | Spring | 500 | 32 | 7 May | 15 Apr | 31 May |
| 2007 | Summer | 609 | 3 | 12 May | 2 May | 13 May |
|  | Fall | 859 | 21 | 5 May | 2 Apr | 9 Jun |
|  | Spring | 370 | 15 | 9 May | 4 May | 3 Jun |
| 2008 | Fall | 600 | 20 | 4 May | 22 Apr | 4 Jul |
|  | Spring | 604 | 21 | 19 May | 22 Apr | 12 Jun |
| 2009 | Fall | 517 | 57 | 8 May | 28 Mar | 18 Jun |
|  | Spring | 357 | 64 | 7 May | 16 Apr | 15 Jun |
| 2010 | Fall | 592 | 30 | 4 May | 22 Apr | 4 Jun |
|  | Spring | 574 | 32 | 14 May | 22 Apr | 25 Jun |
| 2011 | Fall | 589 | 32 | 3 May | 2 Apr | 21 May |
|  | Spring | 775 | 107 | 10 May | 8 Apr | 22 Jun |
| 2012 | Fall | 503 | 41 | 5 May | 14 Apr | 8 Jun |
|  | Spring | 808 | 40 | 6 May | 13 Apr | 29 May |
| Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2000$ | Fall | 777 | 116 | 10 May | 26 Mar | 16 Jun |
|  | Spring | 532 | 166 | 6 May | 13 Apr | 13 Jun |

Appendix Table B-2. Continued.

|  | Number |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream and MY | Tag group | Number <br> tagged <br> detected | Median |  |  | First | Last

[^12]Appendix Table B-2. Continued.

| Stream and MY | Tag group | Number tagged | Number detected | Arrival dates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Median | First | Last |
| Minam River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | Fall | 107 | 2 | 14 May | 12 May | 3 Jun |
|  | Spring | 293 | 29 | 7 May | 3 May | 16 May |
| 2008 | Fall | 495 | 14 | 13 May | 24 Apr | 7 Jun |
|  | Spring | 591 | 53 | 11 May | 19 Apr | 8 Jun |
| 2009 | Fall | 131 | 13 | 28 Apr | 17 Apr | 20 May |
|  | Spring | 350 | 56 | 29 Apr | 12 Apr | 22 May |
| 2010 | Fall ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 417 | 1 | 28 Apr | 28 Apr | 28 Apr |
|  | Spring | 503 | 32 | 20 May | 23 May | 19 Jun |
| 2011 | Fall | 43 | 6 | 12 May | 5 Apr | 25 May |
|  | Spring | 615 | 169 | 12 May | 5 Apr | 18 Jun |
| 2012 | Fall | 144 | 7 | 24 Apr | 11 Apr | 23 May |
|  | Spring | 568 | 109 | 25 Apr | 12 Apr | 10 Jun |
| Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | Fall | 110 | 7 | 30 Apr | 18 Apr | 26 May |
|  | Spring | 462 | 73 | 7 May | 31 Mar | 28 Jun |
| 2001 | Fall | 61 | 10 | 7 May | 28 Apr | 29 Jun |
|  | Spring | 475 | 180 | 5 May | 26 Apr | 28 Aug |
| 2002 | Fall | 165 | 9 | 7 May | 26 Apr | 1 Jun |
|  | Spring | 543 | 86 | 22 May | 14 Apr | 25 Jun |
| 2003 | Fall | 309 | 11 | 18 May | 8 Apr | 1 Jun |
|  | Spring | 583 | 101 | 25 May | 4 Apr | 24 Jun |
| 2004 | Fall | 108 | 1 | 23 May | 23 May | 23 May |
|  | Spring | 853 | 190 | 17 May | 15 Apr | 14 Jun |
| 2005 | Fall | 288 | 16 | 10 May | 19 Apr | 19 May |
|  | Spring | 643 | 150 | 11 May | 21 Apr | 27 Jun |
| 2006 | Fall | 53 | 4 | 10 May | 25 Apr | 17 May |
|  | Spring | 500 | 62 | 10 May | 15 Apr | 27 May |
| 2007 | Fall | 485 | 16 | 9 May | 15 Apr | 6 Jun |
|  | Spring | 600 | 59 | 13 May | 7 Apr | 12 Jun |
| 2008 | Fall | 136 | 18 | 15 May | 19 Apr | 28 May |
|  | Spring | 601 | 110 | 11 May | 25 Apr | 7 Jun |
| 2009 | Fall | 109 | 6 | 20 May | 3 May | 6 Jun |
|  | Spring | 612 | 128 | 9 May | 11 Apr | 16 Jun |
| 2010 | Fall | 276 | 11 | 14 May | 23 Apr | 10 Jun |
|  | Spring | 612 | 40 | 20 May | 14 Apr | 22 Jun |
| 2011 | Fall | 562 | 24 | 11 May | 11 Apr | 31 May |
|  | Spring | 625 | 108 | 15 May | 12 Apr | 23 Jun |
| 2012 | Fall | 197 | 12 | 3 May | 21 Apr | 18 Jun |
|  | Spring | 776 | 132 | 12 May | 6 Apr | 3 Jun |

Appendix Table B-3. Probability of surviving and migrating in the first year to Lower Granite Dam for steelhead PIT-tagged from upper rearing areas of Catherine Creek during summer and at screw traps during fall and spring.

|  |  |  | Number detected |  |  | Probability of surviving and |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tag group | MY | Number | MY |  |  |  |
| and | MY |  | migrating in the first year |  |  |  |
| and stream | tagged | tagged | MY | +1 | +2 |  |

Summer
Catherine Creek

| 2001 | 413 | 22 | 7 | 0 | $0.056(0.012-0.083)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2002 | 838 | 65 | 9 | 0 | $0.101(0.075-0.140)$ |
| 2003 | 510 | 23 | 7 | 0 | $0.048(0.031-0.071)$ |
| 2004 | 527 | 42 | 18 | 0 | $0.081(0.059-0.108)$ |
| 2005 | 704 | 58 | 3 | 0 | $0.082(0.063-0.104)$ |
| 2006 | 418 | 40 | 1 | 0 | $0.138(0.090-0.252)$ |
| 2007 | 334 | 10 | 1 | 0 | $0.072(0.024-0.992)$ |

Little Catherine Creek
$2001 \quad 415 \quad 0 \quad 3 \quad 0$
2007 275 $1 \begin{array}{llll} & 1 & 0\end{array}$
Middle Fork Catherine Creek $\begin{array}{lllll}2006 & 214 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}$
Milk Creek
$2003 \quad 532 \quad 27 \quad 3 \quad 0$

North Fork Catherine Creek

| 2001 | 117 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2002 | 270 | 8 | 2 | 1 |
| 2005 | 320 | 14 | 6 | 0 |

0.062 (0.040-0.100)
(a)
0.035 (0.015-0.085)
0.044 (0.024-0.074)

South Fork Catherine Creek

| 2001 | 225 | 5 | 4 | 0 | $0.022(0.002-0.042)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2004 | 519 | 20 | 10 | 1 | $0.035 \quad(\mathrm{SE}=0.008)$ |

Catherine Creek and tribs combined
2001 1,170 $29 \quad 15 \quad 1 \quad 0.026(0.017-0.036)$

2002 1,108 $73 \quad 11 \quad 1 \quad 0.084(0.064-0.114)$
2003 1,042 $50 \quad 10 \quad 0 \quad 0.054(0.040-0.073)$

2004 | 1,046 | 62 | 28 | 1 | $0.058(0.048-0.082)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$2005 \begin{array}{lllll}1,024 & 72 & 9 & 0 & 0.070(0.055-0.087)\end{array}$
2006 632 $41 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0.094(0.061-0.173)$
$2007 \begin{array}{llllll}609 & 11 & 2 & 0 & 0.045(0.015-0.062)\end{array}$
Fall
Catherine Creek

| 2000 | 996 | 73 | 14 | 0 | $0.099(0.075-0.133)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 2001 | 562 | 67 | 0 | 0 | $0.120(0.095-0.149)$ |
| 2002 | 723 | 31 | 4 | 0 | $0.069(0.040-0.152)$ |
| 2003 | 915 | 56 | 11 | 0 | $0.085(0.059-0.143)$ |
| 2004 | 512 | 53 | 6 | 0 | $0.128(0.095-0.177)$ |

[^13]Appendix Table B-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | $\begin{gathered} \text { MY } \\ \text { tagged } \end{gathered}$ | Number tagged | Number detected |  |  | Probability of surviving and migrating in the first year (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MY | $\begin{gathered} \text { MY } \\ +1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MY } \\ & +2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Fall |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2005 | 473 | 44 | 2 | 0 | $0.087 \quad(\mathrm{SE}=0.013)$ |
|  | 2006 | 934 | 61 | 12 | 0 | 0.077 (0.058-0.110) |
|  | 2007 | 859 | 59 | 8 | 0 | 0.084 (0.059-0.155) |
|  | 2008 | 600 | 37 | 18 | 0 | 0.079 (0.052-0.142) |
|  | 2009 | 517 | 105 | 4 | 0 | 0.259 (0.207-0.336) |
|  | 2010 | 592 | 77 | 4 | 4 | 0.190 (0.135-0.315) |
|  | 2011 | 589 | 32 | 9 | - | 0.185 (0.137-0.273) |
|  | 2012 | 503 | 82 | - | - | 0.197 (0.154-0.263) |
| Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 777 | 158 | 11 | 0 | 0.264 (0.222-0.315) |
|  | 2001 | 423 | 17 | 18 | 0 | 0.045 (0.027-0.073) |
|  | 2002 | 837 | 106 | 18 | 0 | 0.154 (0.124-0.194) |
|  | 2003 | 998 | 100 | 30 | 0 | 0.111 (0.090-0.138) |
|  | 2005 | 760 | 108 | 27 | 0 | 0.150 (0.124-0.180) |
|  | 2006 | 827 | 59 | 15 | 0 | 0.085 (0.063-0.125) |
|  | 2007 | 1,000 | 96 | 23 | 0 | 0.160 (0.110-0.279) |
|  | 2008 | 599 | 49 | 29 | 0 | $0.082 \quad(\mathrm{SE}=0.011)$ |
|  | 2009 | 584 | 91 | 6 | 0 | 0.167 (0.136-0.204) |
|  | 2010 | 800 | 99 | 14 | 5 | 0.168 (0.127-0.245) |
|  | 2011 | 589 | 32 | 14 | - | 0.183 (0.143-0.245) |
|  | 2012 | 590 | 72 | - | - | 0.250 (0.158-0.512) |
| Minam River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2001 | 32 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0.225 (0.103-0.396) |
|  | 2002 | 262 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0.134 (0.041-1.971) |
|  | 2003 | 42 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0.238 (0.105-1.663) |
|  | 2004 | 60 | 3 | 2 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2005 | 79 | 10 | 1 | 0 | $0.127 \quad(\mathrm{SE}=0.037)$ |
|  | 2006 | 81 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0.086 ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.031$ ) |
|  | 2007 | 107 | 10 | 4 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2008 | 495 | 33 | 24 | 0 | 0.090 (0.057-0.173) |
|  | 2009 | 131 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 0.165 (0.103-0.258) |
|  | 2010 | 417 | 5 | 11 | 1 | (a) |
|  | 2011 | 43 | 6 | 1 | - | 0.450 (0.245-1.181) |
|  | 2012 | 144 | 24 | - | - | 0.196 (0.124-0.394) |
| Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 110 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0.227 (0.118-0.650) |
|  | 2001 | 61 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0.223 (0.122-0.398) |
|  | 2002 | 165 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0.185 (0.108-0.387) |
|  | 2003 | 309 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0.094 (0.043-0.956) |

[^14]Appendix Table B-3. Continued.

|  |  |  | Number detected |  |  | Probability of surviving and migrating in the first year$(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tag group and stream | $\begin{gathered} \text { MY } \\ \text { tagged } \end{gathered}$ | Number tagged | MY | $\begin{gathered} \text { MY } \\ +1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MY } \\ & +2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |

Fall
Upper Grande Ronde River (cont.)

| 2004 | 108 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.009 | $(\mathrm{SE}=0.009)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2005 | 288 | 20 | 2 | 0 | $0.071 \quad(\mathrm{SE}=0.016)$ |  |
| 2006 | 53 | 5 | 0 | 0 | $0.094(\mathrm{SE}=0.040)$ |  |
| 2007 | 485 | 34 | 12 | 0 | $0.121(0.065-0.488)$ |  |
| 2008 | 136 | 41 | 0 | 0 | $0.420(0.294-0.657)$ |  |
| 2009 | 109 | 24 | 2 | 0 | $0.253(0.164-0.460)$ |  |
| 2010 | 276 | 21 | 3 | 0 | $0.098(0.059-0.171)$ |  |
| 2011 | 562 | 33 | 6 | - | $0.134(0.106-0.169)$ |  |
| 2012 | 197 | 25 | - | - | $0.134(0.089-0.195)$ |  |

Spring (FL $\geq 115 \mathrm{~mm}$ )
Catherine Creek

| 2000 | 305 | 104 | 2 | 0 | $0.490(0.392-0.630)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2001 | 247 | 95 | 2 | 0 | $0.400(0.339-0.465)$ |
| 2002 | 504 | 213 | 2 | 0 | $0.532(0.465-0.615)$ |
| 2003 | 359 | 107 | 2 | 0 | $0.360(0.291-0.472)$ |
| 2004 | 411 | 187 | 1 | 0 | $0.474(0.423-0.526)$ |
| 2005 | 181 | 69 | 2 | 0 | $0.453(0.353-0.623)$ |
| 2006 | 222 | 96 | 0 | 0 | $0.540(0.421-0.790)$ |
| 2007 | 169 | 25 | 2 | 0 | $0.179(0.108-0.546)$ |
| 2008 | 128 | 48 | 0 | 0 | $0.520(0.358-1.002)$ |
| 2009 | 261 | 127 | 0 | 0 | $0.582(0.495-0.694)$ |
| 2010 | 288 | 100 | 0 | 3 | $0.527(0.382-0.884)$ |
| 2011 | 629 | 107 | 2 | - | $0.492(0.439-0.557)$ |
| 2012 | 327 | 97 | - | - | $0.391(0.308-0.526)$ |

Lostine River

| 2000 | 443 | 234 | 4 | 0 | $0.635(0.570-0.708)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 330 | 189 | 16 | 0 | $0.594(0.538-0.651)$ |
| 2002 | 351 | 171 | 6 | 0 | $0.625(0.538-0.739)$ |
| 2003 | 447 | 269 | 4 | 0 | $0.705(0.633-0.795)$ |
| 2005 | 90 | 56 | 1 | 0 | $0.641(0.532-0.766)$ |
| 2006 | 89 | 57 | 0 | 0 | $0.629(\mathrm{SE}=0.051)$ |
| 2007 | 101 | 35 | 3 | 0 | $(\mathrm{a})$ |
| 2008 | 128 | 76 | 1 | 0 | $0.714(0.576-0.967)$ |
| 2009 | 268 | 151 | 1 | 0 | $0.646(0.563-0.754)$ |
| 2010 | 189 | 93 | 2 | 2 | $0.831(0.585-1.490)$ |
| 2011 | 243 | 60 | 3 | - | $0.736(0.652-0.845)$ |
| 2012 | 150 | 90 | - | - | $0.822(0.669-1.055)$ |

[^15]Appendix Table B-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | $\begin{gathered} \text { MY } \\ \text { tagged } \end{gathered}$ | Number tagged | Number detected |  |  | Probability of surviving and migrating in the first year (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MY | $\begin{gathered} \text { MY } \\ +1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MY } \\ & +2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Spring (FL $\geq 115 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 81 | 20 | 3 | - | 0.657 (0.503-0.899) |
|  | 2012 | 252 | 105 | - | - | 0.588 (0.467-0.775) |
| Minam River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2001 | 442 | 269 | 8 | 0 | 0.632 (0.584-0.680) |
|  | 2002 | 197 | 109 | 1 | 0 | 0.722 (0.598-0.898) |
|  | 2003 | 500 | 272 | 0 | 0 | 0.662 (0.590-0.753) |
|  | 2004 | 120 | 68 | 2 | 0 | 0.588 (0.493-0.686) |
|  | 2005 | 161 | 91 | 3 | 0 | 0.566 (0.485-0.647) |
|  | 2006 | 274 | 168 | 1 | 0 | 0.665 (0.584-0.809) |
|  | 2007 | 178 | 68 | 2 | 0 | 0.684 (0.432-1.638) |
|  | 2008 | 291 | 175 | 1 | 0 | 0.819 (0.689-1.027) |
|  | 2009 | 204 | 119 | 4 | 0 | 0.670 (0.577-0.789) |
|  | 2010 | 178 | 77 | 0 | 1 | 1.039 (0.627-2.396) |
|  | 2011 | 520 | 168 | 9 | - | 0.802 (0.735-0.883) |
|  | 2012 | 374 | 238 | - | - | 0.758 (0.677-0.862) |
| Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 324 | 100 | 1 | 0 | 0.400 (0.326-0.497) |
|  | 2001 | 465 | 196 | 5 | 0 | 0.451 (0.402-0.503) |
|  | 2002 | 543 | 192 | 1 | 0 | 0.450 (0.387-0.529) |
|  | 2003 | 578 | 205 | 3 | 0 | 0.461 (0.393-0.552) |
|  | 2004 | 853 | 223 | 2 | 0 | 0.492 (0.443-0.542) |
|  | 2005 | 371 | 186 | 2 | 0 | 0.553 (0.490-0.628) |
|  | 2006 | 342 | 168 | 2 | 0 | 0.522 (0.454-0.629) |
|  | 2007 | 464 | 119 | 3 | 0 | 0.315 (0.246-0.453) |
|  | 2008 | 578 | 263 | 3 | 0 | 0.626 (0.588-0.708) |
|  | 2009 | 533 | 256 | 1 | 0 | 0.573 (0.513-0.643) |
|  | 2010 | 316 | 119 | 0 | 3 | 0.547 (0.434-0.728) |
|  | 2011 | 487 | 108 | 9 | - | 0.631 (0.566-0.708) |
|  | 2012 | 658 | 255 | - | - | 0.513 (0.447-0.595) |
| Spring (FL $<115 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 189 | 0 | 10 | 1 | (a) |
|  | 2001 | 19 | 1 | 2 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2002 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2003 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2004 | 187 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 0.027 ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.012$ ) |
|  | 2005 | 442 | 1 | 22 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2006 | 278 | 3 | 8 | 0 | (a) |
|  | 2007 | 201 | 0 | 23 | 1 | (a) |

[^16]Appendix Table B-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream | $\begin{gathered} \text { MY } \\ \text { tagged } \end{gathered}$ | Number tagged | Number detected |  |  | Probability of surviving and migrating in the first year$(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MY | $\begin{gathered} \text { MY } \\ +1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MY } \\ & +2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Spring (FL < 115 mm ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catherine Creek (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 | 476 | 9 | 40 | 0 | 0.019 | $(\mathrm{SE}=0.006)$ |
|  | 2009 | 96 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2010 | 285 | 2 | 10 | 4 |  | (a) |
|  | 2011 | 147 | 0 | 18 | - |  | (a) |
|  | 2012 | 481 | 0 | - | - |  | (a) |
| Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 84 | 0 | 9 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2001 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2003 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2005 | 142 | 0 | 24 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2006 | 89 | 1 | 16 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2007 | 172 | 0 | 26 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2008 | 345 | 3 | 43 | 0 | 0.009 | $(\mathrm{SE}=0.005)$ |
|  | 2009 | 302 | 0 | 29 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2010 | 411 | 0 | 14 | 1 |  | (a) |
|  | 2011 | 359 | 0 | 40 | - |  | (a) |
|  | 2012 | 283 | 0 | - | - |  | (a) |
| Middle Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2011 | 108 | 0 | 11 | - |  | (a) |
|  | 2012 | 179 | 0 | - | - |  | (a) |
| Minam River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2001 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2002 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2004 | 97 | 0 | 9 | 1 |  | (a) |
|  | 2005 | 172 | 0 | 10 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2006 | 274 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2007 | 115 | 0 | 14 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2008 | 300 | 0 | 36 | 1 |  | (a) |
|  | 2009 | 146 | 0 | 16 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2010 | 324 | 0 | 12 | 1 |  | (a) |
|  | 2011 | 95 | 1 | 10 | - |  | (a) |
|  | 2012 | 194 | 0 | - | - |  | (a) |
| Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 129 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2001 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2002 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0.118 | $(\mathrm{SE}=0.078)$ |
|  | 2003 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | (a) |

[^17]Appendix Table B-3. Continued.

| Tag group and stream |  |  | Number detected |  |  | Probability of surviving and migrating in the first year (95\% CI) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MY tagged | Number tagged | MY | $\begin{gathered} \text { MY } \\ +1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MY } \\ & +2 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Upper Grande Ronde River (cont) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2004 | 378 | 5 | 29 | 1 | 0.016 | $(\mathrm{SE}=0.008)$ |
|  | 2005 | 272 | 0 | 9 | 2 |  | (a) |
|  | 2006 | 157 | 2 | 9 | 2 |  | (a) |
|  | 2007 | 136 | 0 | 7 | 2 |  | (a) |
|  | 2008 | 83 | 0 | 6 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2009 | 78 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  | (a) |
|  | 2010 | 295 | 0 | 11 | 1 |  | (a) |
|  | 2011 | 138 | 0 | 1 | - |  | (a) |
|  | 2012 | 118 | 1 | - | - |  | (a) |

a Data was insufficient to calculate a survival probability.

Appendix Table B-4. Early migrant steelhead fork lengths at tagging from screw traps on Catherine Creek and Lostine, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers during 1999-2011, summarized by dam detections.

| Stream and year tagged | Year detected | $N$ | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | tile |  |
|  |  |  | Median | Min | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ | Max |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | (a) | 986 | 101 | 60 | 76 | 142 | 200 |
|  | 2000 | 73 | 148 | 67 | 133 | 162 | 195 |
|  | 2001 | 14 | 77 | 61 | 73 | 86 | 118 |
| 2000 | (a) | 561 | 136 | 76 | 124 | 150 | 204 |
|  | 2001 | 67 | 139 | 102 | 126 | 152 | 195 |
| 2001 | (a) | 723 | 85 | 62 | 75 | 124 | 193 |
|  | 2002 | 30 | 128 | 78 | 91 | 136 | 170 |
|  | 2003 | 4 | 71 | 62 | 67 | 75 | 75 |
| 2002 | (a) | 918 | 111 | 60 | 81 | 141 | 245 |
|  | 2003 | 56 | 143 | 99 | 133 | 154 | 177 |
|  | 2004 | 13 | 74 | 65 | 71 | 83 | 167 |
| 2003 | (a) | 512 | 117 | 59 | 85 | 133 | 240 |
|  | 2004 | 54 | 131 | 81 | 118 | 146 | 185 |
|  | 2005 | 6 | 77 | 65 | 71 | 82 | 118 |
| 2004 | (a) | 473 | 124 | 58 | 81 | 140 | 191 |
|  | 2005 | 44 | 136 | 85 | 123 | 152 | 189 |
|  | 2006 | 2 | 81 | 75 | 78 | 84 | 87 |
| 2005 | (a) | 934 | 91 | 55 | 77 | 134 | 246 |
|  | 2006 | 61 | 140 | 82 | 127 | 154 | 208 |
|  | 2007 | 12 | 78 | 69 | 71 | 79 | 94 |
| 2006 | (a) | 856 | 135 | 60 | 118 | 153 | 331 |
|  | 2007 | 58 | 144 | 81 | 127 | 160 | 227 |
|  | 2008 | 8 | 83 | 60 | 76 | 93 | 105 |
| 2007 | (a) | 597 | 80 | 57 | 72 | 116 | 216 |
|  | 2008 | 37 | 123 | 75 | 84 | 144 | 187 |
|  | 2009 | 17 | 77 | 62 | 72 | 80 | 85 |
| 2008 | (a) | 518 | 135 | 71 | 125 | 145 | 207 |
|  | 2009 | 106 | 140 | 110 | 129 | 156 | 178 |
| 2009 | (a) | 592 | 140 | 55 | 121 | 158 | 305 |
|  | 2010 | 77 | 148 | 95 | 133 | 161 | 198 |
| 2010 | (a) | 588 | 127 | 55 | 81 | 146 | 340 |
|  | 2011 | 78 | 145 | 121 | 134 | 178 | 204 |
|  | 2012 | 9 | 86 | 63 | 74 | 98 | 108 |
| 2011 | (a) | 586 | 127 | 55 | 82 | 146 | 340 |
|  | 2012 | 78 | 145 | 121 | 134 | 177 | 204 |
| Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1999$ | (a) | 773 | 153 | 66 | 140 | 168 | 286 |
|  | 2000 | 157 | 157 | 121 | 144 | 170 | 259 |

[^18]Appendix Table B-4. Continued.

| Stream and year tagged | Yeardetected | $N$ | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ntile |  |
|  |  |  | Median | Min | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ | Max |
| Lostine River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 2001 | 11 | 105 | 79 | 85 | 119 | 141 |
|  | (a) | 421 | 80 | 61 | 73 | 91 | 235 |
|  | 2001 | 17 | 161 | 95 | 146 | 178 | 212 |
| 2000 | 2002 | 18 | 86 | 65 | 80 | 89 | 106 |
| 2001 | (a) | 824 | 100 | 60 | 85 | 155 | 262 |
|  | 2002 | 105 | 155 | 87 | 140 | 169 | 205 |
|  | 2003 | 19 | 82 | 68 | 78 | 94 | 161 |
| 2002 | (a) | 999 | 93 | 62 | 73 | 155 | 348 |
|  | 2003 | 98 | 152 | 68 | 136 | 175 | 263 |
|  | 2004 | 33 | 75 | 66 | 70 | 84 | 263 |
| 2003 | (b) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2004 | (a) | 758 | 92 | 57 | 77 | 148 | 246 |
|  | 2005 | 108 | 148 | 73 | 135 | 166 | 205 |
|  | 2006 | 27 | 77 | 62 | 71 | 85 | 101 |
| 2005 | (a) | 827 | 83 | 59 | 72 | 140 | 298 |
|  | 2006 | 59 | 155 | 82 | 138 | 165 | 188 |
|  | 2007 | 15 | 75 | 62 | 71 | 78 | 101 |
| 2006 | (a) | 1000 | 132 | 55 | 84 | 150 | 278 |
|  | 2007 | 96 | 143 | 103 | 133 | 161 | 236 |
|  | 2008 | 23 | 69 | 60 | 64 | 78 | 124 |
| 2007 | (a) | 599 | 86 | 57 | 76 | 125 | 235 |
|  | 2008 | 49 | 142 | 73 | 123 | 175 | 222 |
|  | 2009 | 27 | 79 | 68 | 72 | 80 | 95 |
| 2008 | (a) | 584 | 145 | 59 | 116 | 169 | 275 |
|  | 2009 | 90 | 159 | 115 | 145 | 177 | 150 |
| 2009 | (a) | 800 | 124 | 59 | 74 | 159 | 297 |
|  | 2010 | 99 | 151 | 83 | 138 | 170 | 213 |
| 2010 | (a) | 587 | 130 | 59 | 81 | 159 | 307 |
|  | 2011 | 88 | 156 | 92 | 138 | 175 | 249 |
|  | 2012 | 14 | 73 | 66 | 70 | 80 | 91 |
| 2011 | (a) | 589 | 130 | 59 | 81 | 158 | 307 |
|  | 2012 | 88 | 156 | 92 | 139 | 175 | 249 |
| Minam River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2000$ | (a) | 32 | 122 | 58 | 69 | 153 | 218 |
|  | 2001 | 7 | 147 | 114 | 126 | 155 | 183 |
|  | 2002 | 2 | 68 | 63 | 65 | 70 | 72 |
| 2001 | (a) | 262 | 66 | 55 | 61 | 117 | 318 |
|  | 2002 | 11 | 132 | 120 | 124 | 147 | 185 |
|  | 2003 | 10 | 65 | 60 | 63 | 68 | 85 |

${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ No early migrants were tagged in the Lostine River because the trap was not operated.

Appendix Table B-4. Continued.

| Stream and year tagged | Year detected | $N$ | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | tile |  |
|  |  |  | Median | Min | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ | Max |
| Minam River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | (a) | 42 | 104 | 65 | 72 | 146 | 199 |
|  | 2003 | 8 | 161 | 133 | 135 | 169 | 185 |
| 2003 | (a) | 60 | 106 | 60 | 67 | 133 | 206 |
|  | 2004 | 3 | 118 | 115 | 115 | 118 | 118 |
|  | 2005 | 2 | 68 | 65 | 66 | 69 | 70 |
| 2004 | (a) | 79 | 73 | 59 | 65 | 161 | 226 |
| 2004 | 2005 | 10 | 167 | 73 | 147 | 173 | 210 |
|  | 2006 | 1 | 67 | - | - | - | - |
| 2005 | (a) | 81 | 71 | 58 | 64 | 153 | 218 |
|  | 2006 | 7 | 161 | 119 | 143 | 178 | 209 |
|  | 2007 | 1 | 61 | - | - | - | - |
| 2006 | (a) | 107 | 112 | 59 | 67 | 134 | 230 |
|  | 2007 | 10 | 131 | 122 | 128 | 134 | 153 |
|  | 2008 | 4 | 70 | 63 | 65 | 74 | 75 |
| 2007 | (a) | 495 | 71 | 58 | 66 | 90 | 210 |
|  | 2008 | 33 | 149 | 65 | 129 | 168 | 210 |
|  | 2009 | 24 | 77 | 61 | 68 | 74 | 90 |
| 2008 | (a) | 132 | 121 | 56 | 66 | 154 | 224 |
|  | 2009 | 19 | 158 | 127 | 143 | 175 | 212 |
| 2009 | (a) | 417 | 66 | 58 | 63 | 71 | 272 |
|  | 2010 | 5 | 155 | 115 | 117 | 190 | 214 |
| 2010 | (a) | 43 | 142 | 67 | 116 | 179 | 241 |
|  | 2011 | 14 | 158 | 113 | 134 | 183 | 203 |
|  | 2012 | 1 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| 2011 | (a) | 43 | 142 | 67 | 118 | 178 | 241 |
|  | 2012 | 14 | 158 | 113 | 140 | 181 | 203 |
| Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1999 | (a) | 108 | 133 | 71 | 122 | 148 | 205 |
| 2000 | (a) | 60 | 124 | 86 | 101 | 145 | 180 |
|  | 2001 | 12 | 152 | 115 | 134 | 161 | 180 |
| 2001 | (a) | 165 | 115 | 62 | 80 | 130 | 193 |
|  | 2002 | 21 | 130 | 110 | 120 | 150 | 163 |
|  | 2003 | 1 | 111 | - | - | - | - |
| 2002 | (a) | 309 | 111 | 63 | 76 | 131 | 200 |
|  | 2003 | 17 | 133 | 120 | 125 | 140 | 155 |
|  | 2004 | 1 | 77 | - | - | - | - |
| 2003 | (a) | 108 | 77 | 61 | 71 | 110 | 160 |
|  | 2004 | 1 | 113 | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2005 | 1 | 70 | - | - | - | - |

Appendix Table B-4. Continued.

| Stream and year tagged | Year detected | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $N$ | Median | Min | Percentile |  | Max |
|  |  |  |  |  | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| Upper Grande Ronde River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | (a) | 288 | 114 | 62 | 90 | 125 | 179 |
|  | 2005 | 20 | 127 | 101 | 118 | 137 | 159 |
|  | 2006 | 2 | 81 | 72 | 77 | 86 | 90 |
| 2005 | (a) | 53 | 113 | 63 | 73 | 128 | 190 |
|  | 2006 | 5 | 136 | 110 | 127 | 176 | 190 |
| 2006 | (a) | 478 | 112 | 54 | 87 | 123 | 190 |
|  | 2007 | 33 | 131 | 99 | 119 | 140 | 180 |
|  | 2008 | 12 | 104 | 79 | 87 | 112 | 130 |
| 2007 | (a) | 136 | 132 | 59 | 126 | 148 | 309 |
|  | 2008 | 41 | 132 | 112 | 126 | 148 | 199 |
| 2008 | (a) | 109 | 126 | 71 | 118 | 134 | 257 |
|  | 2009 | 25 | 129 | 114 | 127 | 142 | 181 |
| 2009 | (a) | 276 | 126 | 61 | 79 | 147 | 279 |
|  | 2010 | 21 | 134 | 85 | 118 | 166 | 205 |
| 2010 | (a) | 560 | 121 | 60 | 80 | 133 | 355 |
|  | 2011 | 70 | 132 | 88 | 125 | 143 | 194 |
|  | 2012 | 6 | 86 | 79 | 81 | 98 | 105 |
| 2011 | (a) | 562 | 121 | 60 | 80 | 133 | 355 |
|  | 2012 | 70 | 132 | 88 | 125 | 143 | 194 |

Appendix Table B-5. Late migrant steelhead fork lengths at tagging from screw traps on Catherine Creek and Lostine, Minam, and upper Grande Ronde rivers during 2000-2012, summarized by dam detections.

| Stream and year tagged | Year <br> detected | $N$ | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percentile |  | Max |
|  |  |  | Median | Min | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| Catherine Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | (a) | 494 | 132 | 61 | 86 | 150 | 210 |
|  | 2000 | 103 | 152 | 120 | 143 | 167 | 210 |
|  | 2001 | 12 | 79 | 70 | 73 | 104 | 125 |
|  | 2002 | 1 | 87 | - | - | - | - |
| 2001 | (a) | 247 | 142 | 115 | 131 | 154 | 190 |
|  | 2001 | 96 | 150 | 115 | 138 | 161 | 190 |
|  | 2002 | 2 | 120 | 115 | 117 | 122 | 124 |
| 2002 | (a) | 503 | 152 | 115 | 139 | 164 | 260 |
|  | 2002 | 212 | 156 | 115 | 144 | 166 | 208 |
|  | 2003 | 2 | 126 | 123 | 124 | 127 | 128 |
| 2003 | (a) | 360 | 145 | 115 | 132 | 156 | 203 |
|  | 2003 | 107 | 150 | 118 | 137 | 161 | 201 |
|  | 2004 | 2 | 122 | 122 | 122 | 122 | 122 |
| 2004 | (a) | 598 | 135 | 62 | 102 | 152 | 202 |
|  | 2004 | 192 | 148 | 94 | 135 | 160 | 202 |
|  | 2005 | 18 | 77 | 63 | 72 | 82 | 130 |
| 2005 | (a) | 623 | 93 | 60 | 82 | 123 | 195 |
|  | 2005 | 70 | 155 | 109 | 139 | 172 | 195 |
|  | 2006 | 24 | 87 | 65 | 77 | 101 | 127 |
| 2006 | (a) | 500 | 98 | 60 | 81 | 146 | 203 |
|  | 2006 | 99 | 151 | 87 | 138 | 163 | 199 |
|  | 2007 | 8 | 83 | 80 | 82 | 87 | 105 |
| 2007 | (a) | 370 | 111 | 61 | 91 | 147 | 222 |
|  | 2007 | 26 | 153 | 118 | 143 | 164 | 181 |
|  | 2008 | 25 | 95 | 66 | 85 | 97 | 142 |
|  | 2009 | 1 | 90 | - | - | - | - |
| 2008 | (a) | 603 | 85 | 60 | 77 | 107 | 206 |
|  | 2008 | 57 | 147 | 83 | 123 | 161 | 206 |
|  | 2009 | 18 | 77 | 62 | 73 | 82 | 85 |
| 2009 | (a) | 357 | 138 | 62 | 109 | 153 | 195 |
|  | 2009 | 128 | 147 | 97 | 138 | 162 | 194 |
|  | 2010 | 8 | 76 | 70 | 72 | 83 | 95 |
| 2010 | (a) | 574 | 115 | 62 | 81 | 156 | 265 |
|  | 2010 | 102 | 158 | 92 | 143 | 175 | 225 |
|  | 2011 | 28 | 82 | 67 | 74 | 96 | 129 |
| 2011 | (a) | 775 | 150 | 58 | 132 | 165 | 227 |
|  | 2011 | 268 | 160 | 121 | 146 | 172 | 227 |

[^19]Appendix Table B-5. Continued.

| Stream and year tagged | Year detected | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $N$ | Median | Min | Percentile |  | Max |
|  |  |  |  |  | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| Catherine Creek (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 2012 | 20 | 89 | 59 | 80 | 99 | 139 |
| 2012 | (a) | 809 | 93 | 55 | 75 | 144 | 265 |
|  | 2012 | 97 | 155 | 123 | 144 | 169 | 233 |
| Lostine River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | (a) | 526 | 160 | 66 | 145 | 175 | 329 |
|  | 2000 | 234 | 168 | 123 | 157 | 179 | 236 |
|  | 2001 | 13 | 89 | 66 | 80 | 128 | 158 |
| 2001 | (a) | 323 | 163 | 115 | 148 | 180 | 292 |
|  | 2001 | 182 | 172 | 121 | 157 | 185 | 292 |
|  | 2002 | 16 | 141 | 115 | 121 | 156 | 160 |
| 2002 | (a) | 351 | 158 | 115 | 141 | 178 | 326 |
|  | 2002 | 171 | 163 | 115 | 152 | 180 | 244 |
|  | 2003 | 6 | 127 | 122 | 122 | 131 | 138 |
| 2003 | (a) | 447 | 162 | 115 | 150 | 174 | 289 |
|  | 2003 | 267 | 163 | 132 | 152 | 175 | 208 |
|  | 2004 | 4 | 125 | 115 | 118 | 141 | 152 |
| 2004 | (a) | 416 | 115 | 61 | 86 | 153 | 215 |
|  | 2004 | 122 | 163 | 105 | 148 | 180 | 215 |
|  | 2005 | 24 | 87 | 73 | 81 | 104 | 130 |
| 2005 | (a) | 232 | 99 | 64 | 83 | 156 | 226 |
|  | 2005 | 56 | 178 | 141 | 160 | 188 | 226 |
|  | 2006 | 25 | 84 | 69 | 80 | 97 | 133 |
| 2006 | (a) | 270 | 89 | 61 | 76 | 149 | 243 |
|  | 2006 | 58 | 169 | 106 | 157 | 183 | 243 |
|  | 2007 | 16 | 79 | 65 | 73 | 89 | 94 |
| 2007 | (a) | 281 | 94 | 60 | 81 | 142 | 292 |
|  | 2007 | 35 | 167 | 130 | 154 | 182 | 210 |
|  | 2008 | 29 | 82 | 62 | 78 | 94 | 169 |
| 2008 | (a) | 473 | 92 | 62 | 82 | 124 | 238 |
|  | 2008 | 79 | 160 | 90 | 150 | 172 | 238 |
|  | 2009 | 44 | 90 | 64 | 81 | 95 | 115 |
| 2009 | (a) | 577 | 105 | 60 | 83 | 159 | 228 |
|  | 2009 | 151 | 166 | 124 | 153 | 176 | 217 |
|  | 2010 | 29 | 88 | 70 | 73 | 103 | 117 |
| 2010 | (a) | 600 | 92 | 64 | 82 | 145 | 244 |
|  | 2010 | 93 | 166 | 124 | 156 | 179 | 228 |
|  | 2011 | 53 | 86 | 64 | 80 | 95 | 144 |
| 2011 | (a) | 601 | 99 | 63 | 84 | 162 | 229 |
|  | 2011 | 160 | 172 | 131 | 159 | 187 | 229 |
|  | 2012 | 43 | 90 | 72 | 83 | 99 | 155 |

Appendix Table B-5. Continued.

| Stream and year tagged | Year detected | $N$ | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Median | Min | Percentile |  | Max |
|  |  |  |  |  | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| Lostine River (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | (a) | 430 | 78 | 56 | 68 | 146 | 220 |
|  | 2012 | 90 | 156 | 133 | 147 | 172 | 220 |
| Minam River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | (a) | 442 | 160 | 115 | 144 | 177 | 227 |
|  | 2001 | 269 | 167 | 124 | 151 | 183 | 227 |
| 2001 | 2002 | 8 | 136 | 118 | 125 | 151 | 169 |
| 2002 | (a) | 197 | 158 | 115 | 147 | 179 | 219 |
|  | 2002 | 108 | 164 | 119 | 151 | 185 | 219 |
|  | 2003 | 1 | 135 | - | - | - | - |
| 2003 | (a) | 500 | 164 | 116 | 152 | 178 | 224 |
|  | 2003 | 271 | 165 | 127 | 153 | 178 | 218 |
|  | 2004 | 1 | 194 | - | - | - | - |
| 2004 | (a) | 217 | 133 | 59 | 86 | 168 | 239 |
|  | 2004 | 68 | 169 | 117 | 154 | 180 | 239 |
|  | 2005 | 11 | 102 | 71 | 82 | 106 | 122 |
| 2005 | (a) | 332 | 110 | 62 | 76 | 160 | 288 |
|  | 2005 | 91 | 163 | 127 | 149 | 180 | 215 |
|  | 2006 | 13 | 76 | 69 | 74 | 111 | 142 |
| 2006 | (a) | 437 | 141 | 58 | 79 | 165 | 218 |
|  | 2006 | 168 | 164 | 115 | 149 | 180 | 213 |
|  | 2007 | 8 | 76 | 67 | 71 | 87 | 139 |
| 2007 | (a) | 293 | 144 | 63 | 87 | 172 | 220 |
|  | 2007 | 68 | 174 | 118 | 160 | 187 | 201 |
|  | 2008 | 13 | 85 | 75 | 80 | 91 | 130 |
| 2008 | (a) | 591 | 108 | 60 | 78 | 160 | 217 |
|  | 2008 | 175 | 164 | 118 | 151 | 178 | 209 |
|  | 2009 | 38 | 83 | 60 | 72 | 90 | 179 |
| 2009 | (a) | 344 | 135 | 63 | 84 | 160 | 232 |
|  | 2009 | 119 | 163 | 124 | 150 | 180 | 232 |
|  | 2010 | 20 | 79 | 64 | 72 | 93 | 124 |
| 2010 | (a) | 502 | 82 | 62 | 73 | 145 | 217 |
|  | 2010 | 77 | 160 | 127 | 141 | 176 | 209 |
|  | 2011 | 27 | 75 | 65 | 72 | 87 | 117 |
| 2011 | (a) | 612 | 166 | 65 | 138 | 185 | 236 |
|  | 2011 | 351 | 175 | 113 | 159 | 189 | 236 |
|  | 2012 | 19 | 104 | 73 | 86 | 121 | 160 |
| 2012 | (a) | 566 | 151 | 55 | 77 | 178 | 252 |
|  | 2012 | 236 | 174 | 127 | 159 | 188 | 245 |
| Upper Grande Ronde River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | (a) | 453 | 133 | 71 | 108 | 152 | 225 |

Appendix Table B-5. Continued.

| Stream and year tagged | Year detected | $N$ | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Median | Min | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ | Max |
| Upper Grande Ronde (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 2000 | 99 | 155 | 115 | 139 | 166 | 208 |
|  | 2001 | 6 | 80 | 72 | 77 | 109 | 126 |
|  | (a) | 465 | 147 | 115 | 135 | 163 | 219 |
|  | 2001 | 196 | 156 | 115 | 145 | 171 | 207 |
| 2002 | 2002 | 5 | 143 | 121 | 127 | 150 | 152 |
|  | (a) | 543 | 150 | 115 | 135 | 164 | 216 |
|  | 2002 | 192 | 155 | 115 | 144 | 170 | 209 |
| 2002 | 2003 | 1 | 159 | - | - | - | - |
| 2003 | (a) | 578 | 150 | 115 | 136 | 164 | 199 |
|  | 2003 | 204 | 158 | 115 | 142 | 169 | 199 |
|  | 2004 | 4 | 130 | 117 | 119 | 168 | 197 |
| 2004 | (a) | 853 | 123 | 60 | 82 | 147 | 204 |
|  | 2004 | 228 | 148 | 98 | 135 | 167 | 202 |
|  | 2005 | 31 | 81 | 64 | 74 | 98 | 123 |
| 2005 | (a) | 642 | 130 | 65 | 91 | 152 | 208 |
|  | 2005 | 186 | 150 | 117 | 141 | 164 | 197 |
|  | 2006 | 11 | 89 | 69 | 81 | 95 | 140 |
|  | 2007 | 2 | 82 | 70 | 76 | 88 | 94 |
| 2006 | (a) | 500 | 132 | 62 | 94 | 150 | 276 |
|  | 2006 | 170 | 150 | 111 | 135 | 166 | 203 |
|  | 2007 | 10 | 91 | 65 | 76 | 105 | 124 |
| 2007 | (a) | 600 | 142 | 65 | 118 | 157 | 230 |
|  | 2007 | 119 | 157 | 121 | 146 | 168 | 230 |
|  | 2008 | 119 | 157 | 121 | 146 | 168 | 230 |
|  | 2009 | 2 | 74 | 70 | 72 | 76 | 78 |
| 2008 | (a) | 601 | 147 | 60 | 132 | 162 | 223 |
|  | 2008 | 265 | 155 | 117 | 142 | 165 | 203 |
|  | 2009 | 9 | 105 | 78 | 104 | 117 | 124 |
| 2009 | (a) | 611 | 146 | 72 | 133 | 165 | 250 |
|  | 2009 | 256 | 157 | 117 | 143 | 172 | 233 |
|  | 2010 | 6 | 99 | 76 | 85 | 105 | 123 |
| 2010 | (a) | 612 | 125 | 63 | 81 | 156 | 328 |
|  | 2010 | 119 | 157 | 121 | 144 | 173 | 228 |
|  | 2011 | 26 | 81 | 71 | 77 | 87 | 114 |
| 2011 | (a) | 625 | 146 | 62 | 122 | 163 | 241 |
|  | 2011 | 260 | 156 | 112 | 142 | 168 | 241 |
|  | 2012 | 10 | 96 | 84 | 86 | 100 | 115 |
| 2012 | (a) | 775 | 140 | 59 | 127 | 157 | 210 |
|  | 2012 | 256 | 151 | 113 | 138 | 166 | 210 |

Appendix Table B-6. Steelhead fork lengths at tagging from rearing areas upstream of the Catherine Creek screw trap, including tributaries, during summer 2000-2006, summarized by migration history.

| Tag group, migration history | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | Median | Min | Percentile |  | Max |
|  |  |  |  | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| Summer 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All PIT tagged | 1,163 | 113 | 59 | 90 | 137 | 263 |
| Captured in trap fall 2000 | 22 | 124 | 83 | 113 | 135 | 152 |
| Captured in trap spring 2001 | 5 | 125 | 88 | 106 | 141 | 142 |
| Migrated past trap during MY 2001 | 50 | 127 | 83 | 113 | 139 | 170 |
| Migrated past trap during MY 2002 | 6 | 93 | 63 | 92 | 101 | 136 |
| Migrated past trap during MY 2003 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after MY 2001 | 12 | 92 | 63 | 84 | 106 | 136 |
| Still upstream after MY 2002 | 1 | 92 | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after MY 2003 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Detected at dams during MY 2001 | 29 | 130 | 85 | 114 | 143 | 170 |
| Detected at dams during MY 2002 | 15 | 92 | 72 | 78 | 103 | 133 |
| Detected at dams during MY 2003 | 1 | 83 | - | - | - |  |
| Summer 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All PIT tagged | 1,108 | 112 | 63 | 97 | 130 | 221 |
| Captured in trap fall 2001 | 46 | 117 | 99 | 110 | 126 | 147 |
| Captured in trap spring 2002 | 9 | 129 | 97 | 122 | 142 | 168 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2002 | 118 | 123 | 96 | 112 | 135 | 168 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2003 | 8 | 94 | 68 | 81 | 108 | 118 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2004 | 0 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Still upstream after MY 2002 | 14 | 95 | 68 | 86 | 105 | 177 |
| Still upstream after MY 2003 | 1 | 134 | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after MY 2004 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Detected at dams during MY 2002 | 73 | 128 | 96 | 112 | 137 | 161 |
| Detected at dams during MY 2003 | 11 | 99 | 82 | 93 | 101 | 118 |
| Detected at dams during MY 2004 | 1 | 71 | - | - | - |  |
| Summer 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All PIT tagged | 1,043 | 115 | 73 | 103 | 130 | 230 |
| Captured in trap fall 2002 | 46 | 115 | 90 | 108 | 128 | 154 |
| Captured in trap spring 2003 | 10 | 115 | 88 | 105 | 128 | 143 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2003 | 53 | 117 | 88 | 108 | 128 | 153 |
| Migrated past trap MY2004 | 14 | 97 | 75 | 86 | 104 | 111 |
| Migrated past trap MY2005 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2003 | 3 | 101 | 86 | 94 | 103 | 104 |
| Still upstream after spring 2004 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2005 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Detected at dams during 2003 | 50 | 121 | 86 | 105 | 134 | 169 |
| Detected at dams during 2004 | 10 | 98 | 75 | 86 | 105 | 111 |

Appendix Table B-6. Continued.

| Tag group, migration history | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | Median | Min | Percentile |  | Max |
|  |  |  |  | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| Summer 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All PIT tagged | 1,165 | 106 | 58 | 89 | 127 | 229 |
| Captured in trap fall 2003 | 16 | 115 | 92 | 104 | 124 | 149 |
| Captured in trap spring 2004 | 12 | 123 | 91 | 109 | 131 | 167 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2004 | 81 | 121 | 78 | 110 | 133 | 171 |
| Migrated past trap MY2005 | 5 | 91 | 78 | 85 | 92 | 96 |
| Migrated past trap MY2006 | 0 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Still upstream after spring 2004 | 4 | 107 | 97 | 101 | 109 | 110 |
| Still upstream after spring 2005 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2006 | 0 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Detected at dams during 2004 | 62 | 123 | 78 | 110 | 137 | 171 |
| Detected at dams during 2005 | 28 | 91 | 65 | 81 | 99 | 111 |
| Detected at dams during 2006 | 1 | 71 | - | - | - |  |
| Summer 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All PIT tagged | 1,024 | 127 | 56 | 109 | 146 | 229 |
| Captured in trap fall 2004 | 18 | 130 | 111 | 122 | 147 | 172 |
| Captured in trap spring 2005 | 3 | 142 | 137 | 140 | 149 | 156 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2005 | 90 | 139 | 105 | 125 | 155 | 185 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2006 | 3 | 101 | 78 | 90 | 103 | 104 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2007 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2005 | 1 | 179 | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2006 | 1 | 107 | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2007 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Detected at dams during 2005 | 72 | 141 | 105 | 127 | 156 | 185 |
| Detected at dams during 2006 | 9 | 103 | 80 | 99 | 108 | 120 |
| Detected at dams during 2007 | 0 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Summer 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All PIT tagged | 632 | 119 | 55 | 106 | 141 | 279 |
| Captured in trap fall 2005 | 10 | 118 | 89 | 114 | 123 | 139 |
| Captured in trap spring 2006 | 3 | 115 | 96 | 106 | 118 | 121 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2006 | 52 | 122 | 89 | 115 | 144 | 186 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2007 | 1 | 105 | - | - | - | - |
| Migrated past trap MY 2008 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2006 | 1 | 101 | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2007 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2008 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Detected at dams during 2006 | 41 | 126 | 96 | 116 | 149 | 186 |
| Detected at dams during 2007 | 1 | 99 | - | - | - | - |
| Detected at dams during 2008 | 1 | 99 | - | - | - | - |
| Detected at dams during 2009 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |

Appendix Table B-6. Continued.

| Tag group, migration history | Length at tagging (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | Median | Min | Percentile |  | Max |
|  |  |  |  | $25^{\text {th }}$ | $75^{\text {th }}$ |  |
| Summer 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All PIT tagged | 609 | 109 | 59 | 90 | 129 | 268 |
| Captured in trap fall 2006 | 18 | 124 | 95 | 107 | 131 | 167 |
| Captured in trap spring 2007 | 3 | 86 | 74 | 80 | 111 | 135 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2007 | 30 | 124 | 74 | 107 | 134 | 177 |
| Migrated past trap MY 2008 | 2 | 75 | 72 | 73 | 76 | 77 |
| Still upstream after spring 2007 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Still upstream after spring 2008 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Detected at dams during 2007 | 10 | 130 | 107 | 108 | 136 | 177 |
| Detected at dams during 2008 | 3 | 96 | 79 | 88 | 111 | 125 |
| Detected at dams during 2009 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |


[^0]:    Migration Timing: Detections of PIT tagged steelhead at Lower Granite Dam were used to estimate migration timing using methods described for spring Chinook salmon (see SPRING CHINOOK SALMON INVESTIGATIONS; Methods;
    Migration Timing and Survival to Lower Granite Dam). Summer tag groups represent steelhead occupying upstream spawning and rearing reaches of Catherine Creek during

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Continuous 24 h trapping
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Sub-sampling with 1 to 4 h trapping.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Continuous 24 h trapping
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Sub-sampling with 1 to 4 h trapping.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Trap was started late, thereby potentially missing some early migrants.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Limited trapping operations prevented population estimates and migration timing.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data was insufficient to calculate a survival probability.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data was insufficient to calculate a survival probability.

[^6]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data was insufficient to calculate a survival probability.

[^7]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data was insufficient to calculate a survival probability.

[^8]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data was insufficient to calculate a survival probability.

[^9]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Limited trapping operations.

[^10]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Access was denied to the Lostine trap site during MY 2004.

[^11]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Small tag group size and low recaptures at LGD precluded estimating survival probabilities and smolt equivalents.

[^12]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Limited trapping operations during MY 2004.

[^13]:    ${ }^{a}$ Data was insufficient to calculate a survival probability.

[^14]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data was insufficient to calculate a survival probability.

[^15]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data was insufficient to calculate a survival probability.

[^16]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data was insufficient to calculate a survival probability.

[^17]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data was insufficient to calculate a survival probability.

[^18]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data represents all the early migrants tagged, regardless of detection history.

[^19]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data represents all the late migrants tagged, regardless of detection history.

